

FOOD AND INDUSTRIAL BIOPRODUCTS AND BIOPROCESSING

Edited by
Nurhan Turgut Dunford



Food and Industrial Bioproducts and Bioprocessing

Food and Industrial Bioproducts and Bioprocessing

Edited by

Nurhan Turgut Dunford

Department of Biosystems and Agricultural
Engineering and Robert M. Kerr Food & Agricultural
Products Center

Oklahoma State University

Stillwater

Oklahoma

USA

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2012 © 2012 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Wiley-Blackwell is an imprint of John Wiley & Sons, formed by the merger of Wiley's global Scientific, Technical and Medical business with Blackwell Publishing.

Editorial Offices

2121 State Avenue, Ames, Iowa 50014-8300, USA

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Blackwell Publishing, provided that the base fee is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by CCC, a separate system of payments has been arranged. The fee codes for users of the Transactional Reporting Service are ISBN-13: 978-0-8138-XXXX-X/2007.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Food and industrial bioproducts and bioprocessing / edited by Nurhan Turgut Dunford.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8138-2105-4 (hard cover : alk. paper)

1. Biological products. 2. Biotechnology. 3. Biomass. I. Dunford, Nurhan Turgut, 1953–

TP248.2.F725 2012

660.6–dc23

2011035806

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Set in 10/12pt Times by SPi Publisher Services, Pondicherry, India

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Contributors</i>	xiii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
1 Traditional and Emerging Feedstocks for Food and Industrial Bioproduct Manufacturing	1
Nurhan Turgut Dunford	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Grain crops	2
1.2.1 Wheat	2
1.2.2 Corn	5
1.2.3 Barley	8
1.2.4 Sorghum	10
1.3 Oil and oilseeds	13
1.3.1 Rapeseed/Canola	14
1.3.2 Soybeans	15
1.3.3 Other Oilseeds	19
1.4 Lignocellulosic biomass	24
1.5 Conclusions	25
References	26
2 Recent Processing Methods for Preparing Starch-based Bioproducts	37
George F. Fanta, Frederick C. Felker and Randal L. Shogren	
2.1 Introduction	37
2.2 Annealing and heat–moisture treatment	40
2.3 High-pressure treatment	41
2.4 Microwave processing	46
2.5 Processes using ultrasound	50
2.6 Processing using supercritical fluids	56
2.7 Extrusion processing	63
2.8 Processing by steam jet cooking	67
2.9 Conclusions	71
References	72

3 Protein Processing in Food and Bioproduct Manufacturing and Techniques for Analysis	85
Joyce Irene Boye and Chockry Barbana	
3.1 Introduction	85
3.2 General properties of proteins	86
3.3 Protein separation processes in food and bioproduct manufacturing	87
3.3.1 Dry processing	88
3.3.2 Wet processing	89
3.4 Calculating protein yields and recovery	101
3.5 Processing effects on yield and protein quality	101
3.5.1 Protein characterization	102
3.6 Conclusion	108
References	108
4 Advancements in Oil and Oilseed Processing	115
Nurhan Turgut Dunford	
4.1 Introduction	115
4.2 Oilseed pretreatment	116
4.2.1 Handling and storage	116
4.2.2 Preparation of seeds for oil extraction	117
4.2.3 Size reduction and flaking	118
4.2.4 Cooking/Tempering	118
4.3 Oil extraction	119
4.3.1 Solvent extraction	119
4.3.2 Mechanical oil expression	122
4.3.3 Aqueous extraction	124
4.3.4 Enzyme and surfactant-aided oil extraction	124
4.3.5 Supercritical fluid technology	126
4.4 Oil refining	127
4.4.1 Degumming	127
4.4.2 Deacidification/Refining	131
4.4.3 Bleaching	135
4.4.4 Deodorization	136
4.4.5 Winterization	137
4.5 Conclusions	137
References	138
5 Food-grade Microemulsions As Nano-scale Controlled Delivery Vehicles	145
Natasha Berry, Rickey Yada and Déric Rousseau	
5.1 Introduction	145
5.2 Winsor classification/phase behavior	146
5.3 Theories of microemulsion formation	147
5.3.1 Mixed film theory	147
5.3.2 Solubilization theory	147
5.3.3 Thermodynamic theory	147
5.4 What makes microemulsions thermodynamically stable?	148
5.5 Methods of microemulsion formation	148
5.6 Polydispersity	149

5.7	Composition	149
5.7.1	Organic phase	149
5.7.2	Aqueous phase	150
5.7.3	Surfactants	150
5.7.4	Co-surfactants	151
5.8	Factors affecting phase behavior	151
5.9	Parameters that modify microemulsion structure	152
5.9.1	Critical micelle concentration	152
5.9.2	Critical packing parameter	152
5.9.3	Hydrophile–lipophile balance	153
5.9.4	Ingredient compatibility	153
5.10	Characterization techniques	154
5.10.1	Ternary phase diagrams	154
5.10.2	Small angle scattering techniques	155
5.10.3	Cryo-transmission electron microscopy	156
5.10.4	Dynamic light scattering	157
5.10.5	Nuclear magnetic resonance	158
5.11	Applications	158
5.11.1	Solubilization of poorly-soluble drugs	158
5.11.2	Emulsified microemulsions	159
5.11.3	Protection against oxidation/light	159
5.11.4	Controlled release delivery systems	159
5.11.5	Microemulsions as nano-reactors	160
5.12	Conclusions	160
	References	161
6	Emulsions, Nanoemulsions and Solid Lipid Nanoparticles as Delivery Systems in Foods	167
	Umut Yucel, Ryan J. Elias and John N. Coupland	
6.1	Delivery systems in foods	167
6.2	Structure of emulsions	168
6.3	Localization of BLI in emulsions	169
6.4	Emulsions as delivery systems	172
6.5	Crystallization in emulsions	174
6.5.1	Kinetics of crystallization in fine droplets	175
6.5.2	Structure of crystalline fat droplets	177
6.6	Localization of BLI in solid lipid nanoparticles	178
6.7	Conclusions	180
	Acknowledgement	181
	References	181
7	Fermentation	185
	Mark R. Wilkins and Hasan Atiyeh	
7.1	Introduction	185
7.2	Fermentative pathways	186
7.3	Microbial growth	188
7.4	Reactor design	189
7.4.1	Types of reactors	190

7.5	Fermentation schemes	194
7.5.1	Batch fermentation	194
7.5.2	Fed-batch fermentation	194
7.5.3	Continuous fermentation	194
7.6	Fermentation Products	195
7.6.1	Acetone–Butanol–Ethanol (ABE) fermentation	195
7.6.2	Glycerol	196
7.6.3	Propionate	197
7.6.4	Succinate	197
7.6.5	1,3 Propanediol	197
7.6.6	Butanediol	198
7.7	Separation	199
7.7.1	Separation of acids	199
7.7.2	Separation of alcohols	199
7.7.3	Separation of diols and triols	200
7.8	Future application areas and emerging developments	200
	References	201
8	Fungal Cell Factories	205
	Sue A. Karagiosis and Scott E. Baker	
8.1	Fungi and fungal biotechnology	205
8.2	Historical perspective	206
8.2.1	Koji	206
8.2.2	Penicillin	207
8.2.3	Citric acid	208
8.3	Industry	208
8.3.1	Organic acids	208
8.3.2	Enzymes	211
8.3.3	Lovastatin	211
8.4	Genomics and the future	213
8.4.1	Citric acid and <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	213
8.4.2	Cellulase production	214
8.4.3	Bioactive secondary metabolites	215
8.5	Conclusions	215
	References	216
9	Microalgae: A Renewable Source of Bioproducts	221
	Susan I. Blackburn and John K. Volkman	
9.1	Introduction	221
9.2	Microalgae and their global importance	221
9.3	Cultured microalgae	223
9.4	Algal culture collections	224
9.5	Microalgal production systems	225
9.5.1	Plastic bags and tanks	225
9.5.2	Open ponds	225
9.5.3	Photobioreactors	226
9.5.4	Hybrid or combination growth systems	227
9.5.5	Fermentation systems	227

9.6	Historical natural foods	228
9.7	Live feedstocks for aquaculture	228
9.8	Bioproducts	229
9.8.1	Bioactive compounds	229
9.8.2	Lipids	230
9.8.3	Proteins and carbohydrates	233
9.8.4	Vitamins and antioxidants	233
9.8.5	Pigments	234
9.9	Pharmaceuticals	235
9.10	Microalgae in cosmetics and skin care	236
9.11	Microalgae bioproducts: Future potential	236
	References	237
10	Bioprocessing Approaches to Synthesize Bio-based Surfactants and Detergents	243
	Douglas G. Hayes	
10.1	Bio-based surfactants: Overview	243
10.2	Feedstocks for bio-based surfactants	244
10.3	Industrial bio-based surfactants	246
10.4	Advantages of bioprocessing to prepare bio-based non-ionic surfactants	248
10.5	Preparation of bio-based surfactants via enzymes in non-aqueous media	249
10.5.1	Lipase-catalyzed synthesis of monoacylglycerols (MAGs)	251
10.5.2	Lipase-catalyzed synthesis of saccharide–fatty acid esters	252
10.5.3	Lipase-catalyzed synthesis of polyglycerol polyricinoleate	254
10.5.4	Enzyme-catalyzed synthesis of alkylpolyglucosides (APGs)	254
10.5.5	Enzyme-catalyzed synthesis of amino acid derivatives	255
10.5.6	Enzymatic production of lysophospholipids and structured phospholipids	256
10.6	Preparation of biosurfactants via fermentation	258
10.7	Conclusions	261
	References	262
11	Biopolymers	267
	Oğuz Türünç and Michael A. R. Meier	
11.1	Introduction	267
11.2	Carbohydrate-based polymers	267
11.2.1	Polymers from starch	267
11.2.2	Polymers from cellulose	270
11.2.3	Polymers from lactic acid and lactide	272
11.2.4	Polyhydroxyalkanoates	275
11.2.5	Polymers from chitin or chitosan	276
11.3	Fat- and oil-based polymers	277
11.3.1	Polymers from triglycerides	277
11.3.2	Polymers from fatty acids	282
11.4	Conclusion	286
	References	286

12 Lignocellulosic Biomass Processing	293
Fei Yu and Jonathan Y. Chen	
12.1 Introduction	293
12.2 Availability of lignocellulosic biomass	293
12.2.1 Southern pine wood	294
12.2.2 Corn stover	295
12.2.3 Bast fiber crops	295
12.2.4 Other lignocellulosic feedstocks	296
12.3 Processing	297
12.3.1 Biological conversion	297
12.3.2 Thermochemical conversion	297
12.3.3 Bast fiber production	305
References	308
13 Recent Developments in Non-thermal Processes	313
Fernando Sampedro and Howard Q. Zhang	
13.1 Introduction	313
13.2 Recent advances in non-thermal technologies	314
13.2.1 High Pressure Processing (HPP)	314
13.2.2 Ultra High Pressure Homogenization (UHPH)	315
13.2.3 High Pressure Carbon Dioxide (HPCD)	317
13.2.4 Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF)	318
13.2.5 Ultraviolet Light (UV)	320
13.2.6 Irradiation	321
13.2.7 High Intensity Ultrasounds	323
13.2.8 Hurdle approach	324
13.3 Future trends	325
Acknowledgements	325
References	326
14 Enzymes as Biocatalysts for Lipid-based Bioproducts Processing	333
Ling-Zhi Cheong, Zheng Guo, Sergey N. Fedosov, Bena-Marie Lue, Ram C.R. Jala, Gündüz Güzel, and Xuebing Xu	
14.1 Introduction	333
14.2 Enzyme characteristics	333
14.3 Enzyme kinetics in industrial applications	334
14.4 Enzymes in industrial applications	338
14.4.1 Enzymatic processing of partial acylglycerols	339
14.4.2 Enzymatic processing of bioactive compounds	343
14.4.3 Enzymatic processing of phospholipids	346
14.4.4 Enzymatic processing of fatty acid alkyl esters	348
14.5 Conclusions and future trends	351
References	353

Index 359

A color plate section falls between pages 222 and 223

Preface

Petroleum-derived products have dominated the markets for decades because of the ease of production and economies of scale. In recent years diminishing petroleum resources, volatile political environments in some of the major petroleum producing countries and environmental concerns inspired a paradigm shift. Today significant resources have been dedicated to the development of bioproducts from renewable sources. Research and development efforts to harness the unique chemical and physical properties of plants and microorganisms to produce ecologically benign products that outperform their non-renewable counterparts have accelerated. Ever increasing consumer demand for “chemical free”, “healthy” and “natural” foods incited the food industry to reevaluate the conventional food ingredients and processing techniques and adapt new and advanced production systems.

This book, which contains 14 chapters, provides a comprehensive review of the latest developments in food and industrial bioproducts and bioprocessing techniques. Although it is an important topic, biofuels are not covered in the book. This book is designed as a reference source for scientists, students, and government and industry personnel who are interested in the recent developments and future opportunities in food and industrial bioproducts and relevant bioprocessing techniques. The contributing authors of the book from Australia, Canada, Denmark, Germany and the USA are internationally renowned experts in their fields and their contributions to the book are invaluable. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the authors for accepting my invitation to contribute and completing their chapters in a timely manner. The comments received from the external reviewers, James T.C. Yuan, Ibrahim Banat, Sue, Nokes, David Cowan, Randy Berka, Mark R. Marten, B. Dave Oomah, J.L. Willett, Laurent Bazinet, Dan Farkas, Richard Ashby, Thrandur Helgason, Donghai Wang, Cristina Sabliov, Wenqiao (Wayne) Yuan, Michael J. Haas, Sang-Hyun Pyo, Krister Holmberg, Aaron L. Brody, Amos Richmond and Mike Packer, were extremely helpful. I would like to thank all the reviewers for generously spending time to review the chapters. Certainly their contributions enhanced the quality of the book.

I would also like to thank the staff at Wiley-Blackwell for their help and guidance which made the successful completion of this project possible. I am grateful to my son, Michael John, for his patience, understanding and encouragement during the preparation of this book.

Nurhan Turgut Dunford

Contributors

Hasan Atiyeh

Department of Biosystems
and Agricultural Engineering
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA

Scott E. Baker

Chemical and Biological Process
Development Group
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Richland, Washington, USA

Chockry Barbana

Food Research and Development Centre
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada

Natasha Berry

Department of Chemistry and Biology
Ryerson University
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Susan I. Blackburn

CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research
and Energy Transformed Flagship
Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

Joyce Irene Boye

Food Research and Development Centre
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada

Jonathan Y. Chen

School of Human Ecology
Texas Materials Institute Material
Science & Engineering Program
The University of Texas at Austin
Austin, Texas, USA

Ling-Zhi Cheong

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

John N. Coupland

Department of Food Science
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

Nurhan Turgut Dunford

Department of Biosystems and
Agricultural Engineering and
Robert M. Kerr Food & Agricultural
Products Center
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA

Ryan J. Elias

Department of Food Science
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

George F. Fanta

US Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Research Service
National Center for Agricultural
Utilization Research
Peoria, Illinois, USA

Sergey N. Fedosov

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Frederick C. Felker

US Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Research Service
National Center for Agricultural
Utilization Research
Peoria, Illinois, USA

Zheng Guo

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Gündüz Güzel

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Douglas G. Hayes

Department of Biosystems Engineering
and Soil Science
University of Tennessee
Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

Ram C.R. Jala

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Sue A. Karagiosis

Chemical and Biological Process
Development Group
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Richland, Washington, USA

Bena-Marie Lue

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Michael A. R. Meier

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
Institute of Organic Chemistry
Karlsruhe, Germany

Dérick Rousseau

Department of Chemistry and Biology
Ryerson University
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Fernando Sampedro

USDA ARS Eastern
Regional Research Center
Wyndmoor, Pennsylvania, USA

Randal L. Shogren

US Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Research Service
National Center for Agricultural
Utilization Research
Peoria, Illinois, USA

Oğuz Türünç

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
Institute of Organic Chemistry
Karlsruhe, Germany

John K. Volkman

CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric
Research and Energy Transformed
Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

Mark R. Wilkins

Department of Biosystems and
Agricultural Engineering
Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA

Xuebing Xu

Department of Engineering
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark

Rickey Yada

Department of Food Science
University of Guelph
Guelph, Ontario, Canada

Fei Yu

Department of Agricultural and
Biological Engineering
Mississippi State University
Mississippi State, Mississippi, USA

Umut Yucel

Department of Food Science
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

Howard Q. Zhang

USDA ARS Western Regional
Research Center
Albany, California, USA

Abbreviations

ADMET	Acyclic diene metathesis polymerization
AOT	Sodium dioctyl sulfosuccinate
CALA	Candida antarctica lipase A
CALB	Candida antarctica lipase B
DAG	Diacylglycerol
DVB	Divinyl benzene
E	Enzyme
EP	Enzyme-product complex
ES	Enzyme-substrate complex
ESBO	Epoxidized Soybean Oil
FA	Fatty acid
FAME	Fatty acid methyl ester
G (or GLY)	Glycerol
HAP	Hazardous air pollutant
hPL	Human pancreatic lipase
IPN	Interpenetrating network
KmS	Michaelis constant
LOI	Limiting oxygen index
LPL	Lysophospholipids
MAG	Monoacylglycerol
METU	Methyl undec-10-enoate
NMMO	N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide
P	Product
PC	Phosphatidylcholine
PG	Partial Acylglycerol
PGA	Poly(glycolic acid)
PHA	Polyhydroxyalkanoate
PHB	Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate)
PHBV	Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate)
PL	Phospholipid
PLA	Poly(lactic acid)
PLA ₁	Phospholipase A ₁
PLA ₂	Phospholipase A ₂
PLC	Phospholipase C
PLCD	Phospholipase D
PLLA	Poly(l-lactic acid)
PU	Polyurethane

PVA	Poly(vinyl alcohol)
RM	Rhizomucor meihei
S	Substrate
S*	Micellar substrate
TAG	Triacylglycerol
TLL	Thermomyces lanuginosum
UA	Undec-10-enoic acid
V	Velocity
VOC	Volatile organic compounds

1 Traditional and Emerging Feedstocks for Food and Industrial Bioproduct Manufacturing

Nurhan Turgut Dunford

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Many industrial products, such as dyes, inks, paints and plastics, were made from biomass generated by trees, vegetables or other crops during the early 1900s. By 1970, petroleum-based products had largely replaced bio-based products. The utilization of plant-based materials decreased from about 35% to less than 16% between 1925 and 1989 (Forward, 1994). Waning interest in bio-based products was due to the relative ease and lower cost of manufacturing similar products from petrochemicals.

The petrochemical industry has been very successful in developing new products (more than 100 000 commercial products) (Metzger and Eissen, 2004). About 2.6 million barrels per day of petroleum equivalent are used for production of chemicals and industrial building blocks. More than 95% of the world's petrochemical production is derived from oil or natural gas (Weissermel and Arpe, 1997). Excessive reliance on non-renewable energy and resources is the major problem facing petrochemical industry today. In 2001 it was projected that the global oil reserves would last for about 40 years (Metzger and Eissen, 2004). Oil production is expected to reach its maximum in this decade, at the latest by 2015–2020, and then slowly decrease. According to Gavrillescua and Chisti, the issues that make the petrochemical industry unsustainable in the long run are: (1) utilization of manufacturing techniques that are not environmentally benign or safe, (2) production of toxic by-products and waste, (3) products are not readily recyclable and biodegradable after their useful life, and (4) social benefits of the production are not broadly accessible due to excessive regional concentration of production (Gavrillescua and Chisti, 2005).

Nearly one billion of the current world population (the total is about six billion) live in the industrialized countries. The world population is expected to reach to about nine billion by 2050. It is anticipated that the population growth will mainly soar in the developing countries (Metzger and Eissen, 2004). As the population and the standard of living increase, demand for food and other goods will substantially grow, consequently exerting tremendous pressure on resources. Today it is true that “hunger is a problem of poverty rather than absolute food scarcity” (Koning *et al.*, 2008). Yet, the global demand for food production will more than double by 2050, competing for resources needed to grow biomass for other purposes, including biofuels and bio-based non-food industrial products (Koning *et al.*, 2008). A combination of further increases in crop yields (about 2% per year) and doubling

or tripling of resource use efficiencies (especially of nitrogen and water productivity in biomass production systems) will be necessary to meet the rapidly growing demand for food, feed and industrial bioproducts over the next 20–30 years (Spiertz and Ewert, 2009).

About 224×10^9 tonnes of dry biomass is generated globally as a result of photosynthesis (Champagne, 2008). Today, forestry products and agricultural crops are the major feedstocks for bioproduct manufacturing. Utilization of agricultural residues, forestry, animal and municipal solid wastes and marine vegetation as feedstock could ease the pressure on agricultural land needed to grow food. However, the effect of excessive biomass removal on ecosystems has to be examined very carefully.

In this chapter, current and potential feedstocks for food and bioproduct manufacturing will be reviewed under three categories: grain, oilseed and lignocellulosic biomass, which will include grasses and trees. Microalgae, emerging as a biomass source, will be covered in another chapter of this book.

1.2 GRAIN CROPS

Grain crops, specifically cereal crops, are major feedstocks for the food and fermentation industry because of their high starch and protein content. Cereal crops are by far the most important crops cultivated globally. In 2009 about 2.5 billion tonnes of cereals were produced worldwide (FAO, 2010). Wheat, corn, barley and sorghum are the common starch sources that have been traditionally used in food and industrial bioproduct manufacturing. Straw and stocks from cereal crops are also important as lignocellulosic feedstock for bioproduct manufacturing.

1.2.1 Wheat

1.2.1.1 Production

Wheat is one of the major grain crops produced, consumed and traded worldwide. About 683 million tonnes of wheat is produced globally each year (Table 1.1). China, India, the USA and the Russian Federation are among the largest wheat growers (FAO, 2010).

It is believed that einkorn, which was developed from a wild grass native to western Asia, was the first type of wheat cultivated (Atwell, 2001; Orth and Shellenberger, 1988). Four species, *Triticum*: *T. monococcum*, *T. turgidum*, *T. timopheevi* and *T. aestivum*, are the commercially important wheat cultivars today. Among these, *T. turgidum* and *T. aestivum*, which are mainly used for bread and pasta making, respectively, are the most widely grown wheat species (Pomeranz, 1988). Enhancement of nutritional composition and value of wheat through biotechnology is an area that is gaining ever increasing scientific attention. It has been shown that a gene, GPC-B1, found in wild wheat but lost its functionality during domestication has the potential of increasing protein and micronutrient content of cultivated wheat by 10–15% (Uauy *et al.*, 2006). Novel wheat varieties with high amylose content have been developed by using the RNAi gene silencing technique that suppresses the expression of two wheat genes, SBEIIa and SBEIIb (Regina *et al.*, 2006). These genes produce starch branching enzymes and play important role in the starch synthesis pathway. The suppression of these two genes produced a wheat variety with high resistant starch (amylose) content and low glycemic index (GI). This new wheat variety could potentially provide health benefits to people with bowel, diabetes and obesity problems.

Table 1.1 Wheat, corn, sorghum and barley production in 2008 (million metric tons).

Wheat		Corn		Sorghum		Barley	
World	683.4	World	826.3	World	66.8	World	155.1
China	112.5	USA	307.1	USA	12.0	Russian Federation	23.2
India	78.6	China	166.0	Nigeria	9.3	Ukraine	12.6
USA	68.0	Brazil	58.9	India	7.9	France	12.2
Russian Federation	63.8	Mexico	24.3	Sudan	3.9	Germany	12.0

Classification of wheat for commercial purposes is based not on variety but on grain properties such as softness/hardness, winter/spring growth habit, red/white bran and protein content. Hard wheat has a hard kernel and produces high protein content flour suitable for making bread and noodles. Soft wheat has lower protein content than hard wheat and is mainly used for making cakes, biscuits and pastries. Winter wheat is planted in late summer or fall and takes the advantage of fall moisture for germination. It is grown in regions where soil does not completely freeze and kill the crop. As the name implies, spring wheat is sown in spring and harvested in late summer. Yields for winter wheat tend to be higher than that for spring wheat due to the risks associated with summer harvest (Pomeranz, 1988). Color, white or red, refers to the color of the outer layers of grain. Depending on milling extraction rate (bran removal rate), the color of the wheat flour can be quite dark, affecting the appearance of the final product. Major wheat exporting countries have their own wheat grading standards that are based on test weight, protein content, moisture, foreign material content and so on (Bushuk and Rasper, 1994). The US Grain Standards Act is enforced by inspectors under the supervision of the US Department of Agriculture (USDA, 2006).

Although wheat straw production yield depends on variety and agronomic and climatic factors, in general 1.3 kg of straw per kg of grain is produced for the most common varieties (Montane *et al.*, 1998). It is estimated that over 90 million metric tons (tonnes) of wheat straw is produced annually in the United States. Considering that world wheat production was about 683 tonnes in 2008 (Table 1.1), global wheat straw production would be 888 tonnes in the same year. About 500 kg of straw per acre needs to be left on the soil surface during wheat harvest for erosion control of steeply sloped ground (Mckean and Jacobs, 1997). It is apparent from these numbers that a significant amount of wheat straw is available for value-added product development.

1.2.1.2 Chemical composition

Chemical composition of wheat fractions varies significantly by variety, agronomic and climatic conditions and separation techniques used. Grain consists of 40–50% of the biomass produced by the crop. Wheat grain structure is very complex and composed of many layers (Godon, 1994). Broadly speaking wheat grain consists of endosperm, bran and germ, which account for 81–84%, 14–16% and 2–3% of the grain, respectively (Atwell, 2001). Whole wheat grain is generally processed by dry milling to obtain flour. Various forms of bran, germ and the “clean-out” of the screen room represent almost 25% of the original wheat grain.

Carbohydrates make up about 60–80% of the dry grain weight. Starch is the main carbohydrate found in endosperm or flour. Other carbohydrates present in wheat include free sugars, glucofructans, cellulose and hemicellulose. Arabinose and xylose are the major free sugars.

Proteins are the second largest group of compounds in endosperm (12–15%). Cereal proteins are classified based on their solubility characteristics; water soluble albumins (5–10%), dilute salt-solution soluble globulins (5–10%), aqueous alcohol soluble prolamins (40–50%) and dilute acid or alkali soluble glutelins (30–40%) (Godon, 1994). Cereal proteins, similar to other plant proteins, are low in some of the essential amino acids, for example lysine. Glutamic acid is the major amino acid in wheat.

Lipids are the minor components of wheat grain (2–3%) and consist of polar and nonpolar components. Triacylglycerides (TAG) make up majority of the nonpolar lipids that are rich in unsaturated fatty acids. Huge variations in linoleic acid content of wheat, 45–75% of total fatty acids, were reported among five market classes of wheat (Davis *et al.*, 1980). Polar lipids include glycolipids and phospholipids (Godon, 1994).

The mineral content of wheat grain varies between 1 and 3%. Even though the mineral content is not very high, wheat could provide significant amount of minerals as it is readily found in most daily diets. Magnesium, phosphorous and potassium are the most abundant minerals. Phosphorous is mostly present in the organic form phytic acid. It has been reported that agronomic condition does not have a significant effect on the mineral composition of wheat grain (Godon, 1994). Wheat grain is rich in vitamins, niacin (about 6 mg/100 mg) and tocopherols (about 20 mg/100 g) (vitamin E).

Wheat bran and germ fractions are rich sources of a number of phytonutrients, including policosanol (PC), phytosterols (PS), α -tocopherol and phenolic acids. A number of studies have shown that PS and PC reduce serum low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels (Ostlund, 2002; Hirai *et al.*, 1984; Quilez *et al.*, 2003; Aleman *et al.*, 1994; Castano *et al.*, 2000; Gouni-Berthold and Berthold, 2002). Antioxidant properties of wheat bran and germ extracts are well known (Zhou *et al.*, 2004). It has been reported that dietary wheat bran provides protection against colorectal cancer (Qu *et al.*, 2005). This property is due to the presence of phenolic acids, lignans and flavonoids in wheat bran. Wheat germ contains approximately 11% oil (Dunford and Zhang, 2003; Eisenmenger and Dunford, 2008; Dunford, 2005). The oil contains a number of bioactive compounds, such as tocopherols (Eisenmenger and Dunford, 2008; Dunford and Zhang, 2003), polyunsaturated fatty acids, PS (Chen *et al.*, 2009a), and PC (Chen *et al.*, 2009b; Irmak and Dunford, 2005; Irmak *et al.*, 2005). Wheat germ oil (WGO) is the richest natural source of vitamin E (Kahlon, 1989). It has been reported that wheat germ oil improves human physical fitness; this effect is attributed to its high PC content (Cureton, 1972). There are numerous research studies indicating that 5–20 mg/day of PC consumption is effective in lowering total cholesterol (17–21% reduction) and low density lipoprotein (21–29% reduction) levels and increasing high density lipoprotein (HDL) (8–15% increase) by inhibiting cholesterol synthesis and increasing LDL processing (Menendez *et al.*, 1994, 1999). There is scientific evidence that PC has additional beneficial effects on smooth muscle cell proliferation, platelet aggregation and LDL peroxidation (Taylor *et al.*, 2003). PC formulations are being used as “antifatigue drugs” (Cureton, 1972). Currently, beeswax and sugar cane are the main sources of PC. It is important to note that there have been reports indicating that the cholesterol lowering properties of PC are not reproducible in studies performed in United States (Varady *et al.*, 2003). A better understanding of the effects of PC on disease prevention and treatment requires large scale independent animal and clinical studies involving various ethnic groups and subjects with different health histories.

Wheat straw is a lignocellulosic material containing about 35–40% cellulose, 30–35% hemicellulose and 10–15% lignin (Harper and Lynch, 1981). Wheat straw also contains both lipophilic and hydrophilic extractives, which may be released and/or interfere during pulping and pretreatment of feedstock prior to hydrolysis of carbohydrate polymers to their monomeric

sugars prior to microbial fermentation (Sun *et al.*, 2003; Sun and Tomkinson, 2003; Sun and Sun, 2001). Wheat straw contains many bioactive compounds, including PC and PS (Sun and Sun, 2001; Irmak *et al.*, 2005; Dunford and Edwards, 2010). Recovery of these high value bioactive compounds during or prior to bioconversion of wheat straw to bioproducts could improve the feasibility of the conversion process and may improve the efficiency of the hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose and the subsequent fermentation process.

1.2.1.3 Utilization

Wheat is a vital component of human diet in many countries. In the United States about 17–18% of total daily calories are acquired from foods derived from wheat. In some other countries wheat-based foods may provide two thirds, even more of the daily caloric intakes (Bushuk and Rasper, 1994). In the United States about 70% of wheat is utilized for human consumption, 24% as animal feed and the remainder for seed or industrial products. The main reason for using a small fraction of wheat in industrial products is due to high demand for wheat for food and feed applications.

Annetts and Audsley (Annetts and Audsley, 2003) defined the term “biorefinery” as “a factory consisting of a collection of processes which takes agricultural inputs from the surrounding area, and produces a wide range of products which are specifically targeted at different market uses and are refined to their specification”. Wheat is an excellent crop to demonstrate the “biorefinery” concept. Current and emerging processing techniques present tremendous opportunities for converting wheat fractions – flour, milling industry by-products (bran, shorts and germ) and straw – into a broad range of bioproducts. In fact, the economic viability of multiproduct wheat biorefinery systems has been examined by various groups (Dorado *et al.*, 2009; Annetts and Audsley, 2003; Clark, 2007; Dunford, 2007; Koutinas *et al.*, 2004). For example, a process for producing a generic wheat-based fermentation feedstock with two liquid streams, one rich in glucose and one rich in nitrogen, was developed and successfully used for the production of ethanol, lactic acid, pigment and glycerol (Webb and Wang, 1997; Koutinas *et al.*, 2004).

Currently excess straw is baled for use as livestock bedding or low-grade animal feed providing minimal economic return. Straw represents a significant opportunity for fiber substitution. Pulp from straw is already being partially substituted for wood fiber in some paper and paperboard products (Mckean and Jacobs, 1997). Advanced cellulose hydrolysis technologies are being developed for converting straw to biofuels and other industrial bioproducts (Szczo drak, 1988; Ahring *et al.*, 1996; Saha *et al.*, 2005). Recovery and conversion of surface waxes and other bioactive compounds present in wheat straw into high value products, such as insecticides, nutraceuticals and cosmeceutical ingredients, may improve the economic feasibility of other commodity or lower value products generated in a biorefinery (Dunford and Edwards, 2010; Irmak *et al.*, 2005; Clark, 2007). Waxes derived from sustainable plant products have a growing market for the replacement of both synthetic (petroleum derived) and animal product derived waxes including lanolin obtained from sheep’s wool (Clark, 2007).

1.2.2 Corn

1.2.2.1 Production

Maize or corn (*Zea mays*) has a long history as a domesticated crop. Today corn is the most widely grown cereal crop in the world. In 2008, world corn production was 826.3 million tonnes (USDA-FAS, 2008). It is estimated the grain yield will reach 1.2 tonnes/ha

(190 bu/acre) by 2030 from the current 940 kg/ha (150 bu/acre), assuming that current 1% annual genetic gain in corn grain yield can be sustained over time (Duvick and Cassman, 1999). In an average year corn is grown on 30–32 million hectares in the United States. Yet, in 2007, 36.5 million hectares (90 million acres) was dedicated to corn because of the high demand for ethanol production. Presuming that production area is maintained at the 2007 level, United States' corn production could reach 430 million tonnes (approximately 17 billion bu/year) accounting for the future yield increases (Dhugga, 2007). Even under these conditions United States would be far short of producing enough corn needed to produce enough ethanol to replace gasoline and meet the increasing demand of grain corn for feed and food (Dhugga, 2007).

1.2.2.2 Chemical Composition

Corn is categorized as dent, flint, flour, sweet, pop or pod based on its kernel characteristics.

The majority of corn grown in the United States is yellow dent, yellow referring to the color of endosperm. Chemical composition of corn kernel varies significantly depending on type, variety, environmental conditions and agronomic practices used during crop production (Reynolds *et al.*, 2005). Starch is the most economically important component of corn. Typical starch content of dent type corn is about 60% (NCGA, 2010). Mature kernels of some corn hybrids may contain as high as 75% starch (w/w, dry basis). Morphological, rheological, functional and thermal properties of starches from different corn types vary significantly (Li *et al.*, 1994). Amylopectin and amylose are two structural components of starch. Regular corn starch consists of 75% branched amylopectin and 25% linear amylose while waxy corn hybrids may contain 100% amylopectin. A clear understanding of starch properties in different corn types and lines is vital for selecting corn for breeding purposes and producing starch with specific properties that are required by manufacturing industry.

Sweet corn is primarily grown for fresh consumption. Young sweet corn kernels are succulent because of a mutant recessive sugary-1 gene that retards the conversion of sucrose into starch during endosperm development (Dickerson, 2003). Immature sweet corn contains about 10% sucrose, which is rapidly converted to starch after harvest. Kernels can lose up to 50% of their sucrose at ambient temperature within 24 hours after harvest.

The protein content of corn kernel is about 10%. Zein is the major storage protein and comprises 45–50% of the total protein in corn. Zein cannot be digested efficiently by humans and other non-ruminants. Since corn is an important staple in many countries, its protein quality is important. Corn kernels tend to be low in lysine and tryptophan, two of the eight essential amino acids, requiring corn-based diets to be supplemented with other proteins such as bean proteins. Corn kernels containing the Opaque-2 gene are shown to have lower amounts of zein and higher amounts of lysine and tryptophan in their endosperm than standard dent corn (Paulis *et al.*, 1991). High lysine corn containing increased levels of lysine and tryptophan has been developed. Although high lysine corn has demonstrated nutritional advantage over field corn it still has several disadvantages. It has soft texture, dull appearance and very little hard endosperm, which make high lysine corn difficult to harvest and prone to attack by pests. The value of high lysine corn in poultry rations is limited because of its insufficient methionine content. Furthermore, high lysine corn has lower crop yield and must be segregated from other corn varieties to preserve protein quality (Dickerson, 2003).

Field corn contains 4–6% oil. Corn oil is a healthy energy source for both humans and livestock because of its high polyunsaturated fatty acid, specifically linoleic acid content. High oil corn, which contains 7–8% oil, is preferred by cattle feeders for its high calorie

content, which promotes greater animal weight gain per unit of feed. Nevertheless, corn varieties with oil content higher than 6% tend to have lower crop yields.

1.2.2.3 Utilization

In 2007, about 98% of the world corn production was consumed as food or feed (USDA-FAS, 2008). The majority of the corn grown in the United States is used as feed. Nearly 43% of the 2009 US corn production was used as feed or left as residue on the field (NCGA, 2010). The same year biofuel, particularly the ethanol production industry, utilized almost 32% of the corn grown in United States. The shares of food/cereal and high fructose corn syrup production in corn consumption were relatively low, 5 and 12 million tonnes, respectively. The high fructose corn syrup production industry takes up about 3–4% of total United States corn production.

Besides its food and feed use, corn has numerous other industrial applications. According to the US National Corn Growers Association, there are more than 4200 different uses for corn products (NCGA, 2010). Although zein, a major storage protein in corn, is not directly used for human consumption it has many potential industrial applications in fiber, adhesive, coating, ceramic, ink, cosmetic, textile, chewing gum and biodegradable plastics production (Shukla and Cheryan, 2001). Biofuels, starch and high value products such as recombinant pharmaceutical proteins and specialty chemicals are some of the economically important corn-based products (Naqvi *et al.*, 2011).

A significant fraction of United States corn is used to produce ethanol. Corn is converted into ethanol primarily by two processes: wet milling and dry grinding. In wet milling, the corn kernel is fractionated into germ, fiber, and starch resulting in several co-products. The starch portion of the corn kernel is converted to ethanol, while the protein, fiber and oil are passed through to the by-products. Only one co-product, distillers' dried grains with solubles (DDGS), is produced when dry milled corn is used for ethanol production. Every bushel of corn (approximately 25 kg) processed for sweeteners, oil, or ethanol generates nearly 7 kg of protein and fiber-rich residues (Leathers, 2003). Currently these by-products are used in low value applications. It has been suggested that ethanol production industry by-products have the potential to be used as inexpensive fermentation media for production of polysaccharides and carotenoids by yeasts or yeast-like fungi (Leathers, 2003). The potential of DDGS for value-added product development has also been explored. Utilization of DDGS as a substrate for biobutanol production by various clostridia species is an area that is drawing attention (Ezeji and Blaschek, 2008).

Today corn is the leading platform to synthesize high value molecules, including pharmaceuticals, through biotechnology. Corn has a number of advantages over other plants for molecular pharming in crops. These advantages include its GRAS (Generally Regarded As Safe) status, well characterized genetic properties, responsiveness to *in vitro* manipulation and gene transfer, well established agricultural infrastructure and efficient biomass production (Ramessar *et al.*, 2008). Initially new traits for herbicide tolerance and pest or disease resistance were introduced into corn. Recent research on metabolic pathways that produce primary and secondary metabolites, specifically the compounds that are beneficial for human health and nutrition, has led to the introduction of novel traits in to corn. In a recent article utilization of advanced biotechnology tools to produce a broad range of high value molecules in corn was reviewed (Naqvi *et al.*, 2011). Some of the compounds successfully produced in transgenic corn include amino acids (Galili and Höfgen, 2002), very long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (Napier *et al.*, 2006), vitamins (Giuliano *et al.*,

2008; Zhu *et al.*, 2008; Chen *et al.*, 2006; Ishikawa *et al.*, 2006) and minerals (Drakakaki *et al.*, 2005). The emerging regulations that govern how transgenic corn plants and their products are grown, used and traded were also discussed by Naqvi and co-workers (2011).

Utilization of corn stover for bioproducts has advantages over alternative crops that are being examined as feedstock, because corn stover is already produced with grain and does not require dedicated land. The challenge is to determine the sustainable levels of stover that can be collected without adversely affecting ecosystems. Corn fiber is a by-product of the wet-milling process that separates fiber, gluten and germ from starch. Stillage residues from starch fermentation are folded into the corn fiber to produce corn gluten feed and sold as low-value cattle feed. New co-products from corn fiber and gluten feed could also add value to the corn processing industry. For example, it has been shown that corn fiber is a rich source of oryzanol (ferulic acid esters of phytosterols), which has cholesterol lowering properties (Jain *et al.*, 2008). Both corn fiber oil and gum have potential for functional food applications (Yadav *et al.*, 2007).

1.2.3 Barley

1.2.3.1 *Production*

Barley is among the four largest cereal crops (with wheat, maize and rice) grown in the world (Newman and Newman, 2008). In 2008 global barley production was about 155.1 million tonnes (FAO, 2010). The top barley growing countries are the Russian Federation, Ukraine, France and Germany. The United States was ranked as the ninth highest barley grower in the world with 5.3 million tonnes of production in 2008.

Barley is a grass that belongs to the Poaceae family, Triticeae tribe and genus *Hordeum* (Newman and Newman, 2008). One of the most important mutations associated with the domestication of wild barley to cultivated barley was non-brittle rachis formation, which resulted in efficient harvest without loss of grains (Pourkheirandish and Komatsuda, 2007). Genetic variation in starch structure and composition determine the end uses of barley. Traditionally, barley breeders focused on the improvements that benefit malting and the brewing industries rather than the feed market because malting barley receives premium price (Ullrich and Eslick, 1978). Recently, feed quality of barley has been receiving attention from the breeders, partly because barley is becoming a very important feed crop due to the increasing industrial uses and uncertainty in the availability of corn as feed (Rudi *et al.*, 2006).

The first transgenic barley plants were developed in 1994 (Jähne *et al.*, 1994). Early barley transformation studies aimed at improved grain quality for feed and beverages (Jensen *et al.*, 1996; Horvath *et al.*, 2000) or disease resistance (McGarth *et al.*, 1997; Leckband and Lörz, 1998). These studies have demonstrated that functional recombinant proteins such as enzymes can also be produced in transgenic barley, specifically in grain.

1.2.3.2 *Chemical Composition*

Barley is classified in several ways depending on agronomic properties, chemical composition and end use. The most common barley types are: spring and winter, two- and six-row, hulled and hullless, malting and feed, normal, waxy and high amylose starch, high lysine, high β -glucan, and proanthocyanidin-free barley (Baik and Ullrich, 2008). The chemical composition of barley grain varies significantly with genotype, agronomic

practices and environmental conditions (Griffey *et al.*, 2009; Aman *et al.*, 1985; Oscarsson *et al.*, 1996). Barley hull comprises about 13% of the kernel and consists mostly of cellulose, hemicelluloses (xylans), lignin and a small amount of protein (Andersson *et al.*, 1999). Hulls adhere to the caryopsis of the hulled barley while they are not attached or loosely attached to the grain surface of hullless barley. According to Bhatta (1999), hullless barley would ideally have less than 5% adhering hulls. The thickness of the hulls varies. Thick hulls adhere to the caryopsis less firmly than thin hulls. Presence or absence of hulls significantly affects grain composition. Hullless barley generally has lower ash and dietary fiber but higher starch, protein and oil content due to the absence of the hull.

Carbohydrates comprise about 80% of the barley grain. Starch is the major grain component and barley may contain up to 65% starch (Song and Jane, 2000). Starch and protein contents of hullless barley can be as high as those of field corn. A study on the chemical composition of 92 Swedish barley varieties showed that two-rowed barley varieties had slightly higher starch content while six-rowed barleys had higher protein and fiber (Aman *et al.*, 1985). Waxy barley varieties contain 5–8% less starch than that of non-waxy/regular barley varieties (Bhatta, 1999). Starch type and properties have a significant effect on barley end use. Amylopectin comprises 72–78% of the total starch in barley (Bhatta, 1999). Waxy barley varieties contain very high levels of amylopectin. The existence of barley cultivars with 100% amylopectin has been reported (Bhatta, 1997). Zero or waxy, normal and high amylose barley contain 0–5%, 20–30% and up to 45% amylose (based on grain weight), respectively (Baik and Ullrich, 2008). Starch granule size in hullless barley ranges from 2 to 30 μm (Bhatta, 1999; You and Izydorczyk, 2002). Among four types of hullless barley (normal, high, waxy and zero amylose), normal amylose type has the greatest amount of large granules (74.7%). Waxy, zero and high amylose starches consist of 66.4, 43.9 and 19.4% large granules, respectively (You and Izydorczyk, 2002).

The major non-starch carbohydrates in barley comprise (1,3)(1,4)- β -D-glucans and arabinoxylans. β -Glucans, which are mainly present in the endosperm cell walls (Oscarsson *et al.*, 1996), consist of high molecular weight linear chains of β -glucosyl residues polymerized through β -(1-3) and β -(1-4) linkages (Newman and Newman, 2008). The high β -glucan content of barley (2.5–11.3%) is notable (Izydorczyk and Dexter, 2008). High amylose and waxy barley have been reported to contain higher β -glucan than normal amylose type (You and Izydorczyk, 2002). β -Glucan is partially soluble in aqueous solutions due to the molecular, structural and solubility differences of polysaccharides present in its chemical structure (Newman and Newman 2008). The water soluble part of β -glucan produces high viscosity starch slurries that can cause problems during industrial processing. High viscosity mash increases pumping costs and complicates production. Low β -glucan content in the grain leads to low viscosity and little need of expensive enzymes to break it down for efficient processing and fermentation. Cellulose (1,4- β -D-glucan), fructans, arabinoxylans, glucomannan, galactomannan, arabinogalactan and a number of simple sugars and oligosaccharides are also present in barley grain in relatively small quantities.

Although phenolic compounds are minor constituents in barley, they play an important role in the nutritional quality of the grain. Phenolic compounds bind proteins, carbohydrates and minerals, thereby affecting the nutritional and functional value of the bound constituents. The major nutritional concern is the ability of condensed and hydrolysable tannins to bind strongly to large proteins, specifically to proteins high in proline, thereby reducing protein digestibility. Significant differences were found in flavanol and total phenol content among diverse barley genotypes (Griffiths and Welch, 1982). The variations in phenolic content were not correlated with grain size, malting quality and oil and protein contents. Barley grain

also contains numerous polyphenolic compounds, including catechin and proanthocyanidin (Aastrup *et al.*, 1984). Proanthocyanidins cause undesirable haze in beer. Fortunately, about 600 proanthocyanidin-free barley mutants have been isolated and used in breeding programs (Jende-Strid, 1993). Oxidation of phenolic compounds to *o*-quinones results in discoloration of the products made with barley after cooking (Sapers, 1993).

1.2.3.3 Utilization

It is estimated that about 85% of the world barley production is utilized as feed (Mäkinen and Nuutila, 2004). Malting is the second largest application for barley grain. Only 2% of barley is used for food production in the United States. However, in regions with extreme climates, such as Himalayan nations, Ethiopia and Morocco, barley remains an important food source (Baik and Ullrich, 2008).

Low protein content barley grain is preferred for malting. In general, protein and starch contents are negatively correlated in crops. Higher starch content in barley grain leads to higher extract content in malt. Low protein is ideal for starch production while higher protein content is desirable in feed barley. The health benefits of barley include reduction of blood LDL cholesterol level, glycemic index and body mass, which lead to control of heart disease and type-2 diabetes. Interest in incorporating low tannin barley in human diet is increasing because of its high nutritional value (Newman and Newman, 2008). The beneficial effects of barley are due to the presence of several bioactive compounds, such as β -glucans, tocopherols and tocotrienols in the grain (Baik and Ullrich, 2008). Indeed the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved a health claim verifying that barley contains high levels of β -glucans which help to prevent coronary heart disease when consumed by humans (FDA, 2005). Although today the main source of barley β -glucan in functional foods is oats, barley β -glucan has a potential for new formulations. Co-products from barley milling can be used to recover phenolic compounds and other antioxidants that can be incorporated into functional foods and nutraceuticals, such as high performance sports drinks and dietary supplements, anti-aging cosmetics and sun-screen lotions (Griffey *et al.*, 2009; Newman and Newman, 2008). Barley has the potential as a platform to produce various enzymes, therapeutic proteins and novel high value chemicals through genetic modifications (Jensen *et al.*, 1996; Jende-Strid, 1993; Horvath *et al.*, 2000).

Interest in using barley, particularly winter hulless winter barley, for bioproduct and biofuel production is growing specifically outside the Corn Belt area in the United States (Septiano *et al.*, 2010; Griffey *et al.*, 2009). Ground whole barley grain and flour have been successfully converted to bioethanol (Sohn *et al.*, 2007; Septiano *et al.*, 2010; Gibreel *et al.*, 2009). Production of valuable high protein content DDGS as a by-product improves the feasibility of barley as feedstock for alcohol production for fuel and solvent uses (Ingledeu *et al.*, 1995).

1.2.4 Sorghum

1.2.4.1 Production

Sorghum is a member of the grass family Poaceae. It is a drought-tolerant crop that grows well with minimal input. In regions with low rainfall, less than 900 mm annual rainfall, sorghum out-performs corn, making it an appealing crop in semiarid regions of the world (Zhan *et al.*, 2003). Total world production of sorghum was 66.8 million tonnes in 2008 (FAO,