Handbook of Developmental Science, Behavior, and Genetics
Handbook of Developmental Science, Behavior, and Genetics

Edited by
Kathryn E. Hood, Carolyn Tucker Halpern,
Gary Greenberg, and Richard M. Lerner
Contents

Contributors ix
Foreword: Gilbert Gottlieb and the Developmental Point of View xi
   Evelyn Fox Keller
Preface and Acknowledgments xv

Part I: Introduction 1
   Kathryn E. Hood, Carolyn Tucker Halpern, Gary Greenberg, and Richard M. Lerner
2 Normally Occurring Environmental and Behavioral Influences on Gene Activity: From Central Dogma to Probabilistic Epigenesis 13
   Gilbert Gottlieb

Part II: Theoretical Foundations for the Developmental Study of Behavior and Genetics 39
3 Historical and Philosophical Perspectives on Behavioral Genetics and Developmental Science 41
   James Tabery and Paul E. Griffiths
4 Development and Evolution Revisited 61
   Mae Wan Ho
5 Probabilistic Epigenesis and Modern Behavioral and Neural Genetics 110
   Douglas Wahlsten
6 The Roles of Environment, Experience, and Learning in Behavioral Development 123
   George F. Michel
7 Contemporary Ideas in Physics and Biology in Gottlieb’s Psychology 166
   Ty Partridge and Gary Greenberg
### Part III: Empirical Studies of Behavioral Development and Genetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Behavioral Development during the Mother-Young Interaction in Placental Mammals: The Development of Behavior in the Relationship with the Mother</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jay S. Rosenblatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Amniotic Fluid as an Extended Milieu Intérieur</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scott R. Robinson and Valerie Méndez-Gallardo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Developmental Effects of Selective Breeding for an Infant Trait</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susan A. Brunelli, Betty Zimmerberg, and Myron A. Hofer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Emergence and Constraint in Novel Behavioral Adaptations</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kathryn E. Hood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nonhuman Primate Research Contributions to Understanding Genetic and Environmental Influences on Phenotypic Outcomes across Development</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allyson J. Bennett and Peter J. Pierre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Interactive Contributions of Genes and Early Experience to Behavioral Development: Sensitive Periods and Lateralized Brain and Behavior</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesley J. Rogers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Trans-Generational Epigenetic Inheritance</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lawrence V. Harper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>The Significance of Non-Replication of Gene-Phenotype Associations</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carolyn Tucker Halper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Canalization and Malleability Reconsidered: The Developmental Basis of Phenotypic Stability and Variability</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Lickliter and Christopher Harshaw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part IV: Applications to Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Gene-Parenting Interplay in the Development of Infant Emotionality</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cathi B. Propper, Ginger A. Moore, and W. Roger Mills-Koonce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Genetic Research in Psychiatry and Psychology: A Critical Overview</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jay Joseph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contents

19  On the Limits of Standard Quantitative Genetic Modeling of Inter-Individual Variation: Extensions, Ergodic Conditions and a New Genetic Factor Model of Intra-Individual Variation 626
   Peter C. M. Molenaar

20  Songs My Mother Taught Me: Gene-Environment Interactions, Brain Development and the Auditory System: Thoughts on Non-Kin Rejection 649
   Elaine L. Bearer

21  Applications of Developmental Systems Theory to Benefit Human Development: On the Contributions of Gilbert Gottlieb to Individuals, Families, and Communities 663
   Richard M. Lerner, Michelle J. Boyd, Megan K. Kiely, Christopher M. Napolitano and Kristina L. Schmid

Author Index 685

Subject Index 719
Contributors

Elaine L. Bearer, University of New Mexico
Allyson Bennett, Wake Forest University
Michelle J. Boyd, Tufts University
Susan A. Brunelli, Columbia University Medical Center
Gary Greenberg, Wichita State University
Paul E. Griffiths, University of Sydney
Carolyn Tucker Halpern, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Lawrence V. Harper, University of California, Davis
Christopher Harshaw, Florida International University
Mae Wan Ho, Institute of Science in Society
Myron A. Hofer, Columbia University Medical Center
Kathryn E. Hood, The Pennsylvania State University
Jay Joseph, Licensed Psychologist
Evelyn Fox Keller, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Megan K. Kiely, Tufts University
Richard M. Lerner, Tufts University
Robert Lickliter, Florida International University
Valeria Méndez-Gallardo, University of Iowa
George F. Michel, University of North Carolina at Greensboro
W. Roger Mills-Koonce, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Peter C. M. Molenaar, The Pennsylvania State University
Ginger A. Moore, The Pennsylvania State University
Christopher M. Napolitano, Tufts University
Ty Partridge, Wayne State University
Peter J. Pierre, Wake Forest University
Cathi B. Propper, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Scott R. Robinson, University of Iowa
Lesley J. Rogers, University of New England, Armidale
Contributors

Jay S. Rosenblatt, Institute of Animal Behavior, Rutgers
Kristina L. Schmid, Tufts University
James Tabery, University of Utah
Douglas Wahlsten, University of North Carolina at Greensboro
Betty Zimmerberg, Williams College
Foreword: Gilbert Gottlieb and the Developmental Point of View

Evelyn Fox Keller

Gilbert Gottlieb is widely known for his life-long struggle against the dichotomies between nature and nurture, and more specifically, between innate and acquired, that so hobble our thinking about biological and psychological development. Development, as he so clearly recognized, is an immensely complex process that depends on ongoing interactions between whatever makes up the organism at any given time and its environment; and it simply cannot be understood in terms of separate (or separable) forces, elements, or factors. Decades of his own research on the role of experience in the emergence of animal behavior taught him just how dire was the need for a different explanatory model, and indeed, much of his theoretical work was devoted to the articulation of such an alternative – of an explanatory framework that begins with what he liked to call the “developmental point of view.”

A developmental point of view requires a “relational” (“coactive” and “bidirectional”) view of causality; an appreciation of the continuity between prenatal and postnatal, innate and acquired; the recognition that epigenesis is ongoing, multifaceted, not predetermined but highly dependent on experience (or, to use the term that Gottlieb preferred for describing this process, “probabilistic”), and top-down as well as bottom up. Finally, a developmental point of view requires us to shift our focus from population statistics to the study of individual trajectories for it is only through the study of such trajectories that one can begin to understand the dynamics of developmental change.

Gottlieb devoted his entire career to fleshing out this perspective, and there is no denying his influence. He leaves behind an impressive body of both experimental results and conceptual proposals, and perhaps most important, a host of students who were deeply inspired by his example, and who, in their own labs, continue in his tradition and carry on his mission. And yet, notwithstanding the magnitude of his influence, shortly before his death, he confessed to a former student that “getting across the developmental point of view has been the largest failure of my
career” (Miller, 2007, p. 777). It is impossible for anyone who has struggled with these issues not to sympathize, or to fail to appreciate the magnitude of the obstacles facing any attempt to reconfigure the terms of our analyses.

As we know, Gottlieb was hardly the first to undertake this challenge, nor was he alone even in his own time. As he freely acknowledged, his debt to those who preceded him (especially, to Zing-Yang Kuo: (1898–1970), T. C. Schneirla (1902–1968), and Daniel S. Lehrman (1919–1972)) was immense; indeed, it was on their work that his own went on to build. He was equally appreciative of the contributions of like-minded contemporaries (e.g., Patrick Bateson, Susan Oyama, Richard M. Lerner), as he was of the contributions of a younger generation of colleagues. And I suspect that all of these authors have shared Gottlieb’s frustration, for all of them have confronted the same obstacles, inevitably giving rise to the question of why the difficulties should be quite so intractable. Daniel Lehrman (1970, pp. 18–19) suggested we look to semantic problems for an understanding:

I would go further. It is not just that we use the same words in different ways, that the language of behavioral genetics is hopelessly polysemic, but also that we seem to be trapped by the absence of adequate alternatives. Indeed, the lack of a vocabulary capable of doing justice to the developmental point of view constituted a formidable obstacle for Gottlieb, and his frequent coining of new terms suggests that he was well aware of the problem. The difficulty (as he himself clearly saw) is that introducing a new vocabulary is a far from simple task, and it requires a great deal more than the efforts of a few individuals. Language changes only when the felt need for a new vocabulary becomes truly widespread.

I am persuaded, however, that winds of change are in the air. New appreciation of many of Gottlieb’s themes – of the agency of organisms in constructing their environments (see, e.g., Odling-Smee et al., 2003), of the plasticity of development (West-Eberhard, 2003), of the role of phenotypic plasticity in the genesis of evolutionary novelty (Kirschner & Gerhart, 2005), of the deeply contextual character of biological information -- has begun to penetrate the main corridors of contemporary biology. These themes not only both echo and support many of Gottlieb’s own arguments, but also extend the “developmental point of view” into
new domains. Signs of change are also evident in studies of the most primitive molecular levels of life. Recent findings in genomics have brought fundamental new challenges to the very concept of a particulate gene, leading a number of molecular geneticists (and others) to call for a more dynamic and relational discourse of genetics for the 21st century (see, e.g., Fox Keller & Harel, 2007; Kapranov et al., 2007; Pearson, 2006; Silver, 2007). I only wish that Gottlieb could have lived to see the creation of the more accommodating home for his work that will, I believe, come with the realization of these signs of change.
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The Handbook of Developmental Science, Behavior, and Genetics commemorates the historically important and profound contributions made by Gilbert Gottlieb across a scholarly career spanning more than four decades. Gottlieb was preparing this handbook when his untimely death in 2006 brought his work on this project to a halt. However, with the permission and support of the Gottlieb Family, the editors of this work have decided to complete Gottlieb’s “last book,” which was designed to bring together in one place cutting-edge theory, research, and methodology affording a modern scientific understanding of the role of genes within the integrated and multi-level (or “fused”) developmental system, that is, the system constituted by the levels of organization – ranging from the inner biological (e.g., genetic, hormonal, or neuronal) through the designed and natural physical ecological and historical – comprising the ecology of organism development.

Gottlieb’s career was dedicated to providing rigorous experimental evidence to bear on such an integrative approach to understanding the dynamics of organism and context relations that provides the fundamental process of development. His work, – and those of other colleagues in comparative and developmental science – for instance, Z. Y. Kuo, T. C. Schneirla, Ethel Tobach, Jay Rosenblatt, Daniel Lehrman, Howard Moltz, and George Michel – was the major scientific basis for rejecting the reductionism and counterfactual, “split” conceptions (of variables purportedly linked alone to nature- or to nurture-related processes) used in other approaches to understanding the links among genes, behavior, and development, for example, as found in behavioral genetics (or in other reductionist accounts of the role of biology in development, for example, sociobiology or evolutionary psychology).

Accordingly, the scholarship that Gottlieb envisioned having in this handbook – and the scholarship we as editors who have tried to implement his vision hope we have presented – offers readers the cutting-edge of theory and research from developmental-systems-predicated scholarship in biological, comparative, and developmental science. Together, this work underscores the usefulness of the synthetic, developmental systems approach to understanding the mutually influential relations among genes, behavior, and context that propel the development of organisms across their life spans.

Our aspiration is that the scholarship that we present in this Handbook will constitute a watershed reference work documenting the current ways in which psychological, biological, comparative, and developmental science are framed and,
as well, advance a developmental systems approach to understanding the dynamics of mutually influential organism-environment relations. Represented as organism ↔ context relations, these relations constitute the basic unit of analysis in comparative and developmental science. In addition, from the theoretical and empirical approaches championed by Gottlieb, these organism ↔ context relations constitute the basis of change across the life spans of all organisms. We owe to Gilbert Gottlieb the clarity of theoretical vision and the standard for rigorous empirical work that has enabled this dynamic, developmental perspective to frame the cutting edge of contemporary scientific inquiry about the role of variables from all levels of organization, from genes through history, in constituting the fundamental, relational process involved in the development of all organisms across their respective life spans.

There are numerous other people to whom we owe enormous thanks for their contributions to this Handbook. Clearly, we are deeply grateful to the colleagues who contributed to this work, both for their superb scholarly contributions and for their commitment to working collaboratively to honor the work and memory of Gilbert Gottlieb. Without the excellent scholarship they contributed to this Handbook we could not honor the memory of Gilbert Gottlieb – as scientist, colleague, and friend – as thoughtfully, thoroughly, and richly as we are now able to do.

We also thank the two superb managing editors at the Institute for Applied Research in Youth Development – Leslie Dickinson and Jarrett Lerner – for their editorial work. Their commitment to quality and productivity, and their resilience in the face of the challenges of manuscript production, are greatly admired and deeply appreciated. Kathryn E. Hood is pleased to acknowledge the generous hospitality of the Center for Developmental Science at Chapel Hill, which long has welcomed visiting scholars such as Gilbert Gottlieb. Carolyn Halpern is grateful to her co-editors for their scholarship and insights, and to Gilbert Gottlieb for his mentorship and collaboration. Gary Greenberg is grateful to his wife Patricia Greenberg for her unstinting and continued support and encouragement and for understanding his long hours at the computer. Richard M. Lerner is grateful to the John Templeton Foundation, the National 4-H Council, the Philip Morris Smoking Prevention Department, and the Thrive Foundation for Youth for supporting his work during the development of this project.

Finally, we owe our deepest and most enduring debt to Gilbert Gottlieb, to whom we most obviously wish to dedicate this Handbook. Gilbert Gottlieb was one of the pillars of 20th century comparative psychology. His intellect, generosity, and kindness are warmly remembered and sorely missed.

Kathryn E. Hood
Carolyn Halpern
Gary Greenberg
Richard M. Lerner
Part I

Introduction
Developmental Systems, Nature-Nurture, and the Role of Genes in Behavior and Development

On the legacy of Gilbert Gottlieb

Kathryn E. Hood, Carolyn Tucker Halpern, Gary Greenberg and Richard M. Lerner

The histories of both developmental and comparative science during the 20th century attest unequivocally to the fact that the theory and research of Gilbert Gottlieb – along with the work of such eminent colleagues as T. C. Schneirla (1956, 1957), Zing-Yang Kuo (1967; Greenberg & Partridge, 2000), Jay Rosenblatt (e.g., this volume), Ethel Tobach (1971, 1981), Daniel Lehrman (1953, 1970), Howard Moltz (1965), and George Michel (e.g., this volume) – may be seen as the most creative, integrative, generative, and important scholarship in the field (cf. Gariépy, 1995). For more than a third of a century Gilbert Gottlieb (e.g., 1970, 1997; Gottlieb, Wahlsten, & Lickliter, 2006) provided an insightful theoretical frame, and an ingenious empirical voice, to the view that:

an understanding of heredity and individual development will allow not only a clear picture of how an adult animal is formed but that such an understanding is indispensable for an appreciation of the processes of evolution as well [and that] the persistence of the nature-nurture dichotomy reflects an inadequate understanding of the relations among heredity, development, and evolution, or, more specifically, the relationship of genetics to embryology. (Gottlieb, 1992, p. 137)
Gottlieb attempted to heal the Cartesian nature-nurture split between biological and social science (Overton, 2006) by developing an ingenious – and what would come to be seen as the cutting-edge – theoretical conception of the dynamic and mutually influential relations, or “coactions,” among the levels of organization comprising the developmental system, that is, levels ranging from the genetic through the sociocultural and historical. In devising a developmental systems theoretical perspective about the sources of development, and bringing rigorous comparative developmental data to bear on the integrative concepts involved in his model of mutually influential, organism ↔ context relations, Gottlieb’s theory and research (e.g., Gottlieb, 1991, 1992, 1997, 1998, 2004; Gottlieb et al., 2006) became the exemplar in the last decades of the 20th century and into the first portion of the initial decade of the 21st century of the postmodern, relational metatheory of developmental science (Overton, 1998, 2006).

Gottlieb presents an integrative, developmental systems theory of evolution, ontogenetic development, and – ultimately – causality. Gottlieb argued that “The cause of development – what makes development happen – is the relationship of the components, not the components themselves. Genes in themselves cannot cause development any more than stimulation in itself can cause development” (Gottlieb, 1997, p. 91). Similarly, he noted that “Because of the emergent nature of epigenetic development, another important feature of developmental systems is that causality is often not ‘linear’ or straightforward” (Gottlieb, 1997, p. 96).

Gottlieb offered, then, a probabilistic conception of epigenesis, one that constitutes a compelling alternative to views of development that rest on what he convincingly argued was a counterfactual, split, and reductionist nature-nurture conception (see Overton, 2006). His theory, and the elegant data he generated in support of it, integrate dynamically the developmental character of the links among genes, behavior, and the multiple levels of the extra-organism context – the social and physical ecology – of an individual’s development (see too Bronfenbrenner, 1979, 2005; Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006; Ford & Lerner, 1992; Lerner, 2002). In sum, Gottlieb’s work has influenced several generations of comparative and developmental scientists to eschew simplistic, conceptually reductionist, and split (i.e., nature as separate from nurture) conceptions of developmental process and to think, instead, systemically and, within the context of rigorous experimental and/or longitudinal studies, to attend to the dynamics of mutually influential organism ↔ context relations. His work has had and continues to have a profound impact on theory and research in diverse domains of science pertinent to the development of organisms.

Gottlieb’s career was dedicated to providing rigorous experimental evidence to bear on this integrative approach to understanding these dynamics of organism and context relations. His work constitutes a major scientific basis for rejecting the reductionism and counterfactual approach to understanding the links among genes, behavior, and development, for example, as found in behavioral genetics, sociobiology or evolutionary psychology, and other reductionist approaches.
Gottlieb was a preeminent developmental scientist and theoretician who, throughout his career, battled against scientific reductionism and advocated an open, holistic, multilevel systems approach for understanding development. His developmental systems theory grew from decades of his research, which covered the range of emerging and continuing issues in understanding the dynamic fusion of biology and ecology that constitutes the fundamental feature of the developmental process (e.g., Gottlieb, 1997, 1998). In particular, he challenged the deterministic concept of an innate instinct, and offered instead his generative conception of probabilistic epigenesis as a basis for shaping behavioral development as well as evolutionary change.

Gottlieb’s contention is that development proceeds in concert with influences from all levels of the organism and the context. “A probabilistic view of epigenesis holds that the sequence and outcomes of development are probabilistically determined by the critical operation of various endogenous and exogenous stimulative events” (Gottlieb, 2004, p. 94). The bidirectional and coactional processes occurring within and across levels of a developmental system were succinctly captured in his figurative systems framework (Gottlieb, 1992), shown in Figure 1.1.

In addition to his own empirical research, Gottlieb avidly searched across disciplines for observations and research findings that exemplified his concepts, that is, the co-actions in the model depicted in Figure 1.1.

**Figure 1.1.** Gilbert Gottlieb’s developmental systems theory: A developmental-psychobiological framework for understanding the character and evolution of individual development. *Source:* Gottlieb 1992.

The Goals of the *Handbook*

The *Handbook of Developmental Science, Behavior, and Genetics* commemorates the historically important and profound contributions made by Gilbert Gottlieb across a scholarly career spanning more than four decades. Gottlieb was preparing this
Handbook when his untimely death in 2006 brought his work on this project to a halt. However, with the permission and support of the Gottlieb family, the editors of this work have decided to complete Gottlieb’s “last book,” which was designed to bring together in one place the cutting-edge theory, research, and methodology that provide the modern scientific understanding of the integration of levels of organization in the developmental system – ranging from genes through the most macro levels of the ecology of development. The dynamics of this integration constitute the fundamental, relational process of development.

Accordingly, the scholarship that Gottlieb arranged to have included in this Handbook will present to biological, comparative, and developmental scientists – both established and in training – the cutting-edge of contemporary theory and research underscoring the usefulness of the synthetic, developmental systems theory approach to understanding the mutually influential relations among genes, behavior, and context that propel the development of organisms across their life spans.

In sum, we hope that this Handbook will be a watershed reference for documenting the current status of comparative and developmental science and for providing the foundation from which future scientific progress will thrive. The organization and chapters of the Handbook actualize its contribution. It is useful, therefore, to explain how the structure and content of the Handbook instantiate and extend Gottlieb’s scholarship and vision.

The Plan of this Handbook

We are grateful that Evelyn Fox Keller provides a foreword to this Handbook, one that so well frames its contribution to developmental and comparative science. Keller notes the importance for science of the innovative explanatory model devised by Gottlieb, what he termed the “developmental point of view.” She explains how this conception requires a “relational” (“coactive” and “bidirectional”) view of causality; an appreciation of the continuity between prenatal and postnatal, innate and acquired; the recognition that epigenesis is ongoing, multifaceted, not predetermined but, instead, highly dependent on experience (what Gottlieb described as constituting a probabilistic process), and involving a shift in focus from population statistics to the study of individual trajectories. Given the centrality in Gottlieb’s work of refining this developmental point of view, after this opening chapter we reprint a key paper authored by Gottlieb, one that explains his conception of probabilistic epigenesis through discussing what are normally occurring environmental and behavioral influences on gene activity.

To place this view into its historical and theoretical contexts, Part II of the Handbook is devoted to discussions of the theoretical foundations for the developmental study of behavior and genetics. James Tabery and Paul E. Griffiths
provide a historical overview of traditional behavior genetics. They note that historical disputes between quantitative behavioral geneticists and developmental scientists stem largely from differences in methods and conceptualizations of key constructs, and in epistemological disagreement about the relevance of variation seen in populations. In turn, Mae Wan Ho revisits the links between development and evolution by discussing developmental and genetic change over generations. She reviews recent evidence in support of the idea that evolutionary novelties arise from non-random developmental changes defined by the dynamics of the epigenetic system; and shows how the organism participates in shaping its own development and adaptation of the lineage.

Douglas Wahlsten next discusses the assumptions and pitfalls of traditional behavior genetics. He notes that the concept of additivity of genes and environment, key to heritability analysis, is in conflict with contemporary views about how genes function as a part of a complex developmental system. Molecular genetic experiments indicate that genes act at the molecular level but do not specify phenotypic outcomes of development.

Next, George F. Michel discusses the connections between environment, experience, and learning in the development of behavior. He focuses on the concept of “Umwelt” and the meaning of gene–environment interaction in behavioral development.

The final chapter in this section of the book, by Ty Partridge and Gary Greenberg, discusses contemporary ideas in physics and biology in Gottlieb’s psychology. The chapter reviews current ideas in biology, physiology, and physics and shows how they fit into Gottlieb’s developmental systems perspective. The concepts of increasing complexity with evolution and that of emergence are discussed in detail and offer an alternative to reductionist genetic explanations of behavioral origins.

Framed by these discussions of the theoretical foundations of Gottlieb’s view of how genes are part of the fused processes of organism ↔ context interactions that comprise the developmental system, Part III of the Handbook presents several empirical studies of behavioral development and genetics. Jay S. Rosenblatt discusses the mother as the developmental environment of the newborn among mammals and describes direct and indirect effects on newborn learning. His chapter provides a thorough, up-to-date discussion of maternal–young behavior among placental animals. The discussion is presented from both evolutionary and developmental perspectives. In the next chapter, Scott R. Robinson and Valerie Méndez-Gallardo provide data on fetal activity, amniotic fluid, and the epigenesis of behavior that, together, enable one to blur the “boundaries” of the organism.

Susan A. Brunelli, Betty Zimmerberg, and Myron A. Hofer discuss how family effects may be assessed through animal models of developmental systems. They provide data about the selective breeding of rats for differences in infant ultrasound vocalization related to separation stress. They find that later behaviors in each line
reflect active and passive coping styles. Similarly, Kathryn E. Hood demonstrates how early and later experience alters alcohol preference in selectively bred mice. She reports that the developmental emergence of behavior often shows increasing complexity over time. Philosophical and empirical sources suggest that emergent complexity entails specific internal developmental sources as well as external constraints and opportunities.

In turn, Allyson Bennett and Peter J. Pierre discuss the contribution of genetic, neural, behavioral, and environmental influences to phenotypic outcomes of development. They report that nonhuman primate studies model the interplay between genetic and environmental factors that contribute to complex disorders. Such translational research incorporating genetic, neurobiological, behavioral, and environmental factors allows insight into developmental risk pathways and ultimately contributes to the prevention and treatment of complex disorders.

Expanding on the discussion of gene-environment interactions, Lesley J. Rogers discusses the social and broader ecological context of the interactive contributions of genes, hormones, and early experience to behavioral development. Her presentation expands upon her earlier critical discussions of issues of genetic determinism in the treatment of neural lateralization. She offers empirical support for an experiential, developmental interpretation of lateralization in vertebrates.

Lawrence V. Harper discusses the idea of epigenetic inheritance by noting that multiple sources of change in environment and organism collaborate to provide coordinated changes in physiology and behavior over the course of development. Many of these factors are not obvious, but may be effective in producing a fit of organism and environment. Carolyn Tucker Halpern discusses the significance of non-replication of gene-phenotype associations. She notes that the failure to replicate gene-phenotype associations continues to be a problem in newer work testing gene-environment interactions, and may be exacerbated in genome-wide association studies. She argues that, given the many layers of regulation between the genome and phenotypes, and the probabilistic nature of development, criteria for replication merit renewed attention.

The next chapter, by Robert Lickliter and Christopher Harshaw, explains how the ideas of canalization and malleability enable elucidation of the regulatory and generative roles of development in evolution. They review evidence from birds and mammals demonstrating that the developmental processes involved in producing the reliable reoccurrence (canalization) of phenotypes under species-typical conditions are the same as those involved in producing novel phenotypic outcomes (malleability) under species-atypical circumstances. In other words, canalization and malleability are not distinct developmental phenomena – both are products of the organism’s developmental system. As Gottlieb recognized, understanding the dynamics of canalization and malleability can contribute to a fuller understanding of phenotypic development and advance both developmental and evolutionary theory.
To document the breadth of the use of Gottlieb’s ideas to developmental and comparative science, Part IV of the Handbook presents chapters that illustrate applications of his theory and research to human development. For instance, extending to humans the ideas discussed in Part III about gene-environment interactions within the developmental system, Cathi B. Propper, Ginger A. Moore, and W. Roger Mills-Koonce discuss child development, temperament, and changes in individual physiological functioning. They use a developmental systems approach to explore the reciprocal influences of parent-infant interactions and candidate genes on the development of infant physiological and behavioral reactivity and regulation. They emphasize that appreciating gene-environment coactions is paramount for understanding and accurately representing the complexities of infant temperament and emotion development.

In the following chapter, Jay Joseph discusses genetic research in psychiatry and psychology. He presents a critical analysis of the research most often put forward in support of the current consensus position in psychiatry and psychology that psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, ADHD, and bipolar disorder, and variation in normal psychological traits such as personality and IQ, are strongly influenced by genetic factors. Joseph argues that the evidence for this position, which consists mainly of family, twin, and adoption studies, provides little if any support for an important role for genetics. His analysis is especially relevant today in light of the ongoing failure, in some cases after decades of internationally coordinated gene-finding efforts, to discover the specific genes believed to underlie psychiatric disorders and psychological traits.

In turn, Peter C. M. Molenaar compares the developmental explanatory power of studies of inter-individual versus intra-individual variation. He presents a simulation of development to demonstrate how standard quantitative genetic analysis based on inter-individual variation yields biased results, especially in the context of nonlinear epigenetics. He outlines the use of a system-specific approach to obtain valid results about developmental processes.

Demonstrating the macro ecological breadth of the concepts associated with Gottlieb’s integrative, developmental systems theory, Elaine L. Bearer discusses behavior as both an influence on and a result of the genetic program. She links the study of non-kin rejection, ethnic conflict, and issues in global health care within the frame of the theoretical ideas she proposes. Finally, a similarly broad discussion of the impact of Gottlieb’s ideas is provided by Richard M. Lerner, Michelle J. Boyd, Megan K. Kiely, Christopher M. Napolitano, and Kristina L. Schmid. They discuss the contributions of Gilbert Gottlieb to promoting positive human development by pointing to applications of developmental systems theory to benefit individuals, families, and communities. They explain how the potential for plasticity of development that is part of Gottlieb’s model affords an optimistic view about the potential of developmental science to optimize the course of human life. Accordingly, they discuss how Gottlieb’s developmental systems model provides
a frame for the applications of developmental systems theory to policies and programs that can promote positive human development.

Conclusions

Throughout his career Gottlieb used his empirical work to support and further develop his theoretical approach to developmental systems and, with admirable persistence and high quality productivity, to convince the scientific community that the classic dualistic, nature-nurture split that focused on single causes of developmental change was a false one. The chapters in this Handbook illustrate convincingly the scope and power of his scholarship, an influence that integrated cutting-edge theoretical work across multiple disciplines and across numerous species, including humans.

Indeed, Gottlieb’s developmental systems theoretical perspective leads us to recognize that, if we are to have an adequate and sufficient science of development, we must integratively study individual and contextual levels of organization in a relational and temporal manner (Bronfenbrenner, 2005). And if we are to serve both the scholarly community and our nation’s and the world’s individuals and families through our science, if we are to help develop successful policies and programs through our scholarly efforts, then we must make great use of the integrative temporal and relational model of the individual that is embodied in the developmental systems perspective Gottlieb forwarded.

Gottlieb would have been a bit surprised and, assuredly would have expressed great humility, by the extension of his theory and research to matters pertinent to enhancing the quality of human life. In addition to his accomplishments as a scientist, Gilbert Gottlieb displayed modesty, enormous interpersonal warmth, and wry humor. He will of course be remembered for his historically important innovations in comparative and developmental theory and research. But we believe he should also be remembered for his kindness and his generosity to junior colleagues and students, as well as his resoluteness, his consistently high level of intellectual integrity, his avid pursuit of historical precedents for his ideas, and his excitement about research, including field, laboratory, and library research. His enjoyment of convivial relationships with colleagues was tangible, and his maintenance of long-term relationships with intellectual companions was impressive, including some that were realized through email. He both shaped a science and built a community within it!

We hope that this Handbook will be of use to both senior scientists and, as well, younger scholars who may not be familiar with Gottlieb Gottlieb’s work and who did not have the distinct honor and great privilege to have Gilbert Gottlieb as a colleague, mentor, and friend. We hope, also, that the Handbook will serve as an archival source for his theoretical and empirical discoveries, which together
advance the prospects for a thoroughly developmental science. We hope as well that the documentation of his influence will enable the memory of this extra-
ordinary scientist and person to live on.
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