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Preface

This book contains more than 200 best practices related to every phase of a company’s billing and collections activities: creating credit systems, granting credit, creating and delivering invoices, applying cash receipts, managing the collections department, outsourcing collections work, and using a variety of collection techniques. Furthermore, one needs to measure a company’s progress in achieving best practices, so a comprehensive list of billing and collections measurements are included in a separate chapter. Given the large number of best practices presented, the Appendix provides a summary of them for you. If there are any concerns about the meaning of any billing, credit, or collection terms, the Glossary contains a dictionary of relevant terms. In short, this is the go-to source for billing and collections improvements.

This book is designed for people in several parts of a company. The credit staff can use the chapters related to credit policies, procedures, systems, and credit granting techniques, while the accounting staff will find useful those chapters covering invoice creation, invoice delivery, and cash application. In addition, the collections staff has access to several chapters covering collection systems, outsourcing, management, and techniques.

This book is intended to be a buffet table of ideas from which one can sample. There is no clear set of billing and collection best practices recommended for all companies, all the time. Instead, given the wide array of industry-specific problems, one should skim through the book and select only those best practices resulting in the most obvious improvements. The Appendix, which summarizes all of the best practices, is a good place to conduct this review. However, a company’s business plan will likely change over time, so it is worthwhile to refer back to this book occasionally to see what other best practices may have become applicable as a result of those changes.

Finally, one does not install a best practice merely by ordering that it be done. On the contrary, there is a plethora of ways in which a best practices implementation can fail. Read Chapter 1, Success or Failure with Best Practices, to learn what factors will affect a best practices implementation and how you can increase your odds of success.
Each best practice is followed by graphics indicating the cost and implementation duration for each item. A single stack of dollar bills represents an inexpensive best practice, with two or three stacks representing increasing levels of expense. Similarly, one clock represents a minimal implementation interval, with two or three clocks indicating increasingly lengthy periods before a best practice is likely to be completed.

In short, use this book to improve all aspects of your company’s processes that ultimately relate to the successful collection of receivables from customers. This can result in a considerable reduction of a company’s investment in accounts receivable as well as fewer bad debts, while also giving a considerable degree of structure to the credit, billing, and collections functions.

The foundation for this book is the author’s Accounting Best Practices, which is now in its third edition. That book contains approximately 20 billing and collection best practices, all of which can also be found in this book. However, this book provides more than 180 additional best practices, giving the reader a much more in-depth knowledge of how these critical functional areas can be improved. For a general view of best practices covering the entire accounting function, read the author’s Accounting Best Practices, or for a detailed view of inventory issues, try the recently released Inventory Best Practices, which includes almost 200 more best practices.

STEVEN M. BRAGG

Centennial, Colorado
December 2004
This chapter is about implementing best practices.* It begins by describing those situations for which best practices are most likely to be installed successfully. The key components of a successful best practice installation are also noted, as well as how to duplicate best practices throughout an organization. When planning to add a best practice, it is also useful to know the ways in which the implementation can fail, so a lengthy list of reasons for failure is provided. Only by carefully considering all of these issues in advance can one hope to achieve a successful best practice implementation that will result in increased levels of efficiency.

Most Fertile Ground for Best Practices

Before installing any best practice, it is useful to review the existing environment to see if the implementation has a reasonable chance to succeed. The following bullet points note the best environments in which best practices can not only be installed, but also have a fair chance of continuing to succeed:

- *If benchmarking shows a problem.* Some organizations regularly compare their performance levels against those of other companies, especially those with a reputation for having extremely high levels of performance. If the performance levels of these other organizations and the company doing the benchmarking are significantly different, this can serve as a reminder that continuous change

---

is necessary in order to survive. If management sees and heeds this warning, the environment in which best practices will be accepted is greatly improved.

- **If management has a change orientation.** Some managers have a seemingly genetic disposition toward change. If a department has such a person in charge, there will certainly be a drive toward many changes. If anything, this type of person can go too far, implementing too many projects with not enough preparation, resulting in a confused operations group whose newly revised systems may take a considerable amount of time to untangle. The presence of a detail-oriented second-in-command is helpful for preserving order and channeling the energies of such a manager into the most productive directions.

- **If the company is experiencing poor financial results.** If there is a significant loss, or a trend in that direction, this serves as a wake-up call to management, which in turn results in the creation of a multitude of best practices projects. In this case, the situation may even go too far, with so many improvement projects going on at once that there are not enough resources to go around, resulting in the ultimate completion of few, if any, of the best practices.

- **If there is new management.** Most people who are newly installed as managers want to make changes in order to leave their mark on the organization. Although this can involve less effective best practice items such as organizational changes or a new strategic direction, it is possible that a renewed focus on efficiency will result in the implementation of new best practices.

In short, as long as management is willing to change and has a good reason for doing so, then there is fertile ground for the implementation of a multitude of best practices.

### Implementing Best Practices

The implementation of any best practice requires a great deal of careful planning. However, planning is not enough. The implementation process requires several key components in order to ensure a successful conclusion. This section discusses those components.

One of the first implementation steps for all but the simplest best practice improvements is to **study and flowchart the existing system** about to be improved. By doing so, one can ascertain any unusual requirements that are not readily
apparent and that must be included in the planning for the upcoming implementation. Although some reengineering efforts do not spend much time on this task, on the grounds that the entire system is about to be replaced, the same issue still applies; there are usually special requirements, unique to any company that must be addressed in a new system. Accordingly, nearly all implementation projects must include this critical step.

Another issue is the cost-benefit analysis. This is a compilation of all of the costs required to both install and maintain a best practice, which is offset against the benefits of doing so. These costs must include project team payroll and related expenses, outside services, programming costs, training, travel, and capital expenditures. This step is worth a great deal of attention, for a wise manager will not undertake a new project—no matter how cutting edge and high-profile it may be—if a sound analysis is not in place that clearly shows the benefit of moving forward with the project.

Yet another implementation issue is the use of new technology. Although there may be new devices or software on the market that can clearly improve the efficiency of a company’s operations, and perhaps even make a demonstrative impact on a company’s competitive situation, it still may be more prudent to wait until the technology has been tested in the marketplace for a short time before proceeding with an implementation. This is a particular problem if only one supplier offers the technology, especially if that supplier is a small one or has inadequate funding, with the attendant risk of going out of business. In most cases, the prudent manager will elect to use technology that has proven itself in the marketplace, rather than using the most cutting-edge applications.

Of great importance to most best practice implementations is system testing. Any new application, unless it is astoundingly simple, carries with it the risk of failure. This risk must be tested repeatedly to ensure that it will not occur under actual use. The type of testing can take a variety of forms. One is volume testing, to ensure that a large number of employees using the system at the same time will not result in failure. Another is feature testing, in which sample transactions that test the boundaries of the possible information to be used are run through the system. Yet another possibility is recovery testing—bringing down a computer system suddenly to see how easy it is to restart the system. All of these approaches, or others, depending on the type of best practice, should be completed before unleashing a new application on employees.

One of the last implementation steps before firing up a new best practice is to provide training to employees on how to run the new system. This must be done
as late as possible, because employee retention of this information will dwindle rapidly if it is not reinforced by actual practice. In addition, this training should be hands-on whenever possible, because employees retain the most information when training is conducted in this manner. It is important to identify in advance all possible users of a new system for training, because a few untrained employees can result in the failure of a new best practice.

A key element of any training class is procedures. These must be completed, reviewed, and be made available for employee use not only at the time of training, but also at all times thereafter, which requires a good manager to oversee the procedure creation and distribution phases. Procedure writing is a special skill that may require the hiring of technical writers, interviewers, and systems analysts to ensure that procedures are properly crafted. The input of users into the accuracy of all procedures is also an integral step in this process.

Even after the new system has been installed, it is necessary to conduct a postimplementation review. This analysis determines if the cost savings or efficiency improvements are in the expected range, what problems arose during the implementation that should be avoided during future projects, and what issues are still unresolved from the current implementation. This last point is particularly important, for many managers do not follow through completely on all stray implementation issues, which inevitably arise after a new system is put in place. Only by carefully listing these issues and working through them will the employees using the new system be completely satisfied with how a best practice has been installed.

An issue that arises during all phases of a project implementation is communications. Because a wide range of activities may be going on, many of them dependent on each other, it is important that the status of all project steps be continually communicated to the entire project team, as well as all affected employees. By doing so, a project manager can avoid such gaffes as having one task proceed without knowing that, as a result of changes elsewhere in the project, the entire task has been rendered unnecessary. These communications should not just be limited to project plan updates, but should also include all meeting minutes in which changes are decided on, documented, and approved by team leaders. By paying attention to this important item at every step of an implementation, the entire process will be completed much more smoothly.

As described in this section, a successful best practice implementation nearly always includes a review of the current system, a cost-benefit analysis, responsible use of new technology, system testing, training, and a postimplementation review, with a generous dash of communications at every step.
How to Use Best Practices: Best Practice Duplication

It can be a particularly difficult challenge to duplicate a successful best practice when opening a new company facility, especially if expansion is contemplated in many locations over a short time period. The difficulty with best practice duplication is that employees in the new locations are typically given a brief overview of a best practice and told to “go do it.” Under this scenario, they have only a sketchy idea of what they are supposed to do, and so create a process that varies in some key details from the baseline situation. To make matters worse, managers at the new location may feel that they can create a better best practice from the start, and so create something that differs in key respects from the baseline. For both reasons, the incidence of best practice duplication failure is high.

To avoid these problems, a company should first be certain that it has accumulated all possible knowledge about a functioning best practice—the forms, policies, procedures, equipment, and special knowledge required to make it work properly—and then transfer this information into a concise document that can be shared with new locations. Second, a roving team of expert users must be commissioned to visit all new company locations and personally install the new systems, thereby ensuring that the proper level of experience with a best practice is brought to bear on a duplication activity. Finally, a company should transfer the practitioners of best practices to new locations on a semipermanent basis to ensure that the necessary knowledge required to make a best practice effective over the long term remains on-site. By taking these steps, a company can increase its odds of spreading best practices throughout all of its locations.

A special issue is the tendency of a new company location to attempt to enhance a copied best practice at the earliest opportunity. This tendency frequently arises from the belief that one can always improve on something that was created elsewhere. However, these changes may negatively impact other parts of the company’s systems, resulting in an overall reduction in performance. Consequently, it is better to insist that new locations duplicate a best practice in all respects and use it to match the performance levels of the baseline location before they are allowed to make any changes to it. By doing so, the new location must take the time to fully utilize the best practice and learn its intricacies before modifying it.
Why Best Practices Fail

There is a lengthy list of reasons why a best practice installation may not succeed, as noted in the following bullet points. The various reasons for failure can be grouped into a relatively small cluster of primary reasons. The first is the lack of planning, which can include inadequate budgeting for time, money, or personnel. Another is the lack of cooperation by other entities, such as the programming staff or other departments that will be affected by any changes. The final, and most important, problem is that little or no effort is made to prepare the organization for change. This last item tends to build up over time as more and more best practices are implemented, eventually resulting in the total resistance by the organization to any further change. At its root, this problem involves a fundamental lack of communication, especially to those people who are most affected by change. When a single implementation is completed without informing all employees of the change, this may be tolerated, but a continuous stream of implementations will encourage a revolt. In alphabetical order, the various causes of failure are as follows:

- **Alterations to packaged software.** A common cause of failure is that a best practice requires changes to a software package provided by a software supplier; after the changes are made, the company finds that the newest release of the software contains features that it must have and so it updates the software, wiping out the programming changes that were made to accommodate the best practice. This problem can also arise even if there is only a custom interface between the packaged software and some other application needed for a best practice, because a software upgrade may alter the data accessed through the interface. Thus alterations to packaged software are doomed to failure unless there is absolutely no way that the company will ever update the software package.

- **Custom programming.** A major cause of implementation failure is that the programming required to make it a reality either does not have the requested specifications, costs more than expected, arrives too late, is unreliable, or all of the above! Because many best practices are closely linked to the latest advances in technology, this is an increasingly common cause of failure. To keep from being a victim of programming problems, one should never attempt to implement the most “bleeding-edge” technology, because it is the most subject to failure. Instead, wait for some other company to work out all of the bugs and make it a reliable concept, and then proceed with the implementation.
Also, it is useful to interview other people who have gone through a complete installation to see what tips they can give that will result in a smoother implementation. Finally, one should always interview any other employees who have had programming work done for them by the in-house staff. If the results of these previous efforts were not acceptable, it may be better to look outside the company for more competent programming assistance.

- **Inadequate preparation of the organization.** Communication is the key to a successful implementation. Alternately, no communication keeps an organization from understanding what is happening; this increases the rumors about a project, builds resistance to it, and reduces the level of cooperation that people are likely to give it. Avoiding this issue requires a considerable amount of upfront communication about the intents and likely impact of any project, with that communication targeted not just at the affected managers, but also at all affected employees, and to some extent even the corporation or department as a whole.

- **Intransigent personnel.** A major cause of failure is the employee who either refuses to use a best practice or who actively tries to sabotage it. This type of person may have a vested interest in using the old system, does not like change in general, or has a personality clash with someone on the implementation team. In any of these cases, the person must be won over through good communication (especially if the employee is in a controlling position) or removed to a position that has no impact on the project. If neither of these actions is successful, the project will almost certainly fail.

- **Lack of control points.** One of the best ways to maintain control over any project is to set up regular review meetings, as well as additional meetings to review the situation when preset milestone targets are reached. These meetings are designed to see how a project is progressing, to discuss any problems that have occurred or are anticipated, and to determine how current or potential problems can best be avoided. Without the benefit of these regular meetings, it is much more likely that unexpected problems will arise, or that existing ones will be exacerbated.

- **Lack of funding.** A project can be cancelled either because it has a significant cost overrun exceeding the original funding request or because it was initiated without any funding request in the first place. Either approach results in failure. Besides the obvious platitude of “don’t go over budget,” the best way to avoid this problem is to build a cushion into the original funding request that should see the project through, barring any unusually large extra expenditures.
Lack of planning. A critical aspect of any project is the planning that goes into it. If there is no plan, there is no way to determine the cost, number of employees, or time requirements, nor is there any formal review of the inherent project risks. Without this formal planning process, a project is likely to hit a snag or be stopped cold at some point before its timely completion. On the contrary, using proper planning results in a smooth implementation process that builds a good reputation for the project manager and thereby leads to more funding for additional projects.

Lack of postimplementation review. Although it is not a criterion for the successful implementation of any single project, a missing postimplementation review can cause the failure of later projects. For example, if such a review reveals that a project was completed despite the inadequate project planning skills of a specific manager, it might be best to use a different person in the future for new projects, thereby increasing his or her chances of success.

Lack of success in earlier efforts. If a manager builds a reputation for not successfully completing best practices projects, it becomes increasingly difficult to complete new ones. The problem is that no one believes a new effort will succeed, and so there is little commitment to doing it. Also, upper management is much less willing to allocate funds to a manager who has not developed a proven track record for successful implementations. The best way out of this jam is to assign a different manager to an implementation project, one with a proven track record of success.

Lack of testing. A major problem for the implementation of especially large and complex projects, especially those involving programming, is that they are rushed into production without a thorough testing process to discover and correct all bugs that might interfere with or freeze the orderly conduct of work in the areas they are designed to improve. There is nothing more dangerous than to install a wonderful new system in a critical area of the company, only to see that critical function fail completely because of a problem that could have been discovered in a proper testing program. It is always worthwhile to build some extra time into a project budget for an adequate amount of testing.

Lack of top management support. If a project requires a large amount of funding or the cooperation of multiple departments, it is critical to have the complete support of the top management team. If not, any required funding may not be allocated, and there is also a strong possibility that any objecting departments will be able to sidetrack the project easily. This is an especially common problem when the project sponsor has no clear project sponsor at all;
without a senior-level manager to drive it, a project will sputter along and eventually fade away without coming anywhere near completion.

- **Relying on other departments.** As soon as another department’s cooperation becomes a necessary component of a best practice installation, the chances of success drop markedly. The odds become even smaller if multiple departments are involved. The main reason is the involvement of an extra manager, who may not have as much commitment to making the implementation a success. In addition, the staff of the other department may influence their manager not to help out, and there may also be a problem with the other department not having a sufficient amount of funding to complete its share of the work. For example, an accounting department can benefit greatly if the sales department checks with the credit staff before attempting to make sales to high-risk customers. However, the sales staff may be driven more by the prospect of a large commission, and so will not cooperate in setting an effective credit policy.

- **Too many changes in a short time.** An organization will rebel against too much change if it is clustered into a short time frame because change is unsettling, especially when it involves a large part of people’s job descriptions, so that nearly everything they do is altered. This can result in direct employee resistance to further change, sabotaging new projects, a work slowdown, or (quite likely) the departure of the most disgruntled workers. This problem is best solved by planning for lapses between implementation projects to let the employees settle down. The best way to accomplish this lag between changes without really slowing down the overall schedule of implementation is to shift projects around within the department, so that no functional area is on the receiving end of two consecutive projects.

The primary reason for listing all of these causes of failure is not to discourage the reader from ever attempting a best practice installation. On the contrary, this information allows one to prepare for and avoid all roadblocks on the path to ultimate implementation success.

**Summary**

This chapter has given an overview of the situations in which best practices implementations are most likely to succeed, what factors are most important to the success or failure of an implementation, and how to successfully create and
follow through on an implementation project. By following the recommendations made—not only those regarding how to implement, but also those regarding what not to do—a manager will have a much higher chance of success. With this information in hand, one can now confidently peruse the remaining chapters, which are full of billing and collections best practices. The reader will be able to select those practices having the best chance of a successful implementation, based on the specific circumstances pertaining to each manager, such as the funding and time available, as well as any obstacles, such as entrenched employees or a corporate intransigence pertaining to new projects.
This chapter contains 22 best practices related to the organization of the credit function. The first five best practices address the creation and modification of a credit policy, as well as training employees in its use. The next four best practices describe three general types of credit scoring models and the use of credit reports, and the following eight cover the organization of a credit file and credit application, as well as when a credit application should be used. The final five best practices address communications with customers, credit-level review systems, late fee standardization, and the use of automation to give credit references. These best practices are summarized in Exhibit 2.1.

The best practices presented here are intended to bring a high level of organization to the credit granting process. Thus, the use of a credit policy, credit scoring model, customer filing system, and a standardized approach to the use of credit applications are highly recommended. Furthermore, the author has found that contacting customers to explain credit terms and payment procedures is a highly effective approach to keeping customer payment behavior in line with a company’s credit policy.

2.1 Create a Credit Policy

One of the chief causes of confusion not only within the credit department but also between the credit and sales departments is the lack of consistency in dealing with customer credit issues. This includes who is responsible for credit tasks, what logical structure is used to evaluate and assign credit, what terms of sale are
used, and what milestones are established for the collection process. Without consistent application of these items, customers never know what credit levels they are likely to be assigned, collection activities tend to jolt from one step to the next in no predetermined order, and no one knows who is responsible for what activities.

Establishment of a reasonably detailed credit policy goes a long way toward resolving these issues. A well-written credit policy should clearly state the mission and goals of the credit department, exactly which positions are responsible for the most critical credit and collection tasks, what formula shall be used for assigning credit levels, and what steps shall be followed in the collection process.

Exhibit 2.1 Summary of Credit Policies and Procedures Best Practices

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Create a credit policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Modify the credit policy based on product margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Modify the credit policy based on changing economic conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Modify the credit policy based on potential product obsolescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Train the credit staff about credit procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Create a credit scoring model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Use a third-party credit scoring model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Create a credit decision table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Arrange for automatic notification of credit rating changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>Create a customer credit file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>Include a requirement for multiple contacts in the credit application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>Modify the terms of the credit application in the company’s favor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>Do not accept any order unless a credit application is completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>Require a new credit application if customers have not ordered in some time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>Require a new credit application if credit limits are exceeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>Set a short time limit for the duration of credit reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>Enter the last credit review date in the computer system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>Call new customers and explain credit terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>Issue a payment procedure to customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Create and periodically review a report showing credit levels exceeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>Uniformly administer late fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>Install an automated credit reference system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(although a true collections maestro might balk at the thought of using a boringly consistent methodology!). Further comments are as follows:

- **Mission.** The mission statement should outline the general concept of how the credit department does business: does it provide a loose credit policy to maximize sales, or work toward high-quality receivables (implying reduced sales), or manage credit at some point in between? A loose credit policy might result in this mission statement: “The credit department shall offer credit to all customers except those where the risk of loss is probable.”

- **Goals.** This can be specific, describing the exact performance measurements against which the credit staff will be judged. For example, “The department goals are to operate with no more than one collections person per 1,000 customers, while attaining a bad debt percentage no higher than 2% of sales, and annual days sales outstanding of no higher than 42 days.”

- **Responsibilities.** This is perhaps the most critical part of the policy, based on the number of quarrels it can avert. It should firmly state who has final authority over the granting of credit and the assignment of credit hold status. This is normally the credit manager, but the policy can also state the order volume level at which someone else, such as the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or Treasurer, can be called on to render final judgment.

- **Credit-level assignment.** This section may be of extreme interest to the sales staff, the size of whose sales (and commissions) are based on it. The policy should at least state the sources of information to be used in the calculation of a credit limit, such as credit reports or financial statements, and can also include the minimum credit level automatically extended to all customers, as well as the criteria used to grant larger limits.

- **Collections methodology.** The policy can itemize what collection steps shall be followed, such as initial calls, customer visits, e-mails, notification of the sales staff, credit holds, and forwarding to a collection agency. This section can be written in too much detail, itemizing exactly what steps are to be taken after a certain number of days. This limitation can constrain an active collections staff from taking unique steps to achieve a collection, so a certain degree of vagueness is acceptable here.

- **Terms of sale.** If there are few product lines in a single industry, it is useful to clearly state a standard payment term, such as a 1 percent discount if paid in 10 days; otherwise full payment is expected in 30 days. An override policy can be included, noting a sign-off by the controller or CFO. By doing so, the sales
staff will be less inclined to attempt to gain better terms on behalf of customers. However, where multiple industries are served with different customary credit terms, it may be too complicated to include this verbiage in the credit policy.

### 2.2 Modify the Credit Policy Based on Product Margins

Company management can cause significant losses if it attempts to loosen the corporate credit policy without a good knowledge of the margins it earns on its products. For example, if it earns only a 10% profit on a product that sells for $10 and extends credit for one unit on that product to a customer who defaults, it has just incurred a loss of $9 that will require the sale of nine more units to offset the loss. However, if the same product had a profit of 50%, it would only require the sale of one more unit to offset the loss on a bad debt. Thus, loosening or tightening the credit policy can have a dramatic impact on profits when product margins are low.

The obvious solution is to review product margins with management on a regular basis, whenever management wants to alter the credit policy, or when new products are about to be released. The concept can be taken a step further by altering the credit policy for each product family, so the credit limit is more closely aligned with product profit levels. This approach allows one to fine-tune a credit policy to maximize profits. At its most advanced level, one can consider the credit policy in advance for products that are still in the design stage. If a company is using target costing to more precisely define product costs during the design stage, this approach can be effective for linking credit policy with the product rollout to achieve maximum profitability upon product release.

### 2.3 Modify the Credit Policy Based on Changing Economic Conditions

When economic conditions within an industry worsen, a company whose credit policy has not changed from a more expansive period will likely find itself granting more credit than it should, resulting in more bad debts. Similarly, a restrictive