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Foreword

I was delighted when Alison asked me to write the foreword to what is a very comprehensive text concerning assessment and outcome measurement specifically targeted at occupational therapists and physiotherapists. The key feature of this book lies in the exhaustive information contained in all the elements it addresses. The level of detail is impressive, and therefore will serve to inform not only the undergraduate student in the basic tenets of assessment but also the novice practitioner who is seeking more detailed knowledge to inform their practice and the Master’s student who searches for more in-depth information relating to their research, and even the expert practitioner or those embarking upon PhD studies will find it to be a stimulus to new and creative thinking in practice, evaluation and research.

The text takes us through the rationale around the need for comprehensive assessment in health and social care settings, describes the methods and sources of assessment data and then challenges the practitioner to consider to what use the collected assessment data within his/her own practice domain is put. The next section of the book details levels (types) of data, making clear reference to assessment examples used in everyday practice, as well as explaining standardisation of assessments and the concepts of validity and reliability. The third section of the book relates to application in practice, including the practicalities of assessment administration, as well as applying models of practice to assessment and, finally, explores clinical reasoning and reflection as parts of the assessment process. Thus the book brings together the totality of the practice process.

A welcome feature of the book is the focus on, and reference to, very detailed case studies that illustrate the elements of practice that the chapter has concentrated upon. Often students and novice practitioners are frustrated by the mini-vignettes that may appear in textbooks, and they cry out for more explicit and detailed examples of practice. In this textbook they have been provided, and make excellent learning tools for the reader. So too are the worksheets that have been supplied, such that individual, group or departmental CPD exercises could be carried out using these tools. In addition, the reader has the opportunity to review the learning achieved by the use of study questions in each chapter, with outline answers available at the end of the book.

This reference text can be used at various levels and therefore should provide useful information for the individual, the team, the group or the library and be a source of inspiration for practice reflection for many years.

Jenny Butler, PhD
Professor of Occupational Therapy
Oxford Brookes University
Preface

Principles of Assessment and Outcome Measurement for Occupational Therapists and Physiotherapists: Theory, Skills and Application aims to provide a comprehensive text on assessment, evaluation and outcome measurement for occupational therapy and physiotherapy students, therapists, managers and educators. The book explores the core principles that underpin effective and efficient therapy assessment processes across a wide range of diagnoses, age groups and practice settings.

Much of the literature, in therapy journals and health and social care policy, now extols the need for evidence-based practice, including the need for well-defined and measured outcomes, the application of evidence-based standardised tests and the development of rigorous assessment processes. Few therapists will disagree with this in principle, yet many struggle to apply this to their own practice. I continue to be alarmed by the number of clinicians who find it difficult to explain the differences between various types of validity or reliability or to understand why these are so important to their selection of tests. There are still far too few clinicians who can undertake a detailed search for evidence-based measures and rigorously critique those identified. Making this important shift in our professional practice has to occur at many levels: amongst educators, clinicians, managers and researchers. It is a shift I became committed to early in my career and became even more passionate about during my doctoral studies and the development of the Structured Observational Test of Function (Laver and Powell, 1995). This mission was further fuelled when exploring the topic further as an author who was invited to contribute chapters on assessment (Laver Fawcett, 2002; Laver and Unsworth, 1999; Laver, 1996). I have written this book in the hope that the information provided can help in our profession’s move towards evidence-based measurement through the education of our students. I also hope that clinicians who wish to develop more knowledge and confidence in this area will find the book informative and practical.

In my role as an occupational therapy educator (1991–1999), I searched on a number of occasions for a definitive text that would introduce my students to the importance of assessment and measurement in their practice. I wanted a text that would help them get to grips with psychometrics, test critique and the principles of test administration and reporting. I also looked for a text that provided detailed case examples that unpackaged the therapist’s clinical reasoning during assessment and showed the student, step by step, how to apply the principles of rigorous assessment and measurement to practice. Psychometric texts were usually too detailed and dry as a starting point for students. Many therapy texts had chapters on assessment, but the sections on psychometrics were often limited to a few paragraphs and lacked the depth required. Other texts were focused on assessment and evaluation with a particular population, such as children or people who have had a stroke. As I result, I ended up with an extensive reading list, with chapters and articles taken from a wide range of sources, and sometimes suffered complaints from students about the lack of a key set text. As I have worked on this book, I have held in the back of my mind the requirements I had as an educator and tried to pull these elements together into one text.
Over the years, I developed a number of worksheets that I have used with students and clinicians to help them apply the key principles of assessment and gain the confidence to put this knowledge into practice. Feedback received showed that students and colleagues found these helpful and so I have included them in the text.

The purpose of this book is to enable undergraduate and postgraduate students of occupational therapy and physiotherapy, as well as qualified physiotherapists and occupational therapists (clinicians, managers, educators and researchers), to:

• develop a comprehensive and effective assessment process for their clients; this involves integrating the application and interpretation of a range of data-collection methods to achieve a thorough, meaningful, valid and reliable assessment experience for users of therapy services
• select and implement appropriate standardised measures; this involves understanding the meaning and relevance of psychometric properties and being able to analyse data on psychometric properties in order to select the best evidence-based standardised tests and outcome measures for a client group or research population.

It is hoped that *Principles of Assessment and Outcome Measurement for Occupational Therapists and Physiotherapists: Theory, Skills and Application* will encourage and assist students and therapists to reflect upon and improve the quality of their assessment and measurement approaches.

Alison Laver Fawcett
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Introduction

The purpose of this book is to enable students of occupational therapy and physiotherapy, as well as qualified physiotherapists and occupational therapists, to understand the complex art and science of assessment processes, to be familiar with psychometric terms and to be able to identify the properties of tests in order to select and confidently implement the use of appropriate standardised tests and outcome measures in their clinical practice and research.

This introduction briefly describes, chapter by chapter, what is going to be examined in this book. The introduction also discusses and defines the key terminology to be used in the text (including assessment, evaluation, outcome and measurement). Chapter 1 focuses on the importance of accurate assessment (including the advantages and disadvantages of informal versus standardised methods). Methods of assessment such as self-report, proxy and observational approaches are investigated in Chapter 2. In Chapter 3, the different purposes of assessment (such as descriptive, discriminative, predictive and evaluative) are explored and the timing of assessments (including initial, baseline, monitoring and outcome) are considered. Chapter 4 addresses the question of ‘What is measurement?’ and provides definitions and examples of four levels of measurement (nominal, ordinal, interval and ratio). Test development, standardisation, norm-referenced and criterion-referenced tests are then examined in Chapter 5. Chapter 6 focuses on the topic of validity and concludes with an exploration of face validity and clinical utility. Reliability is then examined in Chapter 7, and this includes an explanation of the different types of reliability statistics used to examine levels of reliability and concepts such as test specificity, sensitivity, error of measurement and floor and ceiling effects. Chapter 8 explains the process of test administration and makes suggestions for reporting and recording test results. The application of models of function to therapy assessment and measurement is explored in Chapter 9 and illustrated with a number of examples, including the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. Chapter 10 explains the importance of clinical reasoning and reflective practice in effective assessment and discusses different types of clinical reasoning (including diagnostic, interactive, pragmatic, procedural, narrative and ethical reasoning). Advice on implementing the optimum assessment and measurement approach is provided in Chapter 11, along with a detailed example of a test critique and suggestions for examining your current assessment practice and planning improvements to your assessment process. The book ends with a very detailed case study in Chapter 12; this case describes the role of an occupational therapist and a physiotherapist working with a person who is being supported by a Chronic Pain Service and illustrates many of the principles, skills and issues discussed in previous chapters.

Throughout the book, examples of standardised tests along with clinical examples, case vignettes and case histories are used to help the reader see how to apply the principles and skills described to their practice. Worksheets have also been developed to facilitate the reader to start to apply the principles and skills to their own practice. Study questions and brief answers...
are provided to help the reader check whether they have grasped the key concepts from each chapter.

This introduction will consider the role of occupational therapy and physiotherapy. It provides a review of definitions for key terms used in everyday therapy practice: assessment, evaluation, scale, outcome and measurement. It concludes by presenting the definitions of these key terms that have been developed or selected as a foundation for this text.

**OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY AND PHYSIOTHERAPY**

This book is written for both occupational therapists and physiotherapists. In exploring assessment and measurement within these professions, it is critical to understand what these two groups of therapists are trying to achieve. In North America, the term *physical therapists* (as opposed to physiotherapists) is used, and these two terms will be used interchangeably in this book depending upon the source of any quoted material. Physiotherapy/physical therapy can be defined as the treatment of physical dysfunction or injury by the use of therapeutic exercises and the application of modalities intended to restore or facilitate normal function or development. The World Confederation for Physical Therapy (WCPT) states:

> The aim of physical therapists is to identify and maximise human movement potential within the spheres of promotion, prevention, treatment and rehabilitation, in partnership with their clients. (World Confederation for Physical Therapy, 2006b)

*Occupational therapy* is defined by the College of Occupational Therapists (COT) as:

> Occupational therapists work in partnership with people of all ages to find ways of helping them to carry out activities they need or choose to do in order to achieve satisfaction in their daily lives. Occupational therapy assists people to participate in the occupations they need or choose to do through the therapeutic use of activities that are analysed, chosen and adapted to suit the needs and preferences of individuals. (College of Occupational Therapists, 2005a, Appendix 7)

The World Federation of Occupational Therapists (WFOT) provides a definition of occupational therapy that was agreed at a WFOT council meeting in 2004, and can be found on its website supplied with its reference. This definition begins by stating:

> Occupational therapy is a profession concerned with promoting health and wellbeing through occupation. The primary goal of occupational therapy is to enable people to participate in the activities of everyday life. Occupational therapists achieve this outcome by enabling people to do things that will enhance their ability to participate or by modifying the environment to better support participation. (World Federation of Occupational Therapists, 2005)

The major goal of both physiotherapy and occupational therapy is to help people to maximise their potential by reducing the impact of any impairments and limiting the resulting disability and/or handicap. Therapists work with people to help them to obtain their highest levels of activity and participation in order to enhance their quality of life. When a person has a progressive or terminal illness, the goal may be to maintain function for as long as possible and reduce the negative impact of pathology on their quality of life. Therefore, the major objective of both occupational therapy and physiotherapy assessment is to gain a clear picture of the individual in order to develop an intervention plan that will result in improved, or maintained, function and enhanced quality of life. Therapists strive to ensure that these interventions are effective, efficient and economical in order to provide quality services to clients and their carers. Therapists need to use outcome measures to evaluate the effects of their interventions.
Occupational therapists and physiotherapists work in a wide range of settings, including hospitals, health centres, service users’ own homes, schools, supported housing and work environments. The vast majority of therapists work within health care services. Health care services are provided in order to prevent, diagnose and treat illness and include services to promote and protect public health (Department of Health, 2004).

**LABELS USED FOR PROVIDERS AND RECIPIENTS OF THERAPY SERVICES**

Throughout this text, the term therapist will be used to include both occupational therapists and physiotherapists. The terms clinician and health care professional are also used as per the Department of Health’s (DoH; 2004) definitions:

- A clinician is defined as a ‘professionally qualified staff providing clinical care to patients’ (p. 30).
- A health care professional is defined as ‘a person who is a member of a profession regulated body’ (p. 31).

The terms patient (a person receiving health care), client, service user and person will be used interchangeably throughout the text to indicate a recipient of occupational therapy and/or physiotherapy services.

- The word patient stems from the Latin word *pati*, which means ‘to suffer’ and is still used in medical settings, such as in-patient hospital care (Turner, 2002, p. 355).
- In community settings, the term client is more frequently used, but, like Turner, I feel the derivation of this word from the Latin *cluere*, which means ‘to obey’ or ‘hear’, does not reflect concepts of person-centred working, which are becoming more prominent amongst therapy literature.
- Over the past decade, an emphasis on the rights of people receiving health care, and the move away from viewing patients as passive recipients of care, has led to the use of the term service user (Turner, 2002). The DoH defines a service user as ‘an individual who uses a health care service, including those who are not in need of treatment, such as blood donors, carers or those using screening services’ (Department of Health, 2004, p. 34).

Turner (2002) recommends that as proponents of partnership and person-centred working we should use the terms people and person, as opposed to client or patient. Where the context allows and the meaning is clear, the term person will be used in this book to denote the recipient of therapy services.

The term carer is used by the DoH to describe a friend/relative who helps out with the care of another person; for the purposes of this book a carer is defined as ‘a person, usually a relative or friend, who provides care on a voluntary basis implicit in relationships between family members’ (Department of Health, 2001a, p. 153). It should be noted that in some instances within health and social care settings the term carer can be used to describe a paid staff member delivering care.

To improve the flow of the text, the therapist will be referred to as female and the service user as male in the majority of this book (certain case studies reverse this general rule).

**THE IMPORTANCE OF THE SELECTION AND APPLICATION OF TERMINOLOGY IN PRACTICE**

It is important to begin a book on assessment and outcome measurement with clear definitions of the key terminology to be used within the text. However, this is far from being a simple exercise. Assessment, evaluation, scales, outcome, measurement and outcome measurement mean
different things to different people (Stokes and O’Neill, 1999), and there is some discrepancy in
the use of these terms within the physiotherapy and occupational therapy literature. Therapists
do not necessarily have a common vocabulary to describe some of their key concepts; for
example, Mosey (1991) states that a ‘lack of common vocabulary – the result of marked inat-
tention to concept-definition-label consistency – is a serious problem in occupational therapy’
(p. 67). More recently, Denshire and Mullavey-O’Byrne (2003) report that ‘finding a congruent
language to give meaning to practice remains a contentious issue in the literature’ (p. 519). To add to
the confusion, both everyday and professional vocabulary can have very different meanings on op-
posite sides of the Atlantic. (As a British therapist working in the USA and Canada for several years,
I had personal experience of this – sometimes with embarrassing or amusing consequences!)

The selection and application of terms to describe therapy practices is important because the
language we use gives our practice a public face and enables us to share ideas and information. In
the area of assessment and measurement, therapists need to obtain a clear understanding of what is
meant by frequently used terms in order to communicate effectively about the assessment process
and its results with service users and their carers, other health care professionals, referral sources,
discharge destinations, managers and policy developers.

The College of Occupational Therapists (2005a) commissioned the Standard Terminology
Project to represent the understanding of key terms of the majority of members of the oc-
cupational therapy profession in the UK. An earlier piece of work was undertaken by the
American Occupational Therapy Association (AOTA), who produced the Uniform Terminology
for Reporting Occupational Therapy Services (American Occupational Therapy Association,
1979). The WCPT and the Chartered Society of Physiotherapy (CSP) provide some definitions
and descriptions on their websites. As we find competing and overlapping definitions of terms
related to assessment and measurement in the therapy, it is helpful to start with dictionary defi-
nitions and see how these terms are used in everyday language. I shall begin with dictionary
definitions of key terms. I have used my dependable Concise Oxford Dictionary (Sykes, 1983),
which I was given when I started my occupational therapy training (now that ages me!) and, as
definitions can change over time, I shall also use a much more up-to-date resource: the range
of excellent free dictionary and encyclopaedia services that are available on the World Wide
Web. I will then explore the use of these words (assessment, evaluation, scale, measurement,
outcome and outcome measure) within the therapy and health care literature nationally and
internationally in order to gain a deeper understanding of the meanings ascribed to these terms
by therapists and in an attempt to give a definitive definition.

DEFINITIONS OF KEY TERMS

ASSESSMENT

Sykes (1983) defines assess as ‘fix amount of and impose (on person or community); . . . esti-
mate magnitude or quality of’. In the health care literature it has been defined as ‘to identify,
describe, evaluate and validate information’ (Centre for Advanced Palliative Care, 2005). An
online dictionary definition for assessment calls it ‘the act or result of judging the worth or
value of something or someone’ and gives related words, including evaluation and judgment

There are numerous definitions of assessment in the rehabilitation literature. The DoH defines
assessment as ‘a process whereby the needs of an individual are identified and quality of life is
assessed’ (Department of Health, 2001a, p. 151). The AOTA (1979) also calls it a process; in this
case it describes assessment as the process of determining the need for, nature of and estimated
time of treatment, as well as coordinating with other professionals involved. In the UK, the COT
also defines assessment as a process and says it is ‘the process of collecting accurate and relevant
information about the client in order to set baselines and to monitor and measure the outcomes of
therapy or intervention’ (College of Occupational Therapists, 2003a, p. 50).
The WCPT describes assessment as the first stage of the physiotherapy process.

Assessment includes both the examination of individuals or groups with actual or potential impairments, functional limitations, disabilities, or other conditions of health by history taking, screening and the use of specific tests and measures and evaluation of the results of the examination through analysis and synthesis within a process of clinical reasoning. (http://www.fisionline.org/WCPT.html#Iniziale2, accessed 27.10.05)

Two American authors define assessment simply as ‘a process by which data are gathered, hypotheses formulated, and decisions made for further action’ (Christiansen and Baum, 1991, p. 848). Two other American occupational therapy authors describe assessment as ‘the planned collection, interpretation, and documentation of the functional status of an individual related to the individual’s capacity to perform valued or required self-care, work or leisure tasks’ (Rogers and Holm, 1989, p. 6). The Royal College of Physicians (RCP) also perceives assessment as including ‘both the collection of data and the interpretation of those data in order to inform decision’ (Royal College of Physicians, 2002, section 4.1). Law and Letts (1989) describe it as an essential component of the therapy process and say assessment is used to describe the person’s strengths and problems, formulate a prognosis and evaluate the effects of therapy interventions.

Another description of physiotherapy assessment refers to a range of methods and embeds measurement as part of the process.

A physiotherapist will initially conduct a subjective examination (interview) of a patient’s medical history, and then go on to the objective assessment (physical examination). The subjective examination is guided by the presenting system and complaint, and the objective assessment is in turn guided by the history. This semistructured process is used to rule out serious pathology (so-called red flags), establish functional limitations, refine the diagnosis, guide therapy, and establish a baseline for monitoring progress. As such, the objective exam will then use certain quantifiable measurements to both guide diagnosis and for progress monitoring. These depend upon the system (and area) being managed. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Physical_therapy#Assessment, accessed 27.10.05)

Some themes about the nature of assessment that emerge from these definitions are:

- assessment is a process
- assessment involves multiple methods of obtaining and interpreting information/data
- information obtained through assessment enables therapists to decide whether therapy is required, to set a baseline for treatment and to evaluate the results of that treatment
- assessment is a process that encompasses the evaluation and measurement of outcomes.

For the purposes of this book, assessment will be defined as:

**Definition of assessment**

Assessment is the overall process of selecting and using multiple data-collection tools and various sources of information to inform decisions required for guiding therapeutic intervention during the whole therapy process. It involves interpreting information collected to make clinical decisions related to the needs of the person and the appropriateness and nature of their therapy. Assessment involves the evaluation of the outcomes of therapeutic interventions.
EVALUATION

Jacobs (1993) notes that ‘often, the term assessment is incorrectly thought to be synonymous with evaluation’ and goes on to say that ‘assessment encompasses evaluation as one of its . . . phases’ (p. 228). The word evaluation is a noun; related words used by therapists include to evaluate (verb) and evaluative (adjective). Evaluation is ‘the act or result of evaluating’ (from CancerWeb at: http://cancerweb.ncl.ac.uk/cgi-bin/omd?query=evaluation, accessed 27.10.05). Sykes (1983) defines evaluate as ‘ascertain amount of; find numerical expression for; appraise; assess’. An online dictionary (http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=evaluate, accessed 27.10.05) provides three definitions for the word evaluate:

- to ascertain or fix the value or worth of
- to examine and judge carefully; appraise
- mathematics: to calculate the numerical value of; express numerically.

The COT defines evaluation as ‘the process of using clinical reasoning, problem-analysis, self-appraisal and review to interpret the results of assessment in order to make judgements about the situation or needs of an individual, the success of occupational therapy or the therapist’s own performance’ (College of Occupational Therapists, 2003a, p. 53). So evaluation is also applied to service review as well as to individual client work. Corr (2003) states that ‘a service might be very good, but without evaluation its value diminishes because there is no objective measure of it being “very good”’ (p. 235).

The WCPT states that the stage of evaluation in the physical therapy process ‘necessitates re-examination for the purpose of evaluating outcomes’ (http://www.fisonline.org/WCPT.html#Iniziale2, accessed 27.10.05).

Some ideas about evaluation that appear in these definitions are:

- evaluation involves examining or judging the amount or value of something
- evaluation can be viewed as a subcomponent of a broader assessment process
- evaluation is undertaken to enable a therapist to make a clinical judgement about her client or a judgement about the value of her service
- evaluation of outcomes requires re-examination, and so a therapist has to obtain the same information/data on two occasions to evaluate any change in the outcome of interest
- evaluation can involve expressing something numerically.

For the purposes of this book, evaluation will be defined as:

**Definition of evaluation**

Evaluation is a component of a broader assessment process. It involves the collection of data to enable the therapist to make a judgement about the amount of a specific construct of interest (such as degree of range of movement or level of independence in an activity of daily living) or to make a judgement about the value of an intervention for delivering the desired outcome for a person or the value of a service for delivering outcomes of relevance to the client population. Evaluation often involves data being collected at two time points in order to measure effect and also can involve the translation of observations to numerical scores.

SCALE

Within therapy and rehabilitation literature, we also see the terms scale, rating scales and measurement scales. The word scale appears in quite a lot of standardised health and therapy