

The Handbook of Discourse Analysis

Edited by

*Deborah Schiffrin, Deborah Tannen,
and Heidi E. Hamilton*

 **BLACKWELL**
P u b l i s h e r s

The Handbook of Discourse Analysis



Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics

This outstanding multi-volume series covers all the major subdisciplines within linguistics today and, when complete, will offer a comprehensive survey of linguistics as a whole.

Published Works:

The Handbook of Child Language

Edited by PAUL FLETCHER and BRIAN MACWHINNEY

The Handbook of Phonological Theory

Edited by JOHN GOLDSMITH

The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory

Edited by SHALOM LAPPIN

The Handbook of Sociolinguistics

Edited by FLORIAN COULMAS

The Handbook of Phonetic Sciences

Edited by WILLIAM HARDCASTLE and JOHN LAVER

The Handbook of Morphology

Edited by ANDREW SPENCER and ARNOLD ZWICKY

The Handbook of Japanese Linguistics

Edited by NATSUKO TSUJIMURA

The Handbook of Linguistics

Edited by MARK ARONOFF and JANIE REES-MILLER

The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory

Edited by MARK BALTIN and CHRIS COLLINS

The Handbook of Discourse Analysis

Edited by DEBORAH SCHIFFRIN, DEBORAH TANNEN, and
HEIDI E. HAMILTON

The Handbook of Variation and Change

Edited by J. K. CHAMBERS, PETER TRUDGILL, and
NATALIE SCHILLING-ESTES

The Handbook of Discourse Analysis

Edited by

*Deborah Schiffrin, Deborah Tannen,
and Heidi E. Hamilton*

 **BLACKWELL**
Publishers

Copyright © Blackwell Publishers Ltd 2001

First published 2001

2 4 6 8 10 9 7 5 3 1

Blackwell Publishers Inc.
350 Main Street
Malden, Massachusetts 02148
USA

Blackwell Publishers Ltd
108 Cowley Road
Oxford OX4 1JF
UK

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Except in the United States of America, this book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, resold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

The Handbook of discourse analysis / edited by Deborah Schiffrin, Deborah Tannen, and Heidi Hamilton.

p. cm. — (Blackwell handbooks in linguistics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-631-20595-0 (alk. paper)

1. Discourse analysis—Handbooks, manuals, etc. I. Schiffrin, Deborah. II. Tannen, Deborah. III. Hamilton, Heidi Ehernberger. IV. Series.

P302 .H344 2001
401'.41—dc21

2001018139

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Typeset in 9.5/12pt Palatino
by Graphicraft Limited, Hong Kong
Printed in Great Britain by T.J. International, Padstow, Cornwall

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

For our parents,

Marlye and Leonard Schiffrin
Dorothy and Eli Tannen
Claire and Gerald Ehernberger

Contents

Contributors	x
Introduction	1
I Discourse Analysis and Linguistics	11
1 Intonation and Discourse: Current Views from Within ELIZABETH COUPER-KUHLEN	13
2 Cohesion and Texture J. R. MARTIN	35
3 Discourse Markers: Language, Meaning, and Context DEBORAH SCHIFFRIN	54
4 Discourse and Semantics NEAL R. NORRICK	76
5 Discourse and Relevance Theory DIANE BLAKEMORE	100
6 Discourse and Information Structure GREGORY WARD AND BETTY J. BIRNER	119
7 Historical Discourse Analysis LAUREL J. BRINTON	138
8 Typology and Discourse Analysis JOHN MYHILL	161
9 Register Variation: A Corpus Approach DOUGLAS BIBER AND SUSAN CONRAD	175
II The Linking of Theory and Practice in Discourse Analysis	197
10 Nine Ways of Looking at Apologies: The Necessity for Interdisciplinary Theory and Method in Discourse Analysis ROBIN TOLMACH LAKOFF	199

11	Interactional Sociolinguistics: A Personal Perspective JOHN J. GUMPERZ	215
12	Discourse as an Interactional Achievement III: The Omnirelevance of Action EMANUEL A. SCHEGLOFF	229
13	Discourse and Interaction MONICA HELLER	250
14	The Linguistic Structure of Discourse LIVIA POLANYI	265
15	The Variationist Approach toward Discourse Structural Effects and Socio-interactional Dynamics SYLVIE DUBOIS AND DAVID SANKOFF	282
16	Computer-assisted Text and Corpus Analysis: Lexical Cohesion and Communicative Competence MICHAEL STUBBS	304
17	The Transcription of Discourse JANE A. EDWARDS	321
	III Discourse: Language, Context, and Interaction	349
	A Political, Social, and Institutional Domains	351
18	Critical Discourse Analysis TEUN A. VAN DIJK	352
19	Discourse and Racism RUTH WODAK AND MARTIN REISIGL	372
20	Political Discourse JOHN WILSON	398
21	Discourse and Media COLLEEN COTTER	416
22	Discourse Analysis in the Legal Context ROGER W. SHUY	437
23	The Discourse of Medical Encounters NANCY AINSWORTH-VAUGHN	453
24	Language and Medicine SUZANNE FLEISCHMAN	470
25	Discourse in Educational Settings CAROLYN TEMPLE ADGER	503
26	Narrative in Institutions CHARLOTTE LINDE	518

B Culture, Community, and Genre	537
27 Discourse and Intercultural Communication RON SCOLLON AND SUZANNE WONG SCOLLON	538
28 Discourse and Gender SHARI KENDALL AND DEBORAH TANNEN	548
29 Discourse and Aging HEIDI E. HAMILTON	568
30 Child Discourse JENNY COOK-GUMPERZ AND AMY KYRATZIS	590
31 Computer-mediated Discourse SUSAN C. HERRING	612
32 Discourse Analysis and Narrative BARBARA JOHNSTONE	635
33 Discourse and Conflict CHRISTINA KAKAVÁ	650
IV Discourse across Disciplines	671
34 The Analysis of Discourse Flow WALLACE CHAFE	673
35 The Discursive Turn in Social Psychology ROM HARRÉ	688
36 Discourse Analysis and Language Teaching ELITE OLSHTAIN AND MARIANNE CELCE-MURCIA	707
37 Discourse Analysis in Communication KAREN TRACY	725
38 Discourse and Sociology: Sociology and Discourse ALLEN GRIMSHAW	750
39 Imagination in Discourse HERBERT H. CLARK AND MIJA M. VAN DER WEGE	772
40 Literary Pragmatics JACOB L. MEY	787
41 Computational Perspectives on Discourse and Dialog BONNIE LYNN WEBBER	798
Index	817

Contributors

Carolyn Temple Adger is a Senior Researcher at the Center for Applied Linguistics in Washington, DC. Her research focuses on language in education, especially classroom discourse and teachers' professional talk. Recent co-authored and co-edited books include *Kids Talk: Strategic Language Use in Later Childhood* (Oxford University Press, 1998); *Dialects in Schools and Communities* (Lawrence Erlbaum, 1998); and *Making the Connection: Language and Academic Achievement among African American Students* (Delta Systems, 1999). carolyn@cal.org

Nancy Ainsworth-Vaughn is on leave from Michigan State University, where she is an Associate Professor in the Department of English. Her publications since the early 1990s have examined power, gender, and co-construction in the questions, stories, and topic transitions that constitute doctor-patient encounters. This work culminated in *Claiming Power in Doctor-Patient Talk* (Oxford University Press, 1998). ainswrth@pilot.msu.edu

Douglas Biber is Regents' Professor of English (Applied Linguistics) at Northern Arizona University. His research efforts have focused on corpus linguistics, English grammar, and register variation (in English and cross-linguistic; synchronic and diachronic). His publications include three books published by Cambridge University Press (*Variation Across Speech and Writing*, 1988; *Dimensions of Register Variation: A Cross-linguistic Comparison*, 1995; and *Corpus Linguistics: Investigating Language Structure and Use*, 1998, with Susan Conrad and Randi Reppen) and most recently the co-authored *Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English* (1999). douglas.biber@nau.edu

Betty J. Birner is an Assistant Professor in the English Department at Northern Illinois University. Her research interests include the discourse functions of syntactic constructions, inferential relations in discourse, and reference. She is the author of *The Discourse Function of Inversion in English* (Garland, 1996) and co-author, with Gregory Ward, of *Information Status and Noncanonical Word Order in English* (Benjamins, 1998). bbirner@niu.edu

Diane Blakemore is Professor of Linguistics at the University of Salford. Her publications are mainly in the area of relevance theoretic pragmatics and investigate

non-truth conditional meaning and the relationship between linguistic form and pragmatics interpretation. Her most recent articles include: "Indicators and procedures: 'nevertheless' and 'but'" (2000); "Restatement and exemplification: a relevance theoretic re-assessment of elaboration" (1997); and "Non-truth conditional meaning" (1998).
d.blakemore@salford.ac.uk

Laurel J. Brinton is Professor of English Language at the University of British Columbia. Her main areas of interest include pragmatic markers, composite predicates, aspect, and grammaticalization in the history of English. Her most recent books include *Pragmatic Markers in English: Grammaticalization and Discourse Functions* (Mouton, 1996), the co-edited volume *Collocational and Idiomatic Aspects of Composite Predicates in the History of English* (Benjamins, 1999), and the text and CD-ROM workbook *The Structure of Modern English: A Linguistic Introduction* (Benjamins, 2000).
brinton@interchange.ubc.ca

Marianne Celce-Murcia is Professor of Applied Linguistics at the University of California, Los Angeles. Her publications and research have dealt with English grammar and discourse, pedagogical grammar, and pronunciation instruction. Her most recent books include: *Teaching Pronunciation* (with Donna Brinton and Janet Goodwin; Cambridge University Press, 1996); *The Grammar Book: An ESL/EFL Teacher's Course* (with Diane Larsen-Freeman; Heinle and Heinle, 1999); and *Discourse and Context in Language Teaching* (with Elite Olshtain; Cambridge University Press, 2000).
celce-m@humnet.ucla.edu

Wallace Chafe is Professor Emeritus of Linguistics at the University of California at Santa Barbara. His research has focused on languages of Native North America and on discourse and its relations to human thought. His most recent major publication is *Discourse, Consciousness, and Time* (University of Chicago Press, 1994), an exploration of how the flow and displacement of consciousness are reflected in speaking and writing. He is currently investigating ways in which prosody is used to express emotions and attitudes.
chafe@humanitas.ucsb.edu

Herbert H. Clark is Professor of Psychology at Stanford University. He has published on a range of issues in linguistics and psycholinguistics. These include: spatial language, conventional and innovative word meaning, types of listeners, definite reference, the nature of common ground, interactive language in joint activities, quotations, gestures, and disfluencies. Much of this work is reviewed in two books, *Arenas of Language Use* (University of Chicago Press, 1992) and *Using Language* (Cambridge University Press, 1996).
clark@psych.stanford.edu

Susan Conrad is an Assistant Professor in the Department of English and Program in Linguistics at Iowa State University. She is co-author of *Corpus Linguistics: Investigating Language Structure and Use* (Cambridge University Press, 1998) and the *Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English* (Pearson, 1999), and co-editor of a collection of corpus-based studies of register and dialect variation, *Variation in English: Multi-dimensional Studies* (Pearson, 2001). Her work on register variation and corpus linguistics has appeared in *Applied Linguistics*, *Linguistics and Education*, *System*, and *TESOL Quarterly*.

Jenny Cook-Gumperz is a Professor of Education at the University of California, Santa Barbara. A sociologist and sociolinguist, she is well known for her work on literacy theory and the social context of children's language learning. She is the author of *Social Construction of Literacy, Social Control and Socialization*, and *Children's Worlds and Children's Language* (with William Corsaro and Jürgen Streeck), as well as numerous papers on literacy and language socialization. jenny@education.ucsb.edu

Colleen Cotter is an Assistant Professor at Georgetown University, dividing her time between the Linguistics Department and the Communication, Culture and Technology (CCT) master's program. Her former career as a daily newspaper reporter and editor (as well as journalism educator) has informed the ethnographic or community-situated approach she takes in studying media discourse. She is currently completing a book on news discourse and news language, examining news texts from the vantage point of journalistic practice and process and the perspectives of journalists themselves. It is provisionally entitled *News Values, News Practice: Shaping the Language and Culture of News*. She has also done research on the use of broadcast media to promote minority- or endangered-language development, focusing primarily on the case in Ireland. cotterc@georgetown.edu

Elizabeth Couper-Kuhlen is Professor of English Linguistics in the Department of Linguistics at the University of Konstanz, Germany. Her research interests include language use in interaction, prosody and conversation, and clause combining in spoken discourse. Among her major publications are *English Speech Rhythm: Form and Function in Everyday Verbal Interaction* (Benjamins, 1993); *Language in Time: The Rhythm and Tempo of Spoken Interaction* (co-authored with Peter Auer and Frank Müller; Oxford University Press, 1999); *Prosody in Conversation: Interactional Studies* (co-edited with Margret Selting; Cambridge University Press, 1996); *Cause, Condition, Concession, Contrast: Cognitive and Discourse Perspectives* (co-edited with Bernd Kortmann; Mouton, 2000); and *Studies in Interactional Linguistics* (co-edited with Margret Selting; Benjamins, in press). Elizabeth.Couper@uni-konstanz.de

Sylvie Dubois is Associate Professor at the Department of French at Louisiana State University. Her publications are mainly in the areas of discourse analysis, sociolinguistic methods and fieldworks, and vernacular varieties of French and English in North America, especially Cajun and Creole dialects spoken in Louisiana. She is the author of a book on discourse analysis, *L'analyse variationniste du discours en sociolinguistique* (American University Studies XIII, Peter Lang, 1997). Her most recent articles have been published in *Language Variation and Change*, *Language in Society*, and *Journal of Sociolinguistics*. sdubois@cmpsource.com

Jane A. Edwards is a Researcher at the Institute of Cognitive Studies, University of California at Berkeley. Her publications are mainly in the area of corpus linguistics, with special reference to spoken language description and robust use of electronic corpora in linguistic research. Her most recent publications include "Principles and alternative systems in the transcription, coding and mark-up of spoken discourse" (1995) and, as co-editor with Martin D. Lampert, *Talking Data: Transcription and Coding in Discourse Research* (Lawrence Erlbaum, 1993). edwards@icsi.berkeley.edu

Suzanne Fleischman was a Professor in the Department of French at the University of California at Berkeley for twenty-five years. Her research areas included Romance linguistics, historical linguistics, tense and aspect, grammaticalization, sociolinguistics, narrative analysis, linguistics and literature, medieval studies, and language and gender. Her publications covered all of these areas, and included *The Future in Thought and Language* (Cambridge University Press, 1982), *Tense and Narrativity* (University of Texas Press, 1990), *Discourse Pragmatics and the Verb* (co-edited with Linda Waugh; Routledge 1991), and *Modality in Grammar and Discourse* (co-edited with Joan Bybee; Benjamins, 1995). At the time of her death from myelodysplasia in 2000, Fleischman had been working on the cross-linguistic analysis of the grammaticalization of *like* and on a book integrating her own experience with illness with analyses of the relationship between language and medicine. A collection of her work is currently being prepared for publication by Eve Sweetser and Dan Slobin (both at University of California at Berkeley).

Allen Grimshaw is Emeritus Professor of Sociology at Indiana University; he served on the faculty from 1959 to 1994. During his last decades of teaching he specialized in courses on language in use in social contexts and on social conflict and violence, including war and genocide. He has written several books and numerous articles on these topics and continues research on them. His most recent writing includes encyclopedia and handbook articles on genocide, language topics, and racial violence. Among his publications are: *Conflict Talk: Sociolinguistic Investigations of Arguments in Conversations* (as editor; Cambridge University Press, 1990); *What's Going On Here? Complementary Studies of Professional Talk* (as co-editor; Ablex, 1994), "Genocide and democide" (1999); and "Control" (2000). grimsha@indiana.edu

John J. Gumperz is Professor Emeritus of Anthropology at the University of California at Berkeley. From his earlier work in the 1950s on dialect differences and social stratification in rural Michigan and as a member of an anthropological research team in a North Indian village community, he has consistently been dealing with the issue of language contact and linguistic diversity. Since the early 1970s he has turned to discourse and conversation analysis, concentrating on questions of bilingualism, bidialectalism, and intercultural communication. He is concerned with providing both the empirical evidence and the theoretical framework for investigating the varied but systematic ways in which talk both reflects and defines social and cultural boundaries. Among his best-known publications are *Directions in Sociolinguistics* (co-edited with Dell Hymes; Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972, reissued Blackwell, 1986, and to be republished in 2001); *Discourse Strategies* (Cambridge University Press, 1982); *Language and Social Identity* (Cambridge University Press, 1982), and *Rethinking Linguistic Relativity* (co-edited with Stephen Levinson; Cambridge University Press, 1996). Gumperz is currently at work on a follow-up volume to *Directions in Sociolinguistics* called *New Ethnographies of Communication*, to be co-edited with Marco Jaquemet, and on a set of theoretical essays. Gumperz@education.ucsb.edu

Heidi E. Hamilton is Associate Professor of Linguistics at Georgetown University. Her research focuses on language and Alzheimer's disease, language and aging, medical communication, and foreign language immersion programs. She is the author of *Conversations with an Alzheimer's Patient: An Interactional Sociolinguistic Study* (Cambridge

University Press, 1994) and *Discourse Analysis Across Disciplines* (Oxford University Press, forthcoming), and editor of *Language and Communication in Old Age: Multidisciplinary Perspectives* (Garland, 1999). hamilthe@georgetown.edu

Rom Harré is Emeritus Fellow of Linacre College, Oxford, Professor of Psychology at Georgetown University, and Adjunct Professor of Philosophy at American University, Washington, D.C. His published work includes studies in the philosophy of the natural sciences such as *Varieties of Realism* (Blackwell, 1986) and *Great Scientific Experiments* (Oxford University Press, 1981). He has been among the pioneers of the “discursive” approach in the human sciences. In *Social Being* (Rowman and Littlefield, 1979), *Personal Being* (Harvard University Press, 1984), and *Physical Being* (Blackwell, 1991) he explored the role of rules and conventions in various aspects of human cognition, while in *Pronouns and People* (Blackwell, 1990), he and Peter Mühlhäusler developed the thesis that grammar and the sense of self are intimately related. harre@georgetown.edu

Monica Heller is Professor at the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education of the University of Toronto. Her publications and research are mainly in the areas of interactional sociolinguistics; code-switching; the political economy of multilingualism; and policy, ideology, and practice of French, English, and other languages in Canada. Her most recent books include *Linguistic Minorities and Modernity: A Sociolinguistic Ethnography* (Longman, 1999) and *Voices of Authority: Education and Linguistic Difference* (co-edited with Marilyn Martin-Jones; Greenwood, 2000). Recent articles have been published in such journals as the *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, *Estudios de Sociolinguística*, *Discurso y Sociedad*, and *Grenzzaenge*. mheller@oise.utoronto.ca

Susan C. Herring is Associate Professor of Information Science and Linguistics at Indiana University, Bloomington. Her recent publications are mainly in the area of computer-mediated communication, where she applies linguistic methods of analysis to computer-mediated discourse. Her research investigates gender, politeness, interaction management, and changes over time in CMC. Her books include *Computer-mediated Communication: Linguistic, Social and Cross-Cultural Perspectives* (Benjamins, 1996) and *Computer-mediated Conversation* (forthcoming); she has also published numerous articles on CMC. herring@indiana.edu

Barbara Johnstone is Professor of Rhetoric and Linguistics at Carnegie Mellon University. In her book *Stories, Community, and Place* (Indiana University Press, 1990) she explored how shared story plots and shared conventions for storytelling help create community. She continues to explore connections between language, identity, and place. Johnstone is the author of several other books, including *Discourse Analysis: An Introduction* (Blackwell, 2001). bj4@andrew.cmu.edu

Christina Kakavá is Associate Professor of Linguistics at Mary Washington College. Her research interest is conflict management in intra- and interethnic communication. Her work has appeared in the *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, *Georgetown Round Table on Languages and Linguistics*, and the *Journal of Modern Greek Studies*, and in other journals and books. ckakava@mwc.edu

Shari Kendall is Research Associate at Georgetown University. Her publications are mainly in the areas of gender and sexuality. Her research investigates the linguistic

creation of gendered and other social identities in the workplace, the family, the courtroom, and the media. Her most recent articles include: "He's calling her Da Da!: A sociolinguistic analysis of the 'lesbianism as disease' metaphor in child custody cases" (with Keller Magenau; 1999); "Conversational patterns across gender, class and ethnicity: implications for classroom discourse" (with Deborah Tannen and Carolyn Temple Adger; 1997); and "Gender and language in the workplace" (with Deborah Tannen; 1997). kendalls@georgetown.edu

Amy Kyratzis is Assistant Professor of Early Childhood Education and Development at the Gevirtz Graduate School of Education, University of California, Santa Barbara. She has authored articles on children's early pragmatic development, communicative competence, and language socialization. She is co-editor of *Social Interaction, Social Context, and Language: Essays in Honor of Susan Ervin-Tripp* (with Dan Slobin, Julie Gerhardt, and Jiansheng Guo; Lawrence Erlbaum, 1996). kyratzis@education.ucsb.edu

Robin Tolmach Lakoff has been a Professor of Linguistics at the University of California at Berkeley since 1972. Her work is mostly in the areas of pragmatics and sociolinguistics, with particular emphasis on language and gender, politics of language, and discourse analysis. Earlier works include *Language and Woman's Place* (Harper & Row, 1975) and *Talking Power* (Basic Books, 1990). Her most recent book is *The Language War* (University of California Press, 2000). rlakoff@socrates.berkeley.edu

Charlotte Linde is a Senior Research Scientist at NASA Ames Research Center. Her publications focus on the social use of narrative, particularly in relation to individual and collective memory. Recent publications include "The Acquisition of a Speaker by a Story: How History Becomes Memory and Identity" (2000), "The Transformation of Narrative Syntax into Institutional Memory" (1999), and "Narrative: Experience, Memory, Folklore" (1997). Her book *Narrative and Institutional Memory* is to appear with Oxford University Press. clinde@mail.arc.nasa.gov

J. R. Martin is Professor in Linguistics (Personal Chair) at the University of Sydney. His research interests include systemic theory, functional grammar, discourse semantics, register, genre, multimodality and critical discourse analysis, focusing on English and Tagalog – with special reference to the transdisciplinary fields of educational linguistics and social semiotics. Publications include *English Text: System and Structure* (Benjamins, 1992); *Writing Science: Literacy and Discursive Power* (with M. A. K. Halliday; Falmer, 1993); *Working with Functional Grammar* (with C. Matthiessen and C. Painter; Arnold, 1997); *Genre and Institutions: Social Processes in the Workplace and School* (as co-editor with F. Christie; Cassell, 1997); and *Reading Science: Critical and Functional Perspectives on Discourses of Science* (as co-editor with R. Veel; Routledge, 1998). jmartin@mail.usyd.edu.au

Jacob L. Mey is Professor Emeritus of Linguistics at the University of Southern Denmark, Odense Main Campus. His publications are mainly in the areas of pragmatics and cognitive technology. His research investigates the use of pragmatic techniques in the production and consumption of literary texts, as well as the way the use of computers affects the way the mind organizes and uses knowledge and information. His most recent publications are *Concise Encyclopedia of Pragmatics* (as editor; Elsevier Science, 1999); *When Voices Clash: Studies in Literary Pragmatics* (Mouton

de Gruyter, 2000); and *Pragmatics: An Introduction* (second, revised and enlarged edition; Blackwell, 2000). In addition, Mey publishes the *Journal of Pragmatics* and the new *Journal of Cognitive Technology* (with Barbara Gorayska and Jonathon Marsh). Jam@language.sdu.dk

John Myhill is Associate Professor in the Department of English at the University of Haifa. His publications are mainly in the field of discourse analysis, sociolinguistics, language typology, and semantics. His recent articles include "Towards a functional typology of agent defocusing" (1997); "A study of imperative usage in Biblical Hebrew and English" (1998); and "Quantitative methods of discourse analysis" (2001). john@research.haifa.ac.il

Neal R. Norrick holds the Chair of English Linguistics at Saarland University in Saarbrücken, Germany. His research specializations in linguistics include conversation, verbal humor, pragmatics, semantics, and poetics. In recent years, he has focused his research on spoken language, with particular interests in the role of repetition in discourse and verbal humor. His recent publications include: *Conversational Joking* (Indiana University Press, 1993); "Paradox and metaphor: a discourse approach" (1999); "Retelling again" (1998); "Retelling stories in spontaneous conversation" (1998); "Twice-told tales: collaborative narration of familiar stories" (1997); "Involvement and joking in conversation" (1994); "Repetition in canned jokes and spontaneous conversational joking" (1993); and *Conversational Narrative* (Benjamins, 2000). n.norrick@mx.uni-saarland.de

Elite Olshtain is Professor of Language Education at the School of Education, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel. Her publications are mainly in the area of curriculum design and policy making, discourse analysis and teaching, and second language acquisition research. Her research investigates cross-cultural speech act behavior, language attrition and bilingualism, and language acquisition among immigrants. Her latest publications are *Discourse, Context and Language Teaching* (with Marianne Celce-Murcia; Cambridge University Press, to appear) and *Language Acquisition and Immigrant Patterns of Integration* (with G. Horenzyk; Magness Press, to appear). mselito@mscc.huji.ac.il

Livia Polanyi is Senior Research Scientist at FX Palo Alto Laboratories working in the area of computational discourse understanding. Before joining FXPAL, she taught at the University of Amsterdam and Rice University and was a Research Scientist at Bolt, Beranek, and Newman in Cambridge, MA. Polanyi has published widely. Her studies on formal, computational, linguistic, and cultural aspects of discourse understanding have appeared in journal and conference papers in many fields including theoretical linguistics, sociolinguistics, and computational linguistics, in addition to anthropology, literary theory, semiotics, and economics. Her book *Telling the American Story: Linguistic, Social and Cultural Constraints on the Meaning and Structure of Stories in Conversation* (Ablex, 1989) was reissued as a paperback by MIT Press. polanyi@pal.xerox.com

Martin Reisigl is a PhD candidate in Applied Linguistics at the University of Vienna and is a recipient of a research award from the Austrian Academy of Sciences. His publications are mainly in the areas of discourse analysis, (political) rhetoric,

argumentation theory, and sociolinguistics. He is co-author of *The Discursive Construction of National Identity* (Edinburgh University Press, 1999) and *Discourse and Discrimination: The Rhetoric of Racism and Antisemitism* (Routledge, 2001), and co-editor of *The Semiotics of Racism* (Passagen, 2000). mreisigl@hotmail.com

David Sankoff studied at McGill University and since 1969 has been at the Mathematics Research Centre of the University of Montreal, where he is also Professor of Mathematics and Statistics. He is a Fellow in the Evolutionary Biology Program of the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research. His research involves the formulation of mathematical models and the development of analytical methods in the sciences and humanities. This includes the design of algorithms for problems in computational biology, applied probability for phylogenetic analysis of evolution, and statistical methodology for studying grammatical variation and change in speech communities. His work since the early 1990s has focused on the evolution of genomes as the result of chromosomal rearrangement processes. sankoff@ere.umontreal.c

Emanuel A. Schegloff holds degrees from Harvard and the University of California at Berkeley, and has taught at Columbia and UCLA (since 1972). He has been a Fellow at the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Social Sciences and Humanities (1978–9) and at the Center for Advanced Studies in the Social Sciences at Stanford (1998–9), the latter while he held a Guggenheim Fellowship. He has lectured widely in the United States and Europe, and has published over seventy papers and chapters on a variety of topics concerning conversation and other forms of talk-in-interaction as the primordial site of human sociality. schegloff@soc.ucla.edu

Deborah Schiffrin is Professor of Linguistics at Georgetown University. Her research interests include narrative, life stories, oral histories of the Holocaust, discourse markers, referring terms, grammar and interaction, language and identity, and language and public memorial. Major publications include *Discourse Markers* (Cambridge University Press, 1987); *Approaches to Discourse* (Blackwell, 1994; second edition forthcoming); and *Language, Text and Interaction* (Cambridge University Press, forthcoming). schiffrd@georgetown.edu

Ron Scollon is Professor of Linguistics at Georgetown University. His publications are mainly in the areas of new literacy studies, mediated discourse analysis, and intercultural communication. His most recent books include *Professional Communication in International Settings* (with Yuling Pan and Suzanne Scollon) and *Mediated Discourse as Social Interaction*. scollonr@georgetown.edu

Suzanne Wong Scollon is Research Coordinator of Asian Sociocultural Research Projects and Adjunct Research Associate Professor in the Department of Linguistics at Georgetown University. Her publications are in the areas of critical semiotics, multimodal discourse analysis, and comparative rhetoric and professional presentation. Recent books include *Contrastive Discourse in Chinese and English: A Critical Appraisal* (with Ron Scollon and Andy Kirkpatrick) and *Intercultural Communication: A Discourse Approach* (with Ron Scollon; revised edition). suziescollon@earthlink.net

Roger W. Shuy is Distinguished Research Professor of Linguistics, Emeritus, Georgetown University. Over the years he has published his sociolinguistic and discourse analysis research on regional, social, education, ethnic, stylistic, and gender aspects of

English. Since the early 1970s, his major focus has been on forensic linguistics. His most recent books include *Language Crimes* (Blackwell, 1993); *The Language of Confession, Interrogation, and Deception* (Sage, 1998); and *Bureaucratic Language in Government and Business* (Georgetown University Press, 1998). shuyr@gusun.georgetown.edu

Michael Stubbs has been Professor of English Linguistics, University of Trier, Germany, since 1990. He was previously Professor of English, Institute of Education, University of London. His publications are mainly in educational linguistics, and in text and discourse analysis, including computer-assisted corpus linguistics. His publications include *Text and Corpus Analysis* (Blackwell, 1996) and *Words and Phrases: Studies in Corpus Semantics* (to appear). stubbs@uni-trier.de

Deborah Tannen is a University Professor and Professor of Linguistics at Georgetown University. She has published sixteen books and over eighty-five articles on such topics as spoken and written language, doctor–patient communication, cross-cultural communication, modern Greek discourse, the poetics of everyday conversation, the relationship between conversational and literary discourse, gender and language, workplace interaction, and agonism in public discourse. Among her books are *Talking Voices: Repetition, Dialogue and Imagery in Conversational Discourse* (Cambridge University Press, 1989); *Gender and Discourse* (Oxford University Press, 1994), and *Conversational Style: Analyzing Talk Among Friends* (Ablex, 1984). She has also written *I Only Say This Because I Love You* (Random House, 2001); *You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation* (Ballantine, 1990); and *Talking from 9 to 5: Women and Men in the Workplace: Language, Sex, and Power* (Avon, 1995). Her book *The Argument Culture* (Random House, 1998) received the Common Ground Book Award. Tannen is co-recipient with Shari Kendall of a two-year grant from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, to examine the role of discourse in balancing work and family. tannend@georgetown.edu

Karen Tracy is Professor of Communication at the University of Colorado at Boulder and editor of the journal *Research on Language and Social Interaction*. She is a discourse analyst who studies face and identity troubles in institutional settings. Recent publications include *Colloquium: Dilemmas of Academic Discourse* (1997) and articles analyzing communicative trouble at emergency call centers, appearing in a variety of journals (*Human Communication Research, Journal of Applied Communication, and Discourse Studies*). She is beginning to write about deliberative difficulties in American school board meetings. karen.tracy@colorado.edu

Mija M. Van Der Wege is a Post-doctoral Research Assistant in the Psychology Department at Stanford University. She has published mainly in the area of language use and discourse processes. Her current research investigates issues of turn-taking, conventional and innovative word meanings, and reference processes. mija@psych.stanford.edu

Teun A. van Dijk is Professor of Discourse Studies at the University of Amsterdam, and Visiting Professor at the Universitat Pompeu Fabra in Barcelona. After earlier work on text grammar and the psychology of text processing, most of his work in the 1980s and 1990s dealt with the study of the discursive reproduction of racism. His new major project is on ideology and discourse. In each of these fields he has

published several books. His latest are the two edited volumes *Discourse Studies* (Sage, 1997) and *Ideology* (Sage, 1998). He is founder and editor of the journals *Discourse and Society* and *Discourse Studies*. teun@hum.uva.nl

Gregory Ward is Professor and Chair of the Department of Linguistics at Northwestern University. His main research area is discourse, with specific interests in pragmatic theory, information structure, and reference/anaphora. Recent publications provide pragmatic analyses of various constructions, such as “do so” (with A. Kehler; Turner, 1999), Italian subject postposing (Kamio and Takami, 1998), and English “there”-sentences (with B. Birner; 1995). His recent book with Betty Birner, *Information Status and Noncanonical Word Order in English* (Benjamins, 1998), explores the discourse functions of a broad range of non-canonical syntactic constructions in English and other languages. With L. Horn, he is editor of the *Handbook of Pragmatics* (Blackwell, to appear). gw@northwestern.edu

Bonnie Lynn Webber is Professor of Intelligent Systems in the Division of Informatics, University of Edinburgh. She has published in both discourse semantics and natural language processing. Her most recent articles include “Inference through alternative-set semantics” (with Gann Bierner; 2000); “Discourse relations: a structural and presuppositional account using lexicalised TAG” (with Aravind Joshi, Alistair Knott, and Matthew Stone; 1999); and “Concession, implicature, and alternative sets (with Ivana Kruijff-Korbayová; 2001). bonnie@dai.ed.ac.uk

John Wilson is Professor of Communication and Dean of the Faculty of Social and Health Sciences and Education, University of Ulster. His research interests are in the areas of discourse, pragmatics, and sociolinguistics, in particular the applied use of theory in the understanding of everyday linguistic interaction. His early work concentrated on establishing a theory of conversation as a speech event. This is outlined in *On the Boundaries of Conversation* (Pergamon, 1987). He then moved on to consider the application of both pragmatic and discourse theory to an understanding of everyday language, most significantly political language (*Politically Speaking*: Blackwell, 1990; *Linguistic Forms of Political Life*: Mouton, forthcoming). His most recent publications indicate the breadth of his applied approach: *The Language of Peace and Conflict* (with J. Rose; 1997); *What Do You Have in Mind: Pragmatics and Language Impairment* (2000); and *Parameter Setting within a Socially Realistic Linguistics* (1998). jwilson@ulst.ac.uk

Ruth Wodak is Professor and Head of the Department of Applied Linguistics at the University of Vienna. Beside various other prizes, she was lately awarded with the Wittgenstein Prize for Elite Researchers (1996). She is Director of the Wittgenstein Research Centre Discourse, Politics, Identity (at the Austrian Academy of Sciences). Her publications are mainly in the areas of discourse and racism, discourse and discrimination, discourse analysis, gender studies, and organizational research. Her research also investigates studies in public and private discourse in Austria since 1945, with special focus on manifestations of antisemitism and racism towards foreigners. Most recently, she has focused on the deconstruction of a taboo on narratives of perpetrators in the Wehrmacht in World War II. Another main aim is the investigation of political language and political discourse: the study of media (printed and electronic) in 1988 in Austria and the impact of the “Waldheim Affair”; and the

construction of Austrian and European identity in European Union policy making. Her recent books include *Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis* (with M. Meyer; Sage, forthcoming); *Racism at the Top* (with Teun A. van Dijk; Drava, 2000); *Discourse and Discrimination* (with Martin Reisigl; Routledge, in press); *The Semiotics of Racism* (with M. Reisigl; Passagen Verlag, in press); *Loss of Communication in the Information Age* (with R. de Cillia and H. J. Krumm; Austrian Academy of Sciences, forthcoming); and *Debating Europe: Globalisation Rhetoric and European Union Employment Policies* (with P. Muntigl and G. Weiss; Benjamins, forthcoming). ruth.wodak@univie.ac.at

Introduction

DEBORAH SCHIFFRIN, DEBORAH TANNEN,
AND HEIDI E. HAMILTON

What Is Discourse Analysis?

Discourse analysis is a rapidly growing and evolving field. Current research in this field now flows from numerous academic disciplines that are very different from one another. Included, of course, are the disciplines in which models for understanding, and methods for analyzing, discourse first developed, such as linguistics, anthropology, and philosophy. But also included are disciplines that have applied – and thus often extended – such models and methods to problems within their own academic domains, such as communication, cognitive psychology, social psychology, and artificial intelligence.

Given this disciplinary diversity, it is no surprise that the terms “discourse” and “discourse analysis” have different meanings to scholars in different fields. For many, particularly linguists, “discourse” has generally been defined as anything “beyond the sentence.” For others (for example Fasold 1990: 65), the study of discourse is the study of language use. These definitions have in common a focus on specific instances or spates of language. But critical theorists and those influenced by them can speak, for example, of “discourse of power” and “discourses of racism,” where the term “discourses” not only becomes a count noun, but further refers to a broad conglomeration of linguistic and nonlinguistic social practices and ideological assumptions that together construct power or racism.

So abundant are definitions of discourse that many linguistics books on the subject now open with a survey of definitions. In their collection of classic papers in discourse analysis, for example, Jaworski and Coupland (1999: 1–3) include ten definitions from a wide range of sources. They all, however, fall into the three main categories noted above: (1) anything beyond the sentence, (2) language use, and (3) a broader range of social practice that includes nonlinguistic and nonspecific instances of language.

The definitional issues associated with discourse and discourse analysis are by no means unique. In his two-volume reference book on semantics, for example, Lyons (1997) illustrates ten different uses of the word *mean*, and thus an equal number of possible domains of the field of semantics. In his introductory chapter on pragmatics,

Levinson (1983) discusses twelve definitions of the field of pragmatics (including some which could easily cover either discourse analysis or sociolinguistics). Since semantics, pragmatics, and discourse all concern language, communication, meaning, and context it is perhaps not surprising that these three fields of linguistics are those whose definitions seem to be most variable.

The variety of papers in this *Handbook* reflects the full range of variation in definitions of – and approaches to – discourse analysis. The different understandings of discourse represented in this volume reflect the rising popularity of the field. Although it is not our intent to explain how or why discourse has gained so powerful an appeal for so wide a range of analytical imaginations (see Jaworski and Coupland 1999: 3–5; van Dijk 1997), our own intellectual/academic histories – all in linguistics – reveal some of the different paths that have led us to an interest in discourse. Since each of our paths is different, we here speak in our own voices – in the order in which we arrived at Georgetown University, where we all now teach.

Deborah Tannen

When I decided to pursue a PhD in linguistics, I held a BA and MA in English literature and had for several years been teaching remedial writing and freshman composition at Lehman College, the City University of New York. Restless to do something new, I attended the 1973 Linguistic Institute sponsored by the Linguistic Society of America at the University of Michigan. That summer I fell in love with linguistics, unaware that “language in context,” the topic of that Institute, did not typify the field. Inspired by A. L. Becker’s introductory course and by Robin Lakoff’s course on politeness theory and communicative strategies, as well as by Emanuel Schegloff’s public lecture on the closings of telephone conversations, I headed for the University of California, Berkeley, to pursue a PhD. There I discovered, along with Robin Lakoff, Charles Fillmore (then interested in frame semantics), Wallace Chafe (then interested in scripts theory and the comparison of speaking and writing), and John Gumperz (then developing his theory of conversational inference). Not for a moment did I think I was doing anything but linguistics. The word “discourse” was not a major category with which I identified. There were no journals with the word “discourse” in their titles. The only journal that specialized in language in context was *Language in Society*, which had a strongly anthropological orientation. I vividly recall the sense of excitement and possibility I felt when a fellow graduate student mentioned, as we stood in the halls outside the linguistics department, that another journal was about to be launched: *Discourse Processes*, edited by psychologist Roy Freedle at Educational Testing Service in Princeton.

When I joined the faculty of the sociolinguistics program at Georgetown University in 1979, I briefly redefined myself as a sociolinguist. That year I submitted an abstract to the annual LSA meeting and checked the box “sociolinguistics” to aid the committee in placing my paper on the program. But when I delivered the paper, I found myself odd man out as the lone presenter analyzing transcripts of conversation among a panel of Labovians displaying charts and graphs of phonological variation. I promptly redefined what I was doing as discourse analysis – the name I also gave to courses I

developed in Georgetown. When invited to organize a Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics in 1981, I titled the meeting (and the book that resulted) “Analyzing Discourse,” and invited as speakers linguists, anthropologists, and psychologists, all of whom were examining language in context.

During these early years, a number of journals appeared that reflected and contributed to the development of the field: *Text*, the first of several journals founded and edited by Teun van Dijk in Amsterdam, and *Journal of Pragmatics*, co-edited by Jacob Mey and Hartmut Haberland in Denmark. As the years passed, many other journals were added – too many to name them all, but including *Pragmatics*, *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, *Discourse and Society*, *Multilingua*, *Journal of Linguistic Anthropology*, *Narrative Inquiry*, *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, and *Discourse Studies*. The proliferation of journals in itself testifies to the upsurge of interest in discourse analysis, and its many incarnations.

The changes I have seen in the two decades since I first began defining myself as a discourse analyst reflect the tremendous growth in this area. Work in discourse analysis is now so diverse that “discourse” is almost a synonym for “language” – coming full circle to where I saw such work at the start.

Deborah Schiffrin

I discovered linguistics and discourse analysis in a very roundabout way. In my senior year of college at Temple University, I read Erving Goffman’s *Presentation of Self in Everyday Life* during a course in sociological theory (the last requirement of my major). I was so excited by his work that I went on to read everything else he had written and then decided to continue studying face-to-face interaction in a PhD program in sociology at Temple. There my studies included an eclectic blend of sociological and social theory, semiotics (which included initial forays into structural and transformational linguistics), statistics, and urban studies. While still at Temple, I wrote an article on the semiotics of the handshake, which I boldly sent to Goffman. What followed was an invitation to a personal meeting and then his permission to audit a course with him. (The course prerequisite was to read all his work before the first class!) When my advisor at Temple decided to leave for another position, I had already decided to try to work with Goffman. Ironically, it was Goffman himself who first turned my thoughts toward a PhD in linguistics: during our first meeting, he proclaimed his belief that linguistics could add rigor and respectability to the analysis of face-to-face interaction.

Once I was enrolled in the PhD Program in linguistics at the University of Pennsylvania, I quickly learned that although linguists knew that understanding social interaction was important, the *study* of social interaction itself had a somewhat peripheral role in the linguistics curriculum. What I found instead was Labov’s sociolinguistics: an energizing mix of fieldwork, urban ethnography, variation analysis, and narrative analysis. I gladly immersed myself in the life and work of the faculty and students in the sociolinguistics community: we interviewed people, measured vowels, coded narratives, and wondered (and worried) about how to measure different “styles.” Although many of my teachers published articles about discourse (Bill Labov

on narrative and ritual insults, Ellen Prince on syntax, presupposition, and information status, Gillian Sankoff on grammaticalization in Tok Pisin), there was little sense of collective interest or of a community of discourse analysts.

As it became time for me to write my dissertation, I decided that I wanted to use what I had learned as a linguist to study social interaction. I remember my sense of confusion, though, when I tried to use what I had learned about the systematicity of language, as well as to follow the advice of both Labov and Goffman. Labov presented me with one mission: solve an old problem with a new method. But Goffman presented me with another: describe something that had not yet been described. After spending some time trying to apply these directives to the study of everyday arguments, I ended up focusing on discourse markers.

When I joined the faculty of Georgetown in 1982, I was immersed in the study of discourse, even though I was hired as a sociolinguist who could teach pragmatics and speech acts. Discourse analysis gradually filtered into those courses, as did face-to-face interaction, variation analysis, fieldwork, and even my old friend sociological theory. These various interests further jelled when I organized a Georgetown University Round Table on languages and linguistics in 1984, with the title "Meaning, Form and Use in Context: Linguistic Applications." Thanks to the interest in discourse created by Deborah Tannen, and the receptiveness of my sociolinguistics colleagues Roger Shuy and Ralph Fasold, I found – and continue to find – a community of faculty and students eager to pursue a collection of interests similar to my own under the rubric of "discourse analysis."

Heidi E. Hamilton

My motivation to study discourse came from my real-life experiences with what Gumperz has called "crosstalk." After receiving my bachelor's degree in German language/literature and cross-cultural studies, I worked in the field of international education for four years. Day after day I witnessed misunderstandings related to (what I would later learn were called) contextualization cues, framing, and complementary schismogenesis. I decided it was time to search for a graduate program to study the linguistic underpinnings of these misunderstandings. After culling through numerous graduate catalogues, I discovered that the courses that I had identified as the ones that seemed most intriguing and relevant led to a degree in linguistics at Georgetown University with a concentration on sociolinguistics. So off I went.

I was fortunate to begin my studies in 1981. The Georgetown University Round Table focusing on discourse had just been organized by Deborah Tannen. The entire department – students and faculty alike – was infused with a sense of excitement and open-ended possibility regarding the future of discourse studies. It was within this context that I worked as Deborah's research assistant and took her eye-opening courses on the analysis of conversation. In my second year of graduate study Deborah Schiffrin arrived at Georgetown as a new assistant professor, bringing with her a deep understanding of sociology and an approach to the analysis of discourse that was greatly influenced by Labov's work on variation. We graduate students were in the enviable position of working with two of the most innovative young discourse

scholars at the time – a situation which became even more apparent to us a couple of years later.

In the summer of 1985, Georgetown University hosted 600 students and faculty who came from around the world to participate in the LSA Linguistic Institute organized by Deborah Tannen. Through the whirlwind of courses, lectures, and discussions, the interactional sociolinguistic approach to discourse analysis that we had been steeped in for several years was taking shape and gaining in prominence. Those of us educated at Georgetown kept hearing how very lucky we were to have the opportunity to study “this kind” of linguistics year-round. In retrospect, these comments seem to foreshadow the movement of the study of discourse from the fringes to a more mainstream position within linguistics.

Though my initial interest in crosstalk within international contexts never diminished (I came close to writing my dissertation on directness in German conversational style while living in Berlin for several years), I ended up shifting gears to another type of problematic talk – that of Alzheimer’s disease. Little did I know that, with that choice of dissertation topic, I was jumping headfirst into a paradigmatic maelstrom. Being trained as an interactional discourse analyst, I was attempting to study a population that was firmly entrenched in the territory of neuro- and psycholinguistics. Time after time I found myself having to justify (to linguists and to gerontologists/neurologists alike) my attempt to marry the odd couple of interactional sociolinguistics and Alzheimer’s disease. In the process, I learned quite a bit about how to talk across disciplinary boundaries, an enterprise that can be both frustrating and invigorating.

In 1990, when I joined the Georgetown Linguistics Department faculty, the program in discourse analysis was already very well established. Graduate students were entering our program better prepared than ever before and were ready to take their study of discourse to a new level. The field was mature enough to be expanded to include the study of “exceptional” discourse, which in turn can illuminate the often invisible workings of more ordinary, everyday discourse.

Purpose of the *Handbook*

Our own experiences in the field have led us to the conviction that the vastness and diversity of discourse analysis is a great strength rather than a weakness. Far from its being a liability to be lamented because of the lack of a single coherent theory, we find the theoretical and methodological diversity of discourse analysis to be an asset. We thus envision this volume as fostering the cooperative use – by linguists and others interested in empirically grounded studies of language – of the many theoretical and analytical resources currently proliferating in the study of discourse.

Our collection of forty-one articles suggests that the future cooperation which we hope will emerge will respect the many differences that distinguish the approaches reflected here. There are differences in the type of data drawn upon, ranging from political speeches to everyday conversation to literary texts. There are also differences in the types of context considered, including, for example, community, institutional, and ideological contexts. Finally, there is a varied range of theoretical paradigms, such as relevance theory and systemic-functional linguistics, and of methodology, including

interpretive, statistical, and formal methods. As a result, the articles collected here suggest a foundational paradigm for “discourse analysis” that should be broad enough to support a wide range of assumptions, approaches, methods, analyses, and even definitions, of discourse.

What are the strengths and advantages of representing so wide a variety of discourse studies? Why have we collected so broad a set of articles and assumed so wide a scope for discourse analysis?

First, the scope of chapters reveals the range of problems that discourse analysis has addressed and can continue to address. These problems range from linguistic phenomena, such as preposing (Ward and Birner) and word meaning (Norrick, Schiffrin), to interdisciplinary phenomena, such as discourse flow (Chafe) and literary pragmatics (Mey), to social problems such as discrimination against minorities (Wodak and Reisigl) and patient compliance with doctors’ instructions (Ainsworth-Vaughn). The problems addressed by the chapters also vary in focus, from historical discourse analysis (Brinton) to discourse and conflict (Kakavá); in analytical scope, from intonation (Couper-Kuhlen) to narrative (Johnstone); and in methodology, from case studies (Linde) to statistical surveys (Biber and Conrad).

Second, the inclusion of a range of chapters will immediately highlight analytical parallels among perspectives that are already substantively and methodologically aligned, such as the links among critical discourse analysis (van Dijk), the analysis of discourse and racism (Wodak and Reisigl), and political discourse (Wilson). However, we also hope that readers will discover parallels among areas whose similarities have been overlooked. Included here might be methodological parallels, such as the adoption of ethnographic methods across different institutional domains, as noted in Adger’s on discourse in educational settings and Ainsworth-Vaughn’s on the discourse of medical encounters. Readers may also find that they can apply empirical findings from one area to other areas: for example, insights into information structure (Ward and Birner) may be relevant to doctor–patient communication (Ainsworth-Vaughn) as well as discourse and conflict (Kakavá) or the discursive construction of the self (Harré). Similarly, the analysis of information flow (Chafe) may inform the formal demarcation of discourse units (Polanyi).

In a similar spirit, we hope that readers will find thematic parallels among chapters that approach similar domains of discourse in different ways. For example, “the computer” – so pervasive a force in linguistic and social dynamics – enters the *Handbook* in numerous sections and chapters. It is seen as a method in Edwards’s chapter on transcription, and as both method and resource for data in Biber and Conrad’s quantitative analyses of register variation and in Stubbs’s discussion of corpus analysis. The computer provides a source of both data and genre in Herring’s chapter on computer-mediated discourse, and as an algorithm in Webber’s discussion of computational models of discourse.

It is with such patterns in mind, then, that we hope that the range of chapters – and perceived connections among them, many of which we have not described here or even foreseen – will enhance the ability of discourse analysts to deal with a variety of problems and phenomena in ways that are not only internally coherent, but also enriched by multiple connections with one another.

A third benefit to the wide scope of chapters is the reinforcement of the synergy between theory and data analysis that is reflected in the pervasive understanding of

discourse analysis as the examination of actual (not hypothetical) text and/or talk. Although authors have pursued a range of formats within the general topic assigned to them, we have encouraged them – in keeping with the term “discourse analysis,” as well as the strong empirical bent noted above – to illustrate and substantiate general points by drawing upon concrete analyses of real discourse data. This springs from our conviction that theory and data are inseparable and mutually enriching: theoretical insights are needed to move the analysis of discourse beyond instance-specific insights, at the same time as analysis must be grounded in actual instances of language in order to provide both realistic constraints and empirical bases for theory-building.

Fourth, though we have not asked contributors to address the need for – or even the desirability of – a single discourse theory, what contributors chose to include and emphasize, the themes and problems they address from the perspective of their specific areas, and the analyses and findings that they report all reveal the richness that needs to be respected and encompassed in discourse theories.

We hope that the breadth of articles collected here will provide a comprehensive view of the central issues in contemporary discourse analysis that is both accessible to students and informative to scholars. To this end, we have included articles by leading scholars in the field that provide an overview of their previous work, as well as chapters that survey the history of an area and summarize recent developments. In other articles, firmly established domains are assessed in order to link past approaches and findings with future challenges; in still others, authors develop relatively new fields of inquiry. Thus, we hope that the *Handbook* will serve not only as an authoritative guide to the major developments of discourse analysis, but also as a significant contribution to current research.

Organizational Structure

The organization of the *Handbook* reflects and builds upon the diversity of discourse analysis. Part I, “Discourse Analysis and Linguistics,” locates the field in relation to the different aspects of, and perspectives on, language that typically constitute the field of linguistics. Of particular note is the growing interest in the influence of discourse from the traditional subfields of linguistics: phonology (Couper-Kuhlen), semantics (Martin, Norrick), syntax (Ward and Birner), and historical linguistics (Brinton). In all these chapters, we see scholars looking to naturally occurring discourse as the site within which to analyze sound, sense, and structure, as well as to understand diachronic processes such as language change. The chapters in this part thus demonstrate how examining utterances in discourse contributes to areas of linguistics traditionally limited to levels of analysis lower than that of discourse.

The part begins with sound (Couper-Kuhlen’s discussion of intonation) and moves on to different views and levels of meaning (Martin, Schiffrin, Norrick), utterance interpretation (Blakemore), and sentence form (Ward and Birner). It concludes with an historical perspective on discourse (Brinton), as well as two comparative perspectives (Myhill on typology, Biber and Conrad on register variation). Not surprisingly, some of the chapters comfortably cross the borders not only between sentence and

discourse, and between form and function, but also between traditionally conceived boundaries within linguistics itself: semantics and pragmatics (Norrick, Schiffrin), syntax and pragmatics (Ward and Birner), phonology and pragmatics (Couper-Kuhlen), and syntax, semantics, and pragmatics (Martin, Myhill, Brinton).

In general, then, chapters in part I provide an overview of specific linguistic issues that can be addressed through discourse analysis – how these issues (and their study) can not only reveal something about discourse, but also have an impact on the traditional subfields of linguistics. Such interest reflects not just a methodological shift to empirical data, but also a philosophical shift toward a humanistic linguistics in which language, theory, and practice inform and enrich one another.

The interdependence of theory and practice is the theme taken up in the next two parts, part II, “The Linking of Theory and Practice in Discourse Analysis,” and part III, “Discourse: Language, Context, and Interaction.” Our understanding of the term “practice” is slightly different in each of these two parts, roughly divided by whose practices are the focus of attention.

The focus in part II is upon analysts’ practices, that is, the methodology of discourse analysis, and its relationship to theory. Collectively, the chapters address such questions as the following: how do the methodological practices through which we collect, represent, and analyze discourse reflect our theoretical assumptions and constructs? How might the kind of data we analyze not only reflect our theories, but also alter them? What tools should we use to analyze specific problems and issues? Just as it is possible to find interesting questions in any discourse that comes one’s way (Chafe 1994: 12), it also behooves us to make use of any methods and theoretical insights that shed light on the discourse we have undertaken to analyze (cf. Chafe 1994: 18).

In this sense, the chapter by Lakoff sets the tone for the section, as she shows how a variety of theoretical and methodological constructs can be brought to bear on a single social/linguistic action, apologies. The part ends with Edwards’s examination of an issue that must be addressed, tacitly or directly, by every discourse analyst: the development of a transcription system that is both theoretically motivated and methodologically justified. Included in the section are chapters that present retrospective overviews by two of the field’s pioneers (Gumperz, Schegloff), a survey of varying methods and theoretical paradigms found in the analysis of discourse in interaction (Heller), and examples of approaches as varied as Polanyi’s use of formal algorithms to represent discourse structures, Dubois and Sankoff’s use of quantitative methods to analyze discourse, and Stubbs’s examination of computer-based corpus analysis.

Although we do not use the term “practice” in the title of part III, “Language, Context, and Interaction,” our focus here is on the interactive contexts in which (and through which) language is used. As a result, our attention shifts to examine the wide variety of ways that interlocutors draw upon the symbolic resources of language to accomplish the many different tasks of social life, including the presentation of self and other in a variety of institutional and interpersonal capacities.

This part is further divided into two sections. First comes “Political, Social, and Institutional Domains.” Here we find a range of empirical studies and approaches showing how discourse is situated in different realms of social life and how these contextualized uses help to define interlocutors as members of specific discourse communities. The first set of chapters focuses on relatively public discourse: van Dijk on critical discourse analysis, Wodak and Reisigl on racism, Wilson on political