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Preface

I once wrote that unsolved difficulties reside in the “and” that appears so innocently to conjoin two concepts. In presenting a volume that shamelessly opens the ambiguous space between psychoanalysis and the image, we want to invite the reader to join in a series of closely read studies of specific texts – some painted, some written, some filmed, some installed, some imagined – that explore both psychoanalysis as we can read it through image-work, and image-work as we can decipher it through psychoanalytical concepts that were never theorized independently of the subject’s formation within the image – the imaginary and its varied mise-en-scène. Starting with a reading of a photographs of the space in which classic Freudian psychoanalysis was generated amidst a private museum of archaeological artefacts and images testifying to cultural memory and memories of other cultures, this book explores a range of different dimensions of the image in psychic life and psychoanalytical terms in reading images.

Reminding us of the significance of psychoanalysis in theorizing sexual difference, Mieke Bal tackles the relations of art, sexuality, and dreaming through a reading of Chrisopher Bollas and the work of Katherine Gilje and Joseph Grigely. Artist Bracha Ettinger theorizes with and from Marguerite Duras’s novel The Ravishing of Lol V. Stein to propose a radical post-Lacanian feminist scenario for the formation or failure of feminine desire – rephrasing Freud’s infamous question “What does a woman want?” with its implied subclause, ‘from a man’ to read “What does a woman want – to become a woman – from a woman?” For many, the ethnic and geopolitical specificity of psychoanalysis’ genesis in late-nineteenth-century Vienna brands its discourse as so culturally specific to be limited in its relevance to the internationally expanded constituency of scholars and of artists we might be inclined to study.
Three chapters in this collection explore psychoanalytical possibilities in the reading of art made under differentiated psycho-linguistic and geopolitical positions. Young-Paik Chun engages with the paradoxes of Cézanne’s melancholia and the affecting inhumaness of his portraits. Izumi Nakajima deploys social and historical readings of American and Japanese abstraction to the case of Japanese painter Yayoi Kusama to re-read her work as a negotiation of the dual patriarchies she endured as a postwar Japanese daughter. This movement or displacement from home as mother, language, and place also invites a psychoanalytical reading of the postcolonial textuality of Theresa Hak Kyung Cha by Karyn Ball.

A final text by Adriana Cerne resumes the underlying thematic of the daughter’s place in the historical formations of psychoanalysis and feminism through a creative journey precipitated by the final seven minutes of Chantal Akerman’s epistolatory film, News from Home, that brings the book back to the “scene” of “Dora’s” fascinated two hours before Raphael’s Madonna in Dresden, which is the point of departure for Bracha Ettinger’s theorization of a feminine dimension to image and gazing that she names fascinance in counterpoint to Lacan’s deadly fascinum.

This book aims to demonstrate the continuing vitality of psychoanalytical speculations about the image, gaze, and scene of desire.¹ We equally insist on the intrinsic rapport, the poetic covenant between the image and psychoanalysis in the moment of reading. Our method is close reading, never losing the specificity of making nor the particularity of historical location. We aim to conjugate history, theory, and analysis in a transdisciplinary perspective.

Note

¹ An extended version of this preface, elaborating these key concepts, is available on line and to download at www.leeds.ac.uk/cath/publications.
The Image in 
Psychoanalysis and the 
Archaeological Metaphor

Griselda Pollock

Seeing Psychoanalysis

In May 1938, a young Viennese photographer, Edmund Engelman, was commissioned to take pictures in Sigmund Freud’s apartment at Berggasse 19, Vienna.¹ Shot secretly under surveillance by the Gestapo on the eve of the aging doctor’s flight from the country, Engelman’s photographs not only document the space in which Freud worked and his family lived. They picture the space of psychoanalysis, documenting the genesis of psychoanalysis in the perplexing presence of so many images.

The photographs of Freud’s consulting room captures the mise-en-scène of Freud’s psychoanalytical practice in 1938 (Figure 1.1). Rather than a medical space, we encounter a private, domestic sitting room only a little unusual in the population of so much art on walls, surfaces, and even the couch itself. Indeed, the consulting room and connected study look like the private museum of an avid and learned collector of antiquities. Walls, surfaces, and cabinets are filled to overflowing with antique objects, casts, and prints. Max Pollack’s striking portrait of Freud (cover) at his desk presents not the quietly absorbed scholar at work, but a man almost transfixed by the incoming gaze of the antique statuettes that circle the space in which he will inscribe his reflections on human, psychic life. An earlier photograph pictures Freud seated in front of a cast of Michelangelo’s Dying Slave and other souvenirs of his cultural tourism that are
markers of his personal and professional fascination with sculpted, drawn, and painted images (Figure 1.2). So to the question, “Why psychoanalysis and the image?,” we might reply that psychoanalysis emerged in the active presence and enigma of the image.

Now Vienna’s Freud Museum, the Berggasse 19’s empty shell houses only photographic replicas of the contents of a complex interior scheme of images, books and artifacts in which Freud’s passions and his professional and vacation journeys through art, archaeology, anthropology, and psychology were visually charted in a rebus of images. Today, we can visit a reconstruction of the contents of that Viennese space in the home of the exiled doctor at 20 Maresfield Gardens, London where the ensemble functions as the historical installation within the Freud Museum – itself an archaeological display of the founding history of psychoanalysis itself.

Many scholars have contemplated the significance of the archaeological image in Freud’s thought. In 1951, Bernfeld analysed aspects of Freud’s traumatic childhood losses in relation to the fantasies about death and incipient eroticism that overdetermined his fascination with the
resurrected past signified by the recovery of artifacts of ancient civilizations that defied death and disappearance. In 1989 Lynn Gamwell and Richard Wells organized an exhibition and published an accompanying volume, *Sigmund Freud and his Art*, which included articles on “the archaeological metaphor.” My concern here is neither with the archaeology of psychoanalysis itself nor with the meaning of the metaphor for Freud’s own psyche. The reference to archaeology demonstrates, I suggest, a profound engagement with both the function of the image in the structure of memory and the structure of mnemonic images in the formation of the subject.

The archaeological metaphor opens onto the study of the image in the visual and literary arts, and finally onto the creative/poetic process viewed through the prism of psychoanalytical investigations into the patterns of human subjectivity conceived as an “archaeological” structure: a palimpsest of time and meaning, history, memory, and oblivion. It is by means of

![Figure 1.2](image-url)
the archaeological metaphor that the reductive use of psycho-biography of
the artist/producer can be avoided in favor of attention to the relay
between structures within cultural representation and socio-historically
formed structures of sexed subjectivity. The engagement with psychoana-
lytical theory in current studies in the visual arts differs, therefore, from
Bernfeld’s and other Freudian scholars’ interest in particular psychologies
or personal histories. In this volume, the studies of the psychoanalytically
conceptualized image through the prism of its theorization of varied
psychic processes and subjective positions will not be iconographic, teasing
out a hidden, neurotic content. It is, following Freud, addressed to struc-
tural questions of psychic processes, such as the dream, the primal scene,
melancholia, and difference, how we know of them, and how they inflect
and inscribe themselves in creative practices in literature, art, and cinema.

We seek to understand processes of fascination, sublimation, delusion,
pleasure, desire, anxiety, and, above all, creativity as well as looking at/reading images – be these sculptural, two-dimensional, dreamt, spoken, or
written. The image in psychoanalysis is more than visual representation,
even if imaginary visualization is in a privileged but challenging relation to
the psychic formation and role of the image. *Imago* – the Latin term –
feeds into general psychoanalytical concepts of the parental Others who
haunt each psyche as well as into the specific Lacanian theory of the
Imaginary and the mirror phase. Freud himself thought his project
through the metaphor of scenes: the primal scene, the sight of castration,
and the scene of the dream. The image is a holding place of meaning,
already structured by psychological processes, servicing them as the carrier
of affects, phantasies, and displaced meanings. The image can be imagi-
ary. It can inhabit an object, a thing, a picture created visually or in
literature. It is never pure, purely visual, or even perceptual. *Imago* was
the title of the psychoanalytical journal founded by Freud in 1912 as a
journal of applied psychoanalysis, opening onto anthropology, archae-
ology, literature, and aesthetics. Journeying around the consulting room
as it is revealed to us by Engelman’s camera in 1938, I will work, in the rest
of this introduction, towards a close reading of one of the artifacts, a bas-
relief of a walking woman whose presence at the end of the couch hinges
this space to Freud’s early writings on the aesthetic/poetic process in a text
considered to be the “turning point in Freud’s attitude towards art.”

Engelman’s photographs (Figure 1.1) make visible the acoustic space of
Freud’s scientific fieldwork at the intersection of the speaking subject and
the mute object, historical relic or, as I shall suggest, the bearer of cultural
memory. The photographs offer insight into the range of transcultural visualities that lined this modernist, analytic theater. If we were to compare Freud’s workspace with, for instance, that of the French poet Apollinaire around 1915, we might find a similar dependence on other cultures that confirm Freud’s symptomatic place in the history of European Modernisms, and hence histories of the image and alterity in modernist cultures.

Culturally and personally determined choices of furniture and fittings created the material environment for a mental journey in which the present of the reclining analysand is suspended, and then fractured, by the surfacing of buried memories or mnemonic fragments from childhood that are no longer accessible in full to the adult consciousness that they, none the less, overdetermine. Freud’s analytical theater, full of objects and casts, stand for the shattered, incomplete and repressed histories, no longer available in their original unity or vitality. Instead, each item is marked by both oblivion and anamnesis, exemplifying in material form the shards of memory and fantasies that analytical sessions will conjure up in the transferential presence/present of the analyst with whose partnership, some hermeneutic sense of these discontinuous fragments may be rewoven into a tissue of shifting, subjective meaning.

In that space of induced reverie and daydream, the adult is invited to fall back into an active relationship with what Freud considered the subject’s infantile “prehistory” and to excavate his/her subjectivity in its sedimented layers that are also interleaved temporal strata, resurfacing across the immediate plane of language and the “speaking,” fantasizing body. A cavalcade of verbally induced memory images is invited to pass before the analysand’s mental eye and the analyst’s listening ear in order to offer each piece as an element of the puzzle of human subjectivity that psychoanalysis aims to explore through clinical observation and metapsychological theorization.

Although contemporaneous with Proust’s autobiographical reflections on childhood and time, and coeval with the major philosopher of time and memory, Henri Bergson, the Freudian model suggested by this image-filled space is radically different. It is more tragic and obscured than the Proustian recovery of voluntary and involuntary memory. The terms prehistory, sedimented layers, and temporal strata evoke what has been frequently noted as “the archaeological metaphor” that is deeply embedded in Freudian psychoanalysis. For Freud, however, memory was never, as for Proust, a recoverable world of sensation laced with emotion. It is
already a mnemonic trace that functions psychically rather than synaesthetically, whose substance is marked only by a psychic representative – a coded displacement or translation. A memory image structures for the subject the remnant of unfinished emotional business that hinges the subject and human culture forever between an incomplete past and a never fully experienced present. This suggests, therefore, that subjectivity can only be grasped chrono-topologically and in a profound relation between what is absent(ed) – the lost moment of formative sensation and emotion – and what is imaged/imagined as its trace in the psychic representational systems generated in response to the initial welter of impressions and intensities. The representational act of art is both a further staging and what Sarah Kofman defines as an originary repetition.

George Dimock has argued that furnishings, pictures, and objects signify as an unconscious or dream text of the collector, Sigmund Freud. They invite a reading of Freud’s mind that is also the Freudian psyche: split between consciousness and unconsciousness, between what is imagined and what is absent, but traced in the charged image that holds before the psychic “eye” the relay of repressed affects and meanings that it is the job of psychoanalysis to retrace anamnesically. The subject is arrested by images that serve as a rebus-like representation of thoughts and affects that cannot be directly known but must be deciphered, just as was the hieroglyphic language of the Egyptians by Champollion in 1822. Never purely personal, although singular to their author in visual articulation, the space-text of the consulting room requires a structural analysis that may produce an understanding of the patterns of relations, substitutions, and relays in which the image plays such a part in psychoanalysis. These reflections take us to the heart of both the Freudian paradox of a subject formed in memory and of modernity itself defined by the partner it created: the ancient, archaic, or foundational past.

So, we might ask: Why did the modern, atheist neuro-psychologist, promoting his new science in a medical practice, live intellectually and affectively in a world populated by such fragmentary image-bearers of its antithesis, namely, mytho-poetical, cultic, and religious thinking? Why so much pagan art in the place of modern science? Not for Freud Gauguin’s Oceania or Picasso’s Africa. Rather, the pagan cultures of the ancient world – Egypt, China, Greece, India, Etruria, and Rome – spoke to and of his desire and his childhood dreams framed in a still potent Jewish heritage within a Germano-Christian culture. Psychoanalysis emerges, therefore, in a musealized space, reflecting back to us the modernist
consciousness that needs, invests in, and mis-remembers the many pasts and prehistories the museum holds in its keeping before the gaze of the present through images it has recovered and redisplayed.

The luminously simple modernist architecture and décor of the suburban house in Maresfield Gardens locates the famous couch covered with the rich colors of a Persian carpet directly beneath a print of André Brouillet’s painting of *Leçon du Mardi, Salpêtrière* in which the nineteenth-century neurologist Jean-Martin Charcot lectures to an assembled medical, and all-male, audience while his assistant holds the fainting body of a woman patient in mute, hysterical collapse echoed across the room by the enlarged image of an anguished arching hysterical female body (Figure 1.3). In the Vienna setting, however, this print hung on the wall opposite Freud’s chair, in his line of vision, and next to a print of the Roman Forum that had strong links with a memory of Freud’s father, Jacob Freud. Both acknowledge and resist filial descent. “Un visuel,” as Freud described Charcot in 1893, a man of “an artistically gifted temperament,” who allowed himself to be absorbed by a long observation of visual appearances

---

**Figure 1.3**  Photograph of Freud’s Consulting Room, 20 Maresfield Gardens, London. Reproduced courtesy of Freud Museum, London.
until their superficial chaos yielded intelligible order, is represented in a medical setting, lecturing in the presence of his “possessed” but silent and mentally absented object of medical demonstration.  

Charcot’s gendered scenario is structurally opposed to the scene of Freudian analysis over which it appears to preside (Figure 1.4). Berggasse 19 is as private as it is dialogic: we note the chair at the head of the sofa for the analyst himself, represented in his absence by a place in a trans-subjective structural exchange Freud would theorize as transference. There is no image of Freud at work with an analysand. Indeed, it would make no sense to represent the process of psychoanalysis by an imaging of the doctor at work.

In the original Viennese setting, above the couch hung a colour gouache by Ernst Koerner from 1907 of the vast cliff temple built by Rameses II at Abu Simnel, massively signifying both a fascination with the past of human civilizations and with the Egyptian cult of death against which

**Figure 1.4** Print after André Brouillet, *Leçon du Mardi, Salpêtrière*, 1888. Reproduced courtesy of Freud Museum, London.
the sculpted images stands as both attempted defeat and monumental embodiment. The London setting places the couch within an acoustic space framed by Freud’s library on the right, and elements of his considerable collection of antiquities on the left. The former obviously represents Freud’s scholarly profession. Freud was a multidisciplinary scholar, reading widely in contemporary archaeology, anthropology, and, significantly, art history. Collecting antiquities was a passion that began in December 1896, two months after his father’s death, a coincidence that has not passed without inevitable, psychoanalytical comment. If, as Jan Assman argues, Jewish monotheism’s repudiation of the graven image is a cultural inversion of Egypt’s monumental culture and its sculpturally encoded cult of eternal life after death, such a powerful invocation of the opposing cultural imaginary – so recently disinterred by modern archaeology – marks Freud’s modernity and inscribes psychoanalysis as the analytics that seek to see beyond cult to the human longings and anxieties such systems encrypted so powerfully in cultural form that the counter-memory of Judaism proscribed their solace.

In reading this array of images as an art installation that can itself be deciphered just like a dream text, Freud’s dream, George Dimock cites Freud’s early invocation of the archaeological metaphor in his “Aetiology of Hysteria” of 1896:

Imagine that an explorer comes in his travels to a region of which but little is known and there his interest is aroused by ruins… He may content himself with inspecting what lies there on the surface and with questioning the people who live nearby, …, about what tradition tells of the history and meaning of these monumental remains, and taking notes of their statements – and then go on his way. But he may act differently; he may have come equipped with picks, shovels and spades, and may press the inhabitants into his service and arm them with these tools, make an onslaught on the ruins, clear away the rubbish, and, starting from the visible remains, may bring to light what is buried.

By such a method the archaeologist may uncover enough remains, tablets, inscriptions, an alphabet, and a language sufficient to produce “undreamed of information” about the events of the past “to commemorate which these monuments were built.” The past may leave a fractured, indecipherable trace. Deep excavations and collection of remembered stories allow some reconstruction of what these remains, themselves already signifying yet baffling images, commemorate. This is the ambiguous term at the heart of