

PRINCIPLES OF HEALTH CARE ETHICS

Second Edition

Edited by

Richard E. Ashcroft

Queen Mary, University of London, Barts and the London Medical School,
Institute of Health Sciences Education, London, UK

Angus Dawson

Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK

Heather Draper

Centre for Biomedical Ethics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

John R. McMillan

Philosophy Department, The University of Hull, Hull, UK



John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

PRINCIPLES OF HEALTH CARE ETHICS

Second Edition

PRINCIPLES OF HEALTH CARE ETHICS

Second Edition

Edited by

Richard E. Ashcroft

Queen Mary, University of London, Barts and the London Medical School,
Institute of Health Sciences Education, London, UK

Angus Dawson

Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK

Heather Draper

Centre for Biomedical Ethics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

John R. McMillan

Philosophy Department, The University of Hull, Hull, UK



John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Copyright © 2007

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester,
West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England

Telephone (+44) 1243 779777

Email (for orders and customer service enquiries): cs-books@wiley.co.uk

Visit our Home Page on www.wileyurope.com or www.wiley.com

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except under the terms of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1T 4LP, UK, without the permission in writing of the Publisher. Requests to the Publisher should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England, or emailed to permreq@wiley.co.uk, or faxed to (+44) 1243 770620.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The Publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the Publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Other Wiley Editorial Offices

John Wiley & Sons Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Jossey-Bass, 989 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1741, USA

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Boschstr. 12, D-69469 Weinheim, Germany

John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd, 42 McDougall Street, Milton, Queensland 4064, Australia

John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte Ltd, 2 Clementi Loop #02-01, Jin Xing Distripark, Singapore 129809

John Wiley & Sons Canada Ltd, 6045 Freemont Blvd, Mississauga, Ontario, L5R 4J3, Canada

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Anniversary Logo Design: Richard J. Pacifico

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Principles of health care ethics. – 2nd ed. / edited by Richard E.

Ashcroft... [et al.].

p.; cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-470-02713-4

1. Medical ethics. I. Ashcroft, Richard E.

[DNLM: 1. Ethics, Clinical. 2. Bioethical Issues. 3. Biomedical

Technology—ethics. 4. Delivery of Health Care—ethics.

5. Professional-Patient Relations—ethics. 6. Social Medicine. WB 60 P957 2007]

R724.P69 2007

174.2--dc22

2006038748

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-470-02713-4

Typeset in 9/11pt Times by Thomson Digital

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Antony Rowe Ltd, Chippenham, Wiltshire

This book is printed on acid-free paper responsibly manufactured from sustainable forestry in which at least two trees are planted for each one used for paper production.

Contents

List of Contributors	xi
Foreword: Raanan E. Gillon	xix
Foreword: Tony Hope	xxi
Preface	xxiii
PART I: METHODOLOGY AND PERSPECTIVES Introduction by John R. McMillan	1
1 The 'Four Principles' Approach to Health Care Ethics	3
<i>Tom L. Beauchamp</i>	
2 Theories of Autonomy	11
<i>Natalie Stoljar</i>	
3 Beneficence	19
<i>Garrett Cullity</i>	
4 Responsibilities for Poverty-Related Ill Health	27
<i>Thomas Pogge</i>	
5 Liberalism and Communitarianism	35
<i>Colin Tyler</i>	
6 How Many Principles for Bioethics?	43
<i>Robert M. Veatch</i>	
7 Casuistical Reasoning in Medical Ethics	51
<i>Albert R. Jonsen</i>	
8 Utilitarianism and Bioethics	57
<i>Matti Häyry</i>	
9 Deontology	65
<i>David A. McNaughton and J. Piers Rawling</i>	
10 Kantian Ethics	73
<i>Onora O'Neill</i>	
11 Feminist Approaches to Health Care Ethics	79
<i>Susan Sherwin</i>	
12 Virtue Theory	87
<i>Justin Oakley</i>	
13 Moral Relativism	93
<i>Mark Sheehan</i>	
14 Christian Approaches to Bioethics	99
<i>Heather Widdows</i>	
15 Judaism and Medicine: Jewish Medical Ethics	109
<i>Fred Rosner</i>	

16	The Search for Islamic Bioethics Principles <i>Abdulaziz Sachedina</i>	117
17	Buddhist Bioethics <i>James Hughes</i>	127
18	South Asian Approaches to Health Care Ethics <i>Harold Coward</i>	135
19	The Specious Idea of an Asian Bioethics: Beyond Dichotomizing East and West <i>Jing-Bao Nie</i>	143
20	Narrative Ethics <i>Howard Brody</i>	151
21	Empirical Approaches to Health Care Ethics <i>Jeremy Sugarman, Robert A. Pearlman, Holly A. Taylor</i>	159
22	Medical Sociology and the Redundancy of Empirical Ethics <i>Adam Hedgcock</i>	167
23	The Use of Thought Experiments in Health Care Ethics <i>Adrian Walsh</i>	177
24	Deliberative Bioethics <i>Michael Parker</i>	185
25	Law, Ethics and Health Care <i>Sheila A.M. McLean</i>	193
26	Medical Humanities: An Overview <i>Martyn Evans</i>	199
27	Reflective Equilibrium as a Method in Health Care Ethics <i>Theo van Willigenburg</i>	207
28	Hermeneutic Ethics between Practice and Theory <i>Guy A.M. Widdershoven, Tineke A. Abma</i>	215
29	Paternalism in Health Care and Health Policy <i>James F. Childress</i>	223
30	Need: An Instrumental View <i>Anthony J. Culyer</i>	231
31	Rights <i>James G.S. Wilson</i>	239
32	Exploitation in Health Care <i>Alan Wertheimer</i>	247
33	Competence to Consent <i>Monique F. Jonas</i>	255
34	The Doctrine of Double Effect <i>Suzanne Uniacke</i>	263
35	Ordinary and Extraordinary Means <i>Stephen D. John</i>	269
36	Acts and Omissions <i>Tuija Takala</i>	273
37	Personhood and Moral Status <i>Ainsley J. Newson</i>	277
38	Commodification <i>Stephen Wilkinson</i>	285
PART II: ISSUES IN HEALTH CARE PRACTICE Introduction by Heather Draper		293
39	Consent and Informed Consent <i>Neil C. Manson</i>	297

40	Treatment Decisions for Incapacitated Patients <i>Rebecca S. Dresser</i>	305
41	Children's Consent to Medical Treatment <i>David W. Archard</i>	311
42	Patients and Disclosure of Surgical Risk <i>Justin Oakley</i>	319
43	Confidentiality <i>Rebecca Bennett</i>	325
44	Truth Telling, Lying and the Doctor–Patient Relationship <i>Roger Higgs</i>	333
45	Personal Beliefs and Patient Care <i>Jennifer Jackson</i>	339
46	Conscience and Health Care Ethics <i>Piers Benn</i>	345
47	Care in Families <i>Hilde Lindemann</i>	351
48	The Ethics of Primary Health Care <i>Annette J. Braunack-Mayer</i>	357
49	The Nurse–Patient Relationship: A ‘Principles plus Care’ Account <i>Steven D. Edwards</i>	365
50	Dual Responsibilities: Do They Raise Any Different Ethical Issues from ‘Normal’ Therapeutic Relationships? <i>Ann Sommerville and Veronica English</i>	371
51	Violent and Abusive Patients: An Ethically Informed Response <i>G.M. Behr, J.S. Emmanuel, J.P. Ruddock</i>	379
52	The Moral Significance of the Human Foetus <i>Norman Ford</i>	387
53	Will We Need Abortion in Utopia? <i>Adrienne Asch</i>	393
54	Maternal–Foetal Conflict <i>Rosamund Scott</i>	401
55	Limits to Reproductive Liberty <i>Thomas H. Murray</i>	409
56	Disability without Denial <i>Tom Sorell</i>	415
57	Disability and Equity: Should Difference Be Welcomed? <i>Tom Shakespeare</i>	421
58	Genetic Counselling <i>Angus Clarke</i>	427
59	Ethics and Psychotherapy: An Issue of Trust <i>Tim Bond</i>	435
60	Mental Illness and Compulsory Treatment <i>John R. McMillan</i>	443
61	Personality Disorders and Compulsory Detention <i>Matt Matravers</i>	449
62	<i>Labia mea, Domine</i> : Media, Morality and Eating Disorders <i>Simona Giordano</i>	455
63	Intellectual Disability <i>Pekka Louhiala</i>	463
64	Ethical Issues and Health Care for Older People <i>Julian C. Hughes</i>	469
65	Organs and Tissues for Transplantation and Research <i>David P.T. Price</i>	475

66	Living Donor Organ Transplantation <i>Timothy M. Wilkinson</i>	483
67	Euthanasia and Principled Health Care Ethics: From Conflict to Compromise? <i>Richard Huxtable</i>	489
68	Understanding and Misunderstanding Death <i>David Lamb</i>	497
69	Ethics without Boundaries: Medical Tourism <i>Guido Pennings</i>	505
70	Ethics of Performance Enhancement in Sport: Drugs and Gene Doping <i>Bennett Foddy, Julian Savulescu</i>	511
71	Training Good Professionals: Ethics and Health Care Education <i>Nafsika Athanassoulis</i>	521
72	Ethics Consultation and Ethics Committees <i>Anne Slowther</i>	527
PART III: MEDICINE IN SOCIETY Introduction by Angus Dawson		535
73	The Concepts of Health and Illness <i>Lennart Y. Nordenfelt</i>	537
74	Community in Public Health Ethics <i>Bruce Jennings</i>	543
75	Health Promotion, Society and Health Care Ethics <i>Alan Cribb</i>	549
76	Preventing Disease <i>Marcel Verweij</i>	557
77	Quantitative Methods for Priority-Setting in Health: Ethical Issues <i>Daniel Wikler, Dan W. Brock, Sarah Marchand, and Tessa Tan Torres</i>	563
78	Economics, Political Philosophy and Ethics: The Role of Public Preferences in Health Care Decision-Making <i>Jeff Richardson, John McKie</i>	569
79	Decision Analysis: The Ethical Approach to Most Health Decision Making <i>Jack Dowie</i>	577
80	Health Inequities and the Social Determinants of Health <i>Wendy Rogers</i>	585
81	Organizational Ethics in Health Care <i>Jacob E. Kurlander, Marion Danis</i>	593
82	Ethical Issues in Epidemiology <i>Steven S. Coughlin</i>	601
83	Screening: Ethical Aspects <i>Niklas Juth, Christian Munthe</i>	607
84	Vaccination Ethics <i>Angus Dawson</i>	617
85	The Patient as Victim and Vector: Bioethics and the Challenge of Infectious Diseases <i>Margaret P. Battin, Linda S. Carr-Lee, Leslie P. Francis, Jay A. Jacobson, Charles B. Smith</i>	623
86	Bioterrorism, Society and Health Care Ethics <i>Michael J. Selgelid</i>	631
87	Drug Addiction, Society and Ethics <i>Wayne Hall, Adrian Carter</i>	639
88	Smoking: Is Acceptance of the Risks Fully Voluntary? <i>Robert E. Goodin</i>	647
89	Doctors and Human Rights <i>Doris Schroeder</i>	655
90	Duties to Refugees and Asylum Seekers in Host Countries' Medical Systems <i>Pascale Allotey, Hilary Pickles, Vanessa Johnston</i>	663

91	Medical Aid in Disaster Relief <i>Søren Holm</i>	671
PART IV: RESEARCH ETHICS AND ETHICS OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES Introduction by Richard E. Ashcroft		
92	The Ethics and Governance of Medical Research <i>Richard E. Ashcroft</i>	681
93	On The Ethics of Animal Research <i>David DeGrazia</i>	689
94	The Ethical Requirement for Systematic Reviews for Randomized Trials <i>Mike Clarke</i>	697
95	Informed Consent for Research <i>James Flory, David Wendler and Ezekiel Emanuel</i>	703
96	Evaluating Benefits and Harms in Clinical Research <i>Paul B. Miller and Charles Weijer</i>	711
97	Patients' Obligations? <i>Simon Woods</i>	719
98	Standard of Care Owed to Participants in Clinical Trials: Different Standards in Different Countries? <i>Reidar K. Lie</i>	729
99	Justice and Priority Setting in International Health Care Research <i>Solomon R. Benatar</i>	735
100	Obligations of the Pharmaceutical Industry <i>Udo Schuklenk and Jim Gallagher</i>	743
101	Ethics and Medical Publishing <i>Richard Smith and Iain Chalmers</i>	751
102	Human Reproductive Cloning <i>D. Gareth Jones and Kerry A. Galvin</i>	759
103	Obtaining Human Eggs for Stem Cell Research: Ethical Issues <i>Heather Draper</i>	767
104	The Ethics of Xenotransplantation <i>Jonathan Hughes</i>	775
105	Pharmacogenomics <i>Ruth Chadwick</i>	783
106	Ethical Issues in Human Gene Transfer: A Historical Overview <i>Eric T. Juengst and Hannah Grankvist</i>	789
107	The Ethics of Ageing, Immortality and Genetics <i>Daniela Cutas and John Harris</i>	797
108	Ethical Issues of Enhancement Technologies <i>Ruud H.J. Ter Meulen, Lisbeth Nielsen, Laurens Landeweerd</i>	803
109	Psychosurgery and Neuroimplantation: Changing What is Deep Within a Person <i>Grant Gillett</i>	811
110	Resisting Addiction: Novel Application of Vaccines <i>Andreas Hasman</i>	819
	Index	827

Contributors

TINEKE A. ABMA Professor, Department of Health Ethics and Philosophy, PO Box 616, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiteit Maastricht, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. Email: t.abma@zw.unimaas.nl

PASCALE ALLOTEY Chair in Race and Diversity, School of Health Sciences and Social Care, and, Centre for Public Health Research, Brunel University, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, UK. Email: Pascale.Allotey@brunel.ac.uk

DAVID W. ARCHARD Director, Institute for Philosophy & Public Policy (IPPP), Furness College, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YG, UK. Email: d.archard@lancaster.ac.uk

ADRIENNE ASCH Edward and Robin Milstein Professor of Bioethics, Wurzweiler School of Social Work, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Yeshiva University, 2495 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10033, USA. Email: asch@yu.edu

RICHARD E. ASHCROFT Professor of Biomedical Ethics, Queen Mary University of London, Barts and the London Medical School, Institute of Health Sciences Education, 38–40 New Road, London E1 2AX, UK. Email: r.ashcroft@qmul.ac.uk

NAFSIKA ATHANASSOULIS Lecturer in Ethics, Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email: n.athanassoulis@peak.keele.ac.uk

MARGARET P. BATTIN Distinguished University Professor, Division of Medical Ethics, 260 Central Campus Drive, Room 341, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-9156, USA. Email: battin@utah.edu

TOM L. BEAUCHAMP Professor of Philosophy, Department of Philosophy, Georgetown University, New North 215–37th and O Streets, NW Washington, DC 20057, USA. Email: beauchat@georgetown.edu

GRAHAM M. BEHR Consultant Psychiatrist and Honorary Senior Lecturer in Psychiatry, South Paddington Team, Central and North West London Mental Health NHS Trust, 7A Woodfield Road, London, W9 2NW, UK. Email: graham.behr@nhs.net

SOLOMON R. BENATAR Professor of Medicine, Director Bioethics Centre, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, J Floor Old Main Building, Grootte Schuur Hospital, Observatory 7925, Western Cape, South Africa. Email: sbenatar@uctgsh1.uct.ac.za or solly.benatar@utoronto.ca

PIERS BENN Lecturer, Division of Epidemiology, Public Health and Primary Care, Imperial College London, Reynolds Building, St Dunstan's Road, London W6 8RP, UK. Email: p.benn@imperial.ac.uk

REBECCA BENNETT Senior Lecturer in Bioethics, The Centre for Social Ethics and Policy, School of Law, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. Email: rebecca.bennett@manchester.ac.uk

TIM BOND Reader in Counselling and Professional Ethics, Graduate School of Education, University of Bristol, 35 Berkeley Square, Bristol, BS8 1JA. Email: Tim.Bond@bristol.ac.uk

ANNETTEE J. BRAUNACK-MAYER Associate Professor, Discipline of Public Health, The University of Adelaide, Mail Drop 207, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia. Email: annette.braunackmayer@adelaide.edu.au

DAN W. BROCK Frances Glessner Lee Professor of Medical Ethics at Harvard Medical School and Chair of the Division of Medical Ethics, Harvard Program in Ethics and Health, Harvard Medical School, 641 Huntington Avenue, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Email: dan_brock@hms.harvard.edu

HOWARD BRODY University Distinguished Professor, Family Practice, Philosophy, and Centre for Ethics and Humanities in the Life Sciences, B100 Clinical Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA. Email: Howard.Brody@ht.msu.edu

LINDA S. CARR-LEE Research Associate/Course Coordinator, Division of Medical Ethics, LDS Hospital, 8th Ave and C Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84143, USA. Email: Linda.Carr-Lee@intermountainmail.org

ADRIAN CARTER Office of Public Policy and Ethics, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, and The Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Ritchie Building (64A) (C Wing), St Lucia, QLD, 4072, Australia. Email: adrian.carter@uq.edu.au

RUTH CHADWICK Professor, CESAGen: ESRC Centre for Economic and Social Aspects of Genomics: a Lancaster-Cardiff collaboration, Cardiff Law School, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3XJ, UK. Email: chadwickr1@cardiff.ac.uk

IAIN CHALMERS Editor, James Lind Library, The James Lind Initiative, Summertown Pavillion, Middle Way, Oxford OX2 7LG, UK. Email: Ichalmers@jameslindlibrary.org

JAMES F. CHILDRESS Hollingsworth Professor, Institute for Practical Ethics and Public Life, University of Virginia, PO Box 400800, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA. Email: jfc7c@virginia.edu

ANGUS CLARKE Department of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Health Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK. Email: clarkeaj@cardiff.ac.uk

MIKE CLARKE Professor, Director, UK Cochrane Centre, NHS R&D Programme, Summertown Pavillion, Middle Way, Oxford OX2 7LG, UK. Email: mclarke@cochrane.co.uk, mike.clarke@ctsu.ox.ac.uk

STEVEN S. COUGHLIN Epidemiology and Applied Research Branch, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway, NE (K-55), Atlanta, GA 30341, USA. Email: sic9@cdc.gov

HAROLD COWARD Director, Centre for Studies in Religion and Society, University of Victoria, PO Box 3045, Victoria, BC V8W 3P4 Canada. Email: csrs@uvvm.uvic.ca

ALAN CRIBB Professor of Bioethics and Education, Centre for Public Policy Research, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK. Email: alan.cribb@kcl.ac.uk

GARRETT CULLITY Associate Professor, Department of Philosophy, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia. Email: garrett.cullity@adelaide.edu.au

ANTHONY J. CULYER Chief Scientist, Institute for Work & Health, 481 University Avenue, Toronto, ON, M5G 2E9, Canada. Email: aculyer@iwh.on.ca

DANIELA CUTAŞ Research Fellow, CSEP/IMLAB, School of Law, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, M13 9PL, UK. Email: Daniela.Cutas@manchester.ac.uk

MARION DANIS Head, Section on Ethics and Health Policy, Department of Clinical Bioethics, Warren G. Magnuson Clinical Center, Building 10 Room 1C118, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1156, USA. Email: mdanis@nih.gov

ANGUS DAWSON Senior Lecturer in Ethics and Philosophy, Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email: a.j.dawson@keele.ac.uk

DAVID DEGRAZIA Professor of Philosophy, Department of Philosophy, George Washington University, Phillips 525, Washington DC 20052, USA. Email: ddd@gwu.edu

JACK DOWIE Emeritus Professor of Health Impact Analysis, Public Health and Policy Dept, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK. Email: Jack.Dowie@lshtm.ac.uk

HEATHER DRAPER Reader in Biomedical Ethics, Centre for Biomedical Ethics, Department of General Practice and Primary Care, Primary Care Clinical Sciences Building, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. Email: h.draper@bham.ac.uk

REBECCA S. DRESSER Daniel Noyes Kirby Professor of Law and Professor of Ethics in Medicine, School of Law and School of Medicine, Washington University in St Louis, Law School-Box 1120, One Brookings Drive, St Louis, MO 63130, USA. Email: dresser@wulaw.wustl.edu

STEVEN D. EDWARDS Centre for Philosophy, Humanities and Law in Healthcare, School of Health Science, University of Wales, 7th Floor Vivian Building, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK. Email: s.d.edwards@swansea.ac.uk

EZEKIEL EMANUEL Chair, Department of Clinical Bioethics at the Warren G. Magnuson Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, 10 Center Drive, Building 10, Room 1C118, Bethesda, MD 20892-1156, USA. Email: eemanuel@nih.gov

J.S. EMMANUEL Consultant Psychiatrist and Honorary Senior Lecturer in Psychiatry, Central and North West London Mental Health NHS Trust, 7A Woodfield Road, London, W9 2NW, UK.

VERONICA ENGLISH Deputy Head of Medical Ethics Department, British Medical Association, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JP, UK. Email: venglish@bma.org.uk

MARTYN EVANS Professor of Humanities in Medicine, Centre for Arts and Humanities in Health and Medicine, University of Durham, Dawson Building, Science Site, South Road, Durham DH1 3HP, UK. Email: h.m.evans@durham.ac.uk

JAMES FLORY MD Student, School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 295 John Morgan Building, 3620 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6055, USA.

BENNETT FODDY Research Student, Centre for Applied Philosophy and Public Ethics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia; and Australian Stem Cell Centre, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia. Email: bennett@foddy.net

NORMAN MICHAEL FORD Director, Caroline Chisholm Centre for Health Ethics, East Melbourne; Senior Honorary Research Fellow, Bioethics, Monash University, Melbourne; Lecturer in Bioethics, Melbourne College of Divinity, Australia. Email: nford@mercy.com.au

LESLIE P. FRANCIS Alfred C. Emery Professor of Law, S.J. Quinney College of Law, University of Utah, 332 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0730, USA. Email: Francisl@law.utah.edu

JIM GALLAGHER Centre for Ethics in Public Policy and Corporate Governance, Glasgow Caledonian University, 70 Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow G4 0BA, UK. Email: Jim.Gallagher@scotland.gsi.gov.uk

KERRY A. GALVIN Junior Research Fellow, Department of Anatomy and Structural Biology, University of Otago, PO Box 913, Dunedin, New Zealand.

GRANT GILLETT Neurosurgeon and Professor of Medical Ethics, Dunedin Hospital and Otago Bioethics Centre, University of Otago Medical School, Dunedin PO Box 913, New Zealand. Email: grant.gillett@stonebow.otago.ac.nz

SIMONA GIORDANO Lecturer, The Centre for Social Ethics and Policy, School of Law, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. Email: simona.giordano@manchester.ac.uk

ROBERT E. GOODIN Research School of Social Sciences, Building 09, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia. Email: goodinb@coombs.anu.edu.au

HANNAH GRANKVIST Tema Health and Society, The Department of Health and Society – IHS, Linköping University, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden. Email: hannah.grankvist@ihs.liu.se

WAYNE HALL Director, Office of Public Policy and Ethics, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, and School of Population Health, University of Queensland, St Lucia QLD 4072, Australia. Email: w.hall@imb.uq.edu.au

JOHN HARRIS Sir David Alliance Professor of Bioethics, The Centre for Social Ethics and Policy, School of Law, University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. Email: John.harris@manchester.ac.uk

ANDREAS HASMAN Research Associate, PPIP Project Manager for Technology Appraisals, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, MidCity Place, 71 High Holborn, London WC1V 6NA, UK. Email: Andreas.Hasman@nice.org.uk

MATTI HÄYRY Professor of Bioethics and Philosophy of Law, Centre for Social Ethics and Policy, The University of Manchester, Williamson Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. Email: matti.hayry@manchester.ac.uk

ADAM HEDGECOE Senior Lecturer, Department of Sociology, School of Social Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QN, UK. Email: a.m.hedgecoe@sussex.ac.uk

ROGER HIGGS Professor of General Practice and Primary Care, Population Sciences & Health Care Research, Guy's, King's & St Thomas' School of Medicine, 5 Lambeth Walk, London SE11 6SP, UK. Email: roger.higgs@kcl.ac.uk

SØREN HOLM Professorial Fellow in Bioethics, Cardiff Law School, Cardiff University, Law Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK. Email: holms@cardiff.ac.uk

JAMES HUGHES Public Policy Studies, Trinity College, 300 Summit Street, Hartford, CT 06106, USA. Email: james.hughes@trincoll.edu

JONATHAN HUGHES Director, Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK. Email: j.a.hughes@peak.keele.ac.uk

JULIAN C. HUGHES Honorary Clinical Senior Lecturer, Psychiatry of Old Age Service, North Tyneside General Hospital, Rake Lane, North Shields NE29 8NH, UK. Email: julian.hughes@nhct.nhs.uk or j.c.hughes@ncl.ac.uk

RICHARD HUXTABLE Senior Lecturer in Medical Law and Ethics/Deputy Director, Centre for Ethics in Medicine, University of Bristol, 73 St Michael's Hill, Bristol BS2 8BH, UK. Email: R.Huxtable@bristol.ac.uk

JENNIFER JACKSON Park House, Leathley, Nr Otley, North Yorkshire LS21 2JU, UK. Email: J.C.Jackson@leeds.ac.uk

JAY A. JACOBSON Professor of Internal Medicine, Chief of the Division of Medical Ethics, LDS Hospital, 8th Ave and C Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84143, USA. Email: jay.jacobson@intermountainmail.org

BRUCE JENNINGS Director, Center for Humans and Nature, 109 West 77th Street, Suite 2, New York, NY 10024, USA. Email: brucejennings@humansandnature.org

STEPHEN D. JOHN Lecturer, Department of History and Philosophy of Science, University of Cambridge, Free School Lane, Cambridge, CB2 3RH, UK. Email: sdj22@cam.ac.uk

VANESSA JOHNSTON School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia. Email: v.johnston2@pgrad.unimelb.edu.au

MONIQUE F. JONAS Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Staffs ST5 5BG, UK. Email: m.jonas@peak.keele.ac.uk

D. GARETH JONES Professor and Head of Department of Anatomy and Structural Biology, University of Otago, PO Box 913, Dunedin, New Zealand. Email: gareth.jones@stonebow.otago.ac.nz

ALBERT R. JONSEN 1333 Jones St., Apt. 502, San Francisco, CA 94109, USA. Email: ARJONSEN@aol.com

ERIC T. JUENGST Director, Center for Genetic Research Ethics and Law, Department of Bioethics, School of Medicine, Tower Annex 211, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106-4976, USA. Email: etj2@case.edu

NIKLAS JUTH Lecturer, Department of Philosophy, Göteborg University, Box 200, SE-40530 Göteborg, Sweden. Email: Niklas.Juth@filosofi.gu.se

JACOB E. KURLANDER Medical Student, School of Medicine, University of Michigan, 1500 E. Medical Center Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. Email: jkurland@umich.edu

DAVID LAMB 294 Leigh Road, Chandlers Ford, SO5 3AU, UK. Email: davidlamb884@btinternet.com

LAURENS LANDEWEERD PhD Student, Department of Health Ethics and Philosophy, Faculty of Health and Science, University of Maastricht, PO Box 616, 6200 MD, Maastricht, The Netherlands. Email: l.landeweerd@zw.unimaas.nl

REIDAR K. LIE Senior Investigator, Department of Clinical Bioethics, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, Room 1C118, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Email: rlie@well.com

HILDE LINDEMANN Associate Professor, Philosophy Department, Michigan State University, 503 South Kedzie Hall, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA. Email: hlinde@msu.edu

PEKKA LOUHIALA Director of Research, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, PO Box 41 (Mannerheimintie 172), FIN-00014, Finland. Email: pekka.louhiala@helsinki.fi

NEIL C. MANSON Lecturer, Institute for Philosophy & Public Policy (IPPP), Furness College, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YG, UK. Email: n.manson@lancaster.ac.uk

SARAH MARCHAND Independent Scholar. Email: sarahmmarchand@gmail.com

MATT MATRAVERS Head, Department of Politics, University of York, Room: D/D205, York YO10 5DD, UK. Email: matt@matravers.demon.co.uk

JOHN MCKIE Research Fellow, Centre for Health Economics, Faculty of Business and Economics, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia. Email: john.mckie@buseco.monash.edu.au

SHEILA A.M. MCLEAN Director, Institute of Law and Ethics in Medicine, The School of Law, Stair Building, 5-8 The Square, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK. Email: S.A.M.McLean@law.gla.ac.uk

JOHN R. MCMILLAN Senior Lecturer, Philosophy Department, The University of Hull, Room: L173 (Larkin Building - West), Hull HU6 7RX, UK. Email: John.McMillan@hyms.ac.uk

DAVID A. MCNAUGHTON Department of Philosophy, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-1500, USA. Email: dmcnaugh@mailier.fsu.edu

PAUL B. MILLER Department of Philosophy, University of Toronto, 215 Huron Street, 9th Floor Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A2, Canada. Email: paul.miller@utoronto.ca

CHRISTIAN MUNTHE Head of Department of Philosophy, Göteborg University, Box 200, SE-40530 Göteborg, Sweden. Email: christian.munthe@phil.gu.se

THOMAS H. MURRAY President, The Hastings Center, 21 Malcolm Gordon Road, Garrison, NY 10524-5555, USA. Email: murrayt@thehastingscenter.org

AINSLEY J. NEWSON Lecturer in Biomedical Ethics, Centre for Ethics in Medicine, University of Bristol, 3rd Floor, Hampton House, Cotham Hill, Bristol BS6 6AU, UK. Email: ainsley.newson@bristol.ac.uk

JING-BAO NIE PhD Lecturer, Otago Bioethics Centre, University of Otago Medical School, PO Box 913, Dunedin, New Zealand. Email: jing-bao.nie@stonebow.otago.ac.nz

LISBETH NIELSEN EU ENHANCE Project Manager, Centre for Ethics in Medicine, University of Bristol, Hampton House, Cotham Hill, Bristol BS6 6AU, UK. Email: Lisbeth.Nielsen@bristol.ac.uk

LENNART Y. NORDENFELT Professor, Department of Health and Society, Linköping University, 58183 Linköping, Sweden. Email: lenno@ihs.liu.se

JUSTIN OAKLEY Director, Centre for Human Bioethics, School of Philosophy and Bioethics, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia. Email: justin.oakley@arts.monash.edu.au

ONORA O'NEILL Principal, Newnham College, Sidgwick Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 9DF, UK. Email: oon20@cam.ac.uk

MICHAEL PARKER Professor of Bioethics and Director, The Ethox Centre, Department of Public Health and Primary Health Care, University of Oxford, Gibson Building/Block 21, Radcliffe Infirmary, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX3 6HE, UK. Email: michael.parker@ethics-and-communication-in-health.oxford.ac.uk

ROBERT A. PEARLMAN Chief, Ethics Evaluation Service, Veterans Administration Puget Sound Health Care System, 1660 S. Columbian Way, Seattle, WA 98108, USA. Email: robert.pearlman@med.va.gov

GUIDO PENNINGS Department of Philosophy and Moral Science, Ghent University, Blandijnberg 2, B-9000 Gent, Belgium. Email: Guido.Pennings@Ugent.be

HILARY PICKLES Director of Public Health and Medical Director, Hillingdon Primary Care Trust, Kirk House, 97-109 High Street, Yiewsley, West Drayton, Middlesex UB7 7HJ, UK. Email: Hilary.Pickles@hillingdon.nhs.uk

THOMAS W. POGGE Professorial Research Fellow, Centre for Applied Philosophy and Public Ethics, The Australian National University, LPO Box 8260, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. Email: tp6@columbia.edu

DAVID P.T. PRICE Professor of Medical Law, De Montfort University, The Gateway, Leicester, LE1 9BH, UK. Email: dpp@dmu.ac.uk

J. PIERS RAWLING Department of Philosophy, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-1500, USA. Email: prawling@mailier.fsu.edu

JEFF RICHARDSON Professor and Foundation Director, Centre for Health Economics, Faculty of Business and Economics, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia. Email: Jeffrey.Richardson@BusEco.monash.edu.au

WENDY ROGERS Associate Professor Medical Ethics & Health Law, Department of Medical Education, Flinders University, GPO Box 2100, Adelaide 5001, South Australia. Email: wendy.rogers@flinders.edu.au

FRED ROSNER Attending Physician, Mount Sinai Services at Elmhurst Hospital Center, Elmhurst, New York and Professor of Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York; 750 Elvira Avenue, Far Rockaway, NY 11691, USA. Email: fsrosner@att.com

J. P. RUDDOCK Crisis Resolution Service Manager, Central and North West London Mental Health NHS Trust, 7A Woodfield Road, London, W9 2NW, UK.

ABDULAZIZ SACHEDINA Frances Myers Ball Professor of Religious Studies, Department of Religious Studies, University of Virginia, PO Box 400126, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4126, USA. Email: aas@virginia.edu

JULIAN SAVULESCU Director, Oxford Uehiro Centre for Practical Ethics, University of Oxford, Littlegate House, St Ebbes Street, Oxford OX1 1PT, UK. Email: julian.savulescu@philosophy.ox.ac.uk

DORIS SCHROEDER Acting Head of Centre, Centre for Professional Ethics, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK. Email: dschroeder@uclan.ac.uk

UDO SCHUKLENK Head of Centre for Ethics in Public Policy and Corporate Governance, Glasgow Caledonian University, 70 Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow G4 0BA, UK. Email: Udo.Schuklenk@gcal.ac.uk

ROSAMUND SCOTT Reader in Law, Centre of Medical Law and Ethics, King's College London, The Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, UK. Email: rosamund.scott@kcl.ac.uk

MICHAEL J. SELGELID Centre for Applied Philosophy and Public Ethics (CAPPE), and Menzies Centre for Health Policy, LPO Box 8260, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. Email: Michael.Selgelid@anu.edu.au

TOM SHAKESPEARE Research Fellow, Policy, Ethics and Life Sciences Research Centre (PEALS), University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Bioscience Centre, Times Square, Newcastle NE1 4EP, UK. Email: t.w.shakespeare@ncl.ac.uk

MARK SHEEHAN Research Fellow, Program on Ethics and the New Biosciences, Suite 7, Littlegate House, 16/17 St. Ebbes Street, Oxford OX1 1PT, UK. Email: mark.sheehan@philosophy.ox.ac.uk

SUSAN SHERWIN University Research Professor, Department of Philosophy, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4P9, Canada. Email: susan.sherwin@dal.ca

ANNE SLOWTHER Medical Education, Warwick Medical School, Medical Teaching Centre, Gibett Hill Campus, Room 103B, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. Email: a.m.slowther@warwick.ac.uk

CHARLES B. SMITH 159 W Broadway #411, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1926, USA.

RICHARD SMITH Co-founder, Committee on Publication Ethics, 35 Orlando Road, London SW4 0LD, UK. Email: richardswsmith@yahoo.co.uk

ANN SOMMERVILLE Head of Medical Ethics Department, British Medical Association, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JP, UK. Email: asommerville@bma.org.uk

TOM SORELL John Ferguson Professor of Global Ethics, Director of the Centre for the Study of Global Ethics, Department of Philosophy, School of Social Sciences, The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. Email: t.sorell@bham.ac.uk

NATALIE STOLJAR Department of Philosophy, Leacock Building, McGill University, 855 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2T7, Canada. Email: natalie.stoljar@mcgill.ca

JEREMY SUGARMAN Phoebe R. Berman Bioethics Institute, Johns Hopkins University, Hampton House 351, 624 N. Broadway, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. Email: jsug-arm1@jhmi.edu

TUIJA TAKALA Lecturer in Bioethics and Moral Philosophy, School of Law, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK; and Department of Social and Moral Philosophy, University of Helsinki, Finland. Email: Tuija.Takala@manchester.ac.uk,

TESSA TAN TORRES Coordinator, Global Programme on Evidence for Health Policy, World Health Organization, Avenue Appia 20, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. Email: tantorrest@who.int

HOLLY TAYLOR Health Policy and Management, Faculty Research, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Hampton House 353, 624 N. Broadway, Room 493 Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. Email: htaylor@jhsph.edu

RUUD H.J. TER MEULEN Chair/Director, Centre for Ethics in Medicine, University of Bristol, Hampton House, Cotham Hill, Bristol BS6 6AU, UK. Email: r.terMeulen@bristol.ac.uk

COLIN TYLER Senior Lecturer in Political Theory, Department of Politics and International Studies, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull HU6 7RX, UK. Email: C.Tyler@hull.ac.uk

SUZANNE UNIACKE Reader in Applied Ethics, Philosophy, Room L171, Larken Building – West, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK. Email: s.m.uniacke@hull.ac.uk

THEO VAN WILLIGENBURG Kant Academy, Oudegracht 291, 3511 PA Utrecht, The Netherlands. Email: vanwilligenburg@kantacademy.nl

ROBERT M. VEATCH Kennedy Institute of Ethics, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057, USA. Email: veatchr@georgetown.edu

MARCEL VERWEIJ Senior Researcher and Lecturer, Ethics Institute, Utrecht University, Postbus 80.103, 3508 TC Utrecht, The Netherlands. Email: m.f.verweij@ethics.uu.nl

ADRIAN WALSH Acting Head of School and Senior Lecturer, School of Social Science, The University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia. Email: awalsh@pobox.une.edu.au

CHARLES WEIJER Canada Research Chair (Tier I), Associate Professor of Philosophy and Medicine, Department of Philosophy, Talbot College, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 3K7, Canada. Email: cweijer@uwo.ca

DAVID WENDLER Head of the Unit on Vulnerable Populations, Department of Clinical Bioethics, National Institutes of Health, 10 Center Drive Building 10, Room 1C118, Bethesda MD 20892-1156, USA. Email: Dwendler@cc.nih.gov

ALAN P. WERTHEIMER Senior Research Fellow, Clinical Bioethics Department, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Building 10 – Magnuson CC, Room 1C118, 10 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-1156, USA. Email: aw355w@nih.gov

GUY A.M. WIDDERSHOVEN Professor, Department of Health Ethics and Philosophy, PO Box 616, Faculty

of Health Sciences, Universiteit Maastricht, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. Email: G.Widdershoven@ZW.unimaas.nl

HEATHER WIDDOWS Senior Lecturer in Global Ethics, Centre for the Study of Global Ethics, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston Park Road, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. Email: H.Widdows@bham.ac.uk

DANIEL WIKLER Mary B. Saltonstall Professor of Ethics and Population Health, Department of Population & International Health, Harvard School of Public Health (Bldg 1, Room 1210), 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Email: wikler@hsph.harvard.edu

STEPHEN WILKINSON Professor of Bioethics, Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University,

Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK. Email: s.wilkinson@peak.keele.ac.uk

TIMOTHY M. WILKINSON Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, School of Population Health, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand. Email: m.wilkinson@auckland.ac.nz

JAMES G.S. WILSON Centre for Professional Ethics, School of Law, Keele University, Keele, Staffs ST5 5BG, UK. Email: j.g.wilson@peak.keele.ac.uk

SIMON WOODS Director of Learning, Policy Ethics and Life Sciences Research Institute, University of Newcastle, Bioscience Centre, Times Square, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4EP, UK. Email: Simon.Woods@newcastle.ac.uk

Foreword: Raanan E. Gillon

It is such a delight to welcome this second and new edition of *Principles of Health Care Ethics*. While my own energy was inadequate to accepting the task of once again editing a hundred-author textbook, it was clear that a new edition was due and this new collection, brought together and edited by my excellent erstwhile colleague Richard Ashcroft and his associates, is in my view superb. The first edition of this book was born, in the early 1990s, of two intentions. One was to create a textbook covering most of the substantive issues in medical ethics written by a wider, more international, range of authors than the mainly American collections that already existed. The second intention was that the hundred or so authors would in their chapters all to some extent use, and or reflect upon, the Beauchamp and Childress four principles approach to medical ethics. The authors of the first edition fulfilled both intentions handsomely.

In this second edition the first intention is maintained and extended. The writers are cosmopolitan not only geographically but also in terms of perspectives and disciplines, and while the main issues of health care ethics represented in the first edition are all covered there are some fascinating additions within the new edition's four main areas of concern.

Thus in the context of methodologies in health care ethics (HCE) there are new chapters on virtue theory, universalism and relativism, liberalism and communitarianism, deliberative bioethics, hermeneutics, empirical approaches to bioethics, the relationship of medical humanities to HCE, and a fascinating chapter on reflective equilibrium as a method in HCE. In the context of particular HCE issues there are welcome new chapters on ethics in primary care, conflicts between practitioners' personal beliefs and their care of patients, the role of conscience in health care practice, responses to violent and abusive patients, ethical issues in relation to performance enhancement in sport, ethical challenges created by contemporary emphasis on patient choice, ethical issues in relation to disability, and ethical aspects of 'medical tourism'. In the section on 'medicine in

society' consideration of ethical issues in health promotion, public health and epidemiology is expanded and new chapters on ethical issues of bioterrorism, disaster relief, care of refugees and asylum seekers, and a chapter on doctors and human rights are timely additions. In the last section, on ethical issues in research and new technologies, health care research and genetics get a more intensive and contemporary treatment than in the first edition, including chapters on human cloning and stem cell research. There are also new chapters addressing xenotransplantation, vaccination to prevent addiction, psychosurgery and neuroimplantation, along with a final trio considering obligations of the pharmaceutical industry, obligations of patients, and reflections on ethics consultations and ethics committees in health care institutions.

As for my second intention in the first edition- that authors should in presenting their subjects also reflect (whether positively or negatively) on the four principles approach- this, as indicated above, has been replaced by a wide range of alternative methodological approaches, and no special emphasis on the four principles. In this context let me simply state that the four principles approach got a very good airing in the first edition and in this edition their use is lucidly explained and stoutly if synoptically defended by one of their originators, Tom Beauchamp. I won't repeat my responses to objections to the approach that I offered in the first edition. Suffice it to assert that I stand by those responses and to add that during the more than twenty five years that I have supported the use of these universalisable prima facie principles in health care ethics I have not encountered either plausible objections to any one of them, nor plausible candidates for necessary additional principles that can not themselves be encompassed by one, or by some combination, of the four principles. Even the proposed additional principle of preserving and not taking human life is quite capable of justification by means of a combination of the four principles. I would suggest to readers of the new edition that they might usefully ask themselves whether any

one of the individual chapters is incompatible with the four principles approach.

For my own part I continue to value the four principles approach as a way of bringing people of different faiths, different cultures, different moral and political perspectives, together in a common if basic set of prima facie moral commitments and of providing them with a common if basic moral language and a common if basic moral analytic framework. If the widespread 'grass roots' acceptance of these principles in international health care ethics is anything to go by they will eventually be recognised to be of international relevance and acceptability not just in health care ethics but in ethics more generally. When (yes, and if) this prediction becomes a reality I hope that Tom Beauchamp and Jim Childress will get the Nobel Peace Prize that they'll deserve!

In pursuit of an increase in world harmony it would be a great development, in my opinion, for medical ethicists to come together to promote the enormous potential moral acceptability of the four principles across all moral cultures, (including the considerable variety of moral cultures represented in this book) and then to concentrate on remaining problems. These can be (admittedly over-simply)

categorised in terms of interpretation of the principles; further investigation of their scope of application (to whom or to what do we owe these four prima facie obligations?); and most difficult of all, how should we deal with conflicts between the principles when these arise, as in practice they so often do? In this last context especially, judgement plays an obviously crucial role. But just what is judgement, how is it done, how should it be done? Kant pointed out that there could be no rules for judgement between conflicting rules, on pain of an infinite regress. What then? Maybe it is in the context of judgement between conflicting moral rules, principles and values that intuition, emotion, a sense of fit, perhaps even aesthetic sensibility, do or should play an important role? Judgement, especially moral judgement, is a theme that I hope will get a thorough airing in the third edition of *Principles of Health Care Ethics*. Meanwhile I heartily commend the second.

Raanan E. Gillon MB BS FRCP(LOND)
BA(Philosophy) HonRCM Hon DSc(OXON)
Emeritus Professor of Medical Ethics,
Imperial College London

Foreword: Tony Hope

In his famous essay on Tolstoy Isaiah Berlin divided thinkers into foxes and hedgehogs. The fox knows many things; the hedgehog knows one big thing. Those who are driven to find unifying principles and ideas, like Plato, were classified by Berlin as hedgehogs. Those who, like Aristotle, prefer a less systematic approach, and like to consider each issue in its own terms, are the foxes.

The first edition of this book was a fox in hedgehog's clothing. It presented itself as unified under the 'four principles of biomedical ethics'. The editors of this second edition have thrown off the prickly outer garments and relished its foxiness. This is a book of byzantine proportions: a treasure trove for anyone with even the slightest initial interest in biomedical ethics. Indeed this book demonstrates that biomedical ethics is a microcosm of culture broadly conceived.

Principles of Health Care Ethics is unique. There is no other source-book that provides such diversity within

the field. Here you can explore Eastern as well as Western approaches; examine the value of scientific studies in ethics, or of bizarre thought experiments. You can read about specific issues arising in clinical care, or gaze into a future when drugs might be widely used not only to treat disease but also to enhance health and abilities. There are twenty chapters on political and social issues and almost as many on the ethics of medical research and new technologies.

The first edition of *Principles of Health Care Ethics* was a constant companion for me, although one that was rather too frequently 'borrowed'. This second edition is even more exciting. A book of reference; and also a book to explore.

Tony Hope
Professor of Medical Ethics
University of Oxford

Preface

Ranaan Gillon's first edition of *Principles of Health Care Ethics* was published in 1994, and quickly became established as the leading single volume companion to the ethical issues in modern health care. In his Preface to that first edition, he defined it as having two principal purposes:

[...] to provide a collection of papers accessible to English-speaking health care workers internationally, introducing the wide range of issues that comprise health care ethics from a wide variety of perspectives – a variety that was more international, multidisciplinary and less predominantly American bioethical, than earlier collections. The second motive was a desire to invite each writer at least to consider in his or her contribution a common moral theme – notably that of the four *prima facie* principles of health care ethics and their scope of application... (Gillon (1994): xxi)

This second edition retains the first objective, but does not retain the second. Since 1994, there has been an enormous expansion in the range of topics covered in modern health care and biomedical ethics, but there has also been a shift in the philosophical centre of gravity of the field. In this preface we describe how we decided to reshape the volume in the light of current priorities in health care and biomedical ethics.

A great strength of the first edition of this volume was its commitment to a single analytical and moral framework – the so-called “Four Principles” approach created by Thomas Beauchamp and James Childress's *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, now in its fifth edition, and popularised and extended by a number of authors, most notably Ranaan Gillon himself. The first edition devoted almost a third of its contents to chapters examining different philosophical, political and religious frameworks from the point of view of their convergence or divergence from the Four Principles framework. Many of these chapters retain their value as

independent contributions to the scholarly and professional literature. As Ranaan Gillon argues in his foreword to this second edition, the Four Principles approach could serve both as the core common ground which might serve as what Rawls termed an overlapping consensus between those who share quite different substantive moral and metaphysical views of the world, and as a process or mechanism for creating agreement among such people. Several of the chapters in the present volume develop this idea, notably the opening chapter by Thomas Beauchamp. Nevertheless we felt that the state of scholarly debate no longer supported taking this approach to organising what we intended as an overview of the field for both new students and established workers in the field.¹ This volume is therefore *Principles of Health Care Ethics* in a different sense of the word “principles”: the fundamental topics and issues covered in a way which will allow people new to the field, or to specific topics within it, to grasp the essential issues.

Methodologically, health care and biomedical ethics is far more diverse now than in 1994. We have seen the rapid growth of both empirical studies which have attended more to the differences between specific moral perspectives than to what they may have in common, and of different philosophical methodologies for analysing cases and for interpreting the ethical, legal, and social challenges posed by new health technologies and by tough decisions in health policy. We do not think that the field is in a phase of synthesis in which extracting common principles is either easy or intellectually helpful. Instead, we felt that it was important to give a sense of the diversity of intellectual approaches to ethical problems in health care. So, we chose authors for each essay who were recognised authorities on the topics they were discussing, and gave them considerable freedom as to the approach they took to presenting the topic. We

¹For a discussion of the current state of play regarding the Four Principles approach in health care ethics, see the special issue of the *Journal of Medical Ethics* published in honour of Ranaan Gillon on his retirement: *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2003; 29(5): 265-312, and more recently Dawson and Garrard (2006)

encouraged them to write as for a readership of intelligent, but not yet well informed, readers, such as we meet in our upper level undergraduate or Master's courses. We asked each author to write new, state of the art articles, so as to give a picture of the latest thinking on each topic. In most cases, authors have set out specific arguments, taking into account contrary views, but giving their own analysis. In some cases, the articles have more of a survey article character, especially where the topic is more empirical in nature or where controversy is widespread. The editors are all philosophers, and we have favoured philosophical over legal or social science approaches to our chosen topics, but in many cases the articles do present empirical as well as theoretical, and positive as well as normative material, and some articles present a legal analysis of the topic. This diversity reflects the multidisciplinary nature of modern scholarship and research in health care ethics.

Each section opens with a brief overview of its contents by the section editor responsible for it. The first section introduces the main methodological and intellectual approaches to health care and biomedical ethics in general. This section will be of particular help to the reader who needs an orientation to the different philosophical methods in modern English-speaking philosophical health care ethics. The second section introduces the main ethical challenges in health care practice. This book is principally concerned with health care ethics, rather than biomedical ethics. Health care ethics is the study of ethical challenges in the delivery of health care. It is wider than medical ethics, which is concerned with the ethical challenges of medical care and the profession of medicine. Biomedical ethics is principally concerned with the ethical challenges of modern high technology applied to health care, rather than with the challenges of professional care. Naturally the three areas – health care ethics, medical ethics, biomedical ethics – overlap, but our emphasis is mainly on health care practice rather than policy. That noted, major growth areas in health care and biomedical ethics over the past ten years

are public health ethics (concerned both with the ethics of protecting and promoting public health, and with the ethics of allocation of care between different competing needs and people) and the ethics of new technologies in health care. Section three gives an overview of public health ethics, and section four gives an overview of research ethics and ethics of new technologies in health care.

Preparing this volume for the press has been a challenge, but almost always an enjoyable one. We first invited chapters from May 2005, and received the last chapter complete from its authors in October 2006. By the time you read this, some issues may have moved on, but we hope they won't have moved on too much! From the very beginning we have had warm encouragement both from Raanan Gillon and from Lucy Sayer, our editor at John Wiley. We have had excellent practical support throughout from Lucy and from her colleague Juliet Booker. Most of all we thank our contributing authors, who have produced what we think are outstanding chapters with efficiency and grace. Time will tell whether there is a third edition, and, if so, whether it will have a more unified intellectual structure as the first edition did. Our challenge to you, as readers, is to advance the topics we have covered, and – if you find the task to your taste, to produce an intellectual synthesis. Raanan strongly believes that this is possible – we are more sceptical. But over to you!

REFERENCES

- Dawson AJ, Garrard E (2006) In defence of moral imperialism: four equal and universal prima facie principles. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 32: 200–204
- Gillon R (1994) Preface: Medical ethics and the four principles. In Gillon R (ed.) *Principles of Health Care Ethics* Chichester: John Wiley (first edition): xxi–xxxii

Richard E. Ashcroft, Angus Dawson,
Heather Draper and John R. McMillan

PART I

METHODOLOGY AND PERSPECTIVES

SECTION ONE: MORAL THEORY AND HEALTH CARE ETHICS

One of the guiding thoughts for the second edition of *Principles* was to commission a collection of high quality chapters that could not only serve as a general introduction to health care ethics but also provide a resource that is sufficiently detailed for postgraduate students. Given that this section discusses the major methodologies and perspectives that are relevant to health care ethics, many of the chapters introduce moral theory at a fairly advanced level.

The first edition of *Principles* demonstrated the utility and applicability of the four principles approach for a broad array of issues in health care ethics. While the second edition does not attempt to do this, it does begin with and include a number of chapters discussing this approach. Beauchamp and Childress developed and refined their four principles approach in the years following the first edition of *Principles* and the first chapter of the second edition begins with an account of the mature theory by Beauchamp.

The next four chapters present important interpretations and theories of each of the principles. Stoljar and Cullity consider different theoretical accounts of autonomy and beneficence, respectively. Interest in justice theory has moved beyond simply discussing distributional justice within a nation state, and attention has turned to more international issues. Pogge's *Responsibilities for poverty-related ill health* presents his influential account

of global justice. Tyler explains the relevance of the liberalism/communitarianism debate for health care ethics.

Veatch played an important role in the principles debate, and in *How many principles?*, he considers the merits of other principle-based approaches to health care ethics that use fewer or more than four principles. One important question about the application of principles to biomedical ethics is: what role do they play in practical moral reason? In Chapter 7, Jonsen gives an account of practical casuistry and how it interfaces with the use of principles in moral reason.

The next eight chapters show how a number of normative moral theories can be applied to health care ethics. Rather than simply giving an account of the different versions of utilitarianism, Häyry gives an interesting account of the way the utilitarian arguments function in bioethics. There is a tendency for introductions to ethics to mention only Kant when introducing deontology, with the consequence that some students assume that deontology implies Kantianism or absolutism. McNaughton and Rawling give an exceptionally clear account of what deontology is and contrast Kant's version with Ross's. O'Neill gives a concise account of Kantian ethics and its origins in Kant's moral philosophy. Sherwin outlines a very useful taxonomy of the four major approaches to feminist bioethics. In Chapter 12, Oakley explains the nature, application and problems of virtue theory. Sheehan describes the important differences between the descriptive and metaethical versions of moral relativism.

SECTION TWO: THEOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO HEALTH CARE ETHICS

One of the most popular features of the first edition of *Principles* was the way it considered religious approaches to health care ethics, and this edition includes a section on 'theological approaches to health care ethics'. Inevitably, it was not possible to discuss every religion that says something important about health care ethics, or even to have a chapter on each of the major religions. Nonetheless, readers who want an introduction to some of the fundamental articles of various faiths that enter into debates about health care ethics will find these chapters of value. Widows, Rosner, Sachedina, Hughes and Coward explain what is distinctive about Christian, Jewish, Islamic, Buddhist and South Asian approaches to health care ethics (respectively). Nie offers a useful critique of the idea that there is something distinctive about Asian Bioethics.

SECTION THREE: METHODOLOGY AND HEALTH CARE ETHICS

One of the most important aspects of the development of bioethics since the first edition of *Principles* is the proliferation of methodological approaches to health care ethics. Brody offers an illuminating account of narrative ethics, and this chapter is followed by a description of the ways in which empirical methods can be incorporated into health care ethics by Sugarman, Pearlman and Taylor. Hedgcoe questions whether the emergence of empirical methods in health care ethics is merely reinventing medical sociology. Thought experiments are pervasive in philosophy and are an important rhetorical strategy in health care ethics too. Walsh gives an especially useful description of the ways in which thought experiments can contribute to argument in health care ethics.

Parker's chapter begins with the recognition that the debate about health care ethics has a political dimension and proceeds to give a typology of the deliberative democratic approaches that can be employed. Just as ethics is intertwined with politics, it is in a complicated relationship with the law, and McLean illustrates some of the ways in which law and ethics are interdependent.

Evans explains what is distinctive about the Medical Humanities, while van Willigenburg shows how Rawls's concept of Reflective Equilibrium can be applied as a method in health care ethics. Widdershoven and Abma's chapter is similar in that they also show how a philosophical concept, hermeneutics, can be employed as a method in health care ethics.

The last 10 chapters in Part one are similar in that they all explain moral concepts, distinctions or doctrines that are central to health care ethics. Chapter 29 is by Childress, and he makes a number of very useful distinctions between the different forms of paternalism. The concept of a 'medical need' can play an important role in prioritisation, and Culyer distinguishes and evaluates the theoretical possibilities. Rights theory is important and often not explained with the clarity with which Wilson has written Chapter 31. 'Exploitation' has always been an important moral concept for health care ethics, but now that it is becoming accepted as a key principle for research ethics, a clear understanding of it is essential. Chapter 32 is by Wertheimer and shows how his theory of exploitation (arguably the most influential and successful account developed thus far) can be applied to health care ethics. The remaining chapters explain important concepts such as Competence to Consent (Jonas), The Doctrine of Double Effect (Uniacke), Ordinary and Extraordinary Means (John), Acts and Omissions (Takala), Personhood and Moral Status (Newson), and Commodification (Wilkinson).

John R. McMillan

1

The 'Four Principles' Approach to Health Care Ethics

TOM L. BEAUCHAMP

My objective is to explain the so-called four principles approach and to explain the philosophical and practical roles these principles play. I start with a brief history and then turn to the four principles framework, its practicality, and philosophical problems of making the framework specific.

THE ORIGINS OF PRINCIPLES IN HEALTH CARE ETHICS

Prior to the early 1970s, there was no firm ground in which a commitment to principles or even ethical theory could take root in biomedical ethics. This is not to say that physicians and researchers had no principled commitments to patients and research subjects. They did, but moral principles, practices and virtues were rarely discussed. The health care ethics outlook in Europe and America was largely that of maximizing medical benefits and minimizing risks of harm and disease. The Hippocratic tradition had neglected many problems of truthfulness, privacy, justice, communal responsibility, the vulnerability of research subjects and the like (Jonsen, 1998; Pellegrino & Thomasma, 1993). Views about ethics had been largely confined to the perspectives of those in the professions of medicine, public health and nursing. No sustained work combined concerns in ethical theory and the health care fields.

Principles that could be understood with relative ease by the members of various disciplines figured prominently in the development of biomedical ethics during the 1970s and early 1980s. Principles were used primarily to present frameworks of evaluative assumptions so that they could be used, and readily understood, by people with many different forms of professional training. The distilled morality found

in principles gave people a shared and serviceable group of general norms for analysing many types of moral problems. In some respects, it could even be claimed that principles gave the embryonic field of bioethics a shared 'method' for attacking its problems, and this gave some minimal coherence and uniformity to bioethics.

There were two primary sources of the early interest in principles in biomedical ethics. The first was the *Belmont Report* (and related documents) of the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects (Childress et al., 2005; National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 1978), and the second was the book entitled *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, which I co-authored with James F. Childress. I here confine discussion to the latter.

Childress and I began our search for the principles of biomedical ethics in 1975. In early 1976 we drafted the main ideas for the book, although only later would the title *Principles of Biomedical Ethics* be placed on it (Beauchamp & Childress, 1979). Our goal was to develop a set of principles suitable for biomedical ethics. Substantively, our proposal was that traditional preoccupation of health care with a beneficence-based model of health care ethics be shifted in the direction of an autonomy model, while also incorporating a wider set of social concerns, particularly those focused on social justice. The principles are understood as the standards of conduct on which many other moral claims and judgements depend. A principle, then, is an essential norm in a system of moral thought, forming the basis of moral reasoning. More specific rules for health care ethics can be formulated *by reference* to these four principles, but neither rules nor practical judgements can be straightforwardly *deduced* from the principles.

THE FRAMEWORK OF PRINCIPLES

The principles in our framework have always been grouped under four general categories: (1) respect for autonomy (a principle requiring respect for the decision-making capacities of autonomous persons); (2) nonmaleficence (a principle requiring not causing harm to others); (3) beneficence (a group of principles requiring that we prevent harm, provide benefits and balance benefits against risks and costs); (4) justice (a group of principles requiring appropriate distribution of benefits, risks and costs fairly). I will concentrate now on an explication of each of the principles and how they are to be understood collectively as a framework of principles.

RESPECT FOR AUTONOMY

Respect for autonomy is rooted in the liberal moral and political tradition of the importance of individual freedom and choice. In moral philosophy personal autonomy refers to personal self-governance: personal rule of the self by adequate understanding while remaining free from controlling interferences by others and from personal limitations that prevent choice. 'Autonomy' means freedom from external constraint and the presence of critical mental capacities such as understanding, intending and voluntary decision-making capacity (Childress, 1990; Engelherdt, 1996; Katz, 1984; Kukla, 2005). The autonomous individual acts freely in accordance with a self-chosen plan, analogous to the way an independent government manages its territories and sets its policies. A person of diminished autonomy, by contrast, is in some respect controlled by others or incapable of deliberating or acting on the basis of his or her desires and plans.

To respect an autonomous agent is to recognize with due appreciation that person's capacities and perspectives, including his or her right to hold certain views, to make certain choices, and to take certain actions based on personal values and beliefs. The moral demand that we respect the autonomy of persons can be expressed as a *principle* of respect for autonomy, which should be stated as involving both a negative obligation and a positive obligation. As a negative obligation, autonomous actions should not be subjected to controlling constraints by others. As a positive obligation, this principle requires both respectful treatment in disclosing information and actions that foster autonomous decision making.

Many autonomous actions could not occur without others' material cooperation in making options available. Respect for autonomy obligates professionals in health care and research involving human subjects to disclose information, to probe for and ensure understanding and voluntariness, and to foster adequate decision making. True respect requires more than mere noninterference in others' personal affairs. It includes,

at least in some contexts, building up or maintaining others' capacities for autonomous choice while helping to allay fears and other conditions that destroy or disrupt their autonomous actions. Respect, on this account, involves acknowledging the value and decision-making rights of persons and enabling them to act autonomously, whereas disrespect for autonomy involves attitudes and actions that ignore, insult, demean or are inattentive to others' rights of autonomy.

Many issues in professional ethics concern failures to respect a person's autonomy, ranging from manipulative underdisclosure of pertinent information to nonrecognition of a refusal of medical interventions. For example, in the debate over whether autonomous, informed patients have the right to refuse medical interventions, the principle of respect for autonomy suggests that an autonomous decision to refuse interventions must be respected. Although it was not until the late 1970s that serious attention was given to rights to refuse for patients, this is no reason for thinking that respect for autonomy as now understood is a newly added principle in our moral perspective. It simply means that the implications of this principle were not widely appreciated until recently (Faden & Beauchamp, 1986).

Controversial problems with the principle of respect for autonomy, as with all moral principles, arise when we must interpret its significance for particular contexts and determine precise limits on its application and how to handle situations when it conflicts with other moral principles. Many controversies involve questions about the conditions under which a person's right to autonomous expression demands actions by others, and also questions about the restrictions society may rightfully place on choices by patients or subjects when these choices conflict with other values. If restriction of the patient's autonomy is in order, the justification will always rest on some competing moral principles such as beneficence or justice.

NONMALEFICENCE

Physicians have long avowed that they are obligated to avoid doing harm to their patients. Among the most quoted principles in the history of codes of health care ethics is the maxim *primum non nocere*: 'Above all, do no harm'. British physician Thomas Percival furnished the first developed modern account of health care ethics, in which he maintained that a principle of nonmaleficence fixes the physician's primary obligations and triumphs even over the principle of respect for the patient's autonomy in a circumstance of potential harm to patients:

To a patient...who makes inquiries which, if faithfully answered, might prove fatal to him, it would be a gross and unfeeling wrong to reveal the truth. His right to it is suspended, and even annihilated; because... it would be deeply injurious to himself, to his family, and to the public. And he has the strongest