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"HELLO, MY name is Rosalyn Menon. I am a producer of The Dr. Oz Show. I was wondering if you’d be interested in appearing on an upcoming show.”

Rosalyn’s phone call took me by surprise. Her interest in me stemmed from the fact that I had written a book a few years earlier called *Drug Truths—Dispelling the Myths About Pharma R&D*. I had written *Drug Truths* after retiring from Pfizer, where I had worked for over 30 years and had been the President of Pfizer’s Global R&D Division. *Drug Truths* was written out of the frustration I had over the misperceptions about the drug industry, particularly concerning the lack of understanding that most people have regarding the value that pharmaceutical R&D brings to the health of people around the world. I had a vague familiarity with the show and understood it to be one that tried to educate the public on medical matters.

Rosalyn was now giving me an opportunity to help bring my message to the followers of Dr. Oz. She asked if I’d be willing to appear on the show to talk about issues that I addressed in *Drug Truths*: the safety of new drugs, the accusation that the pharmaceutical company invents diseases, that people are overmedicated, and so on. She told me that Dr. John Abramson, author of *Overdosed America*, would also appear. Abramson is known as a harsh critic of the pharmaceutical industry, and the opportunity to be able to debate him on some of these points appealed to me. So, I agreed to do the show.

About 40 hours later, I was at the NBC studios at Rockefeller Center for the beginning of a unique and challenging experience. I was placed in a Green Room (yes, it’s really green!) and was able to watch (on a monitor) preparations for the taping of the show, which would air three weeks later. Parts of what I observed were amusing. The audience, which was already seated, was asked to display various emotions that the show’s director would later insert into the segment. On cue, the audience was asked to laugh, express disapproval, or even gasp in horror, thereby dashing my perceptions that the audience reactions seen on talk shows are spontaneous.

My amusement faded pretty quickly as I walked onto the stage of *The Dr. Oz Show*. I was stunned to see the backdrop—a huge banner that read: “The Four Secrets that Drug Companies Don’t Want You to Know”! In my naiveté, I never thought to ask Rosalyn for the title of my segment. I quickly realized that the balanced debate
I had expected was going to be tilted. Things got worse with the announcer’s opening statement:

It was seen as a miracle solution. The cure to obesity in a tiny pill... But inside this pill could be a nightmare in disguise, linked to severe liver disease, acute pancreatic damage, and kidney stones.

The drug was orlistat, sold as either Xenical by prescription or Alli over the counter. Orlistat acts by inhibiting fat absorption from the intestine. That sounds pretty good, but here are the consequences: Fat that you eat doesn’t get absorbed but rather gets excreted directly, resulting in foul-smelling stools, diarrhea, and “anal leakage.” Orlistat can cause modest weight loss, but these toleration issues mean it’s a drug many people avoid. But what about the “nightmare” side effects? In 2010, the FDA announced that they had found 13 cases of severe liver damage, one in the United States with Alli and 12 foreign reports with Xenical, over the period of April 1999 to August 2009. It was estimated that over this timeframe, over 40 million people took orlistat. Dr. Oz mentioned this number later in the show, but by then the seeds had already been sown with the audience: Drug companies make unsafe compounds and try to hide the danger of these medicines from consumers. There were two people in the audience who were taking orlistat. Dr. Oz asked them what they thought of this new information, and they expressed shock that they had been prescribed such an unsafe drug. I wonder what they would have thought if Dr. Oz told them that acetaminophen, the active ingredient of Tylenol, is potentially far more harmful to the liver than orlistat?

It was clear from watching the faces of the audience over the next half-hour that they didn’t buy my explanations of what pharmaceutical companies do to ensure the safety and efficacy of new medicines. Their view, simply, was that drug companies are out to make money at the expense of the unsuspecting public. This assumption is a major problem facing the pharmaceutical industry today. But the problem runs far deeper than that.

As I walked off the stage after the taping, an angry woman came up to me and said: “The pharmaceutical industry killed my daughter.”

I was stunned. I felt as if I had been punched in my gut. I tried to express my sorrow, but she abruptly turned and walked away. This woman suffered an unfathomable loss. I cannot think of anything more tragic than losing a child. Yet, I had no idea what caused her daughter’s death. Did her daughter suffer a rare, unforeseen, severe adverse drug reaction? Was the drug improperly administered? How could such a horrible thing happen? Regardless of how this happened, such a loss of life is both dreadful and unintentional. The goal of anyone in pharmaceutical R&D is to alleviate pain and suffering, not cause it. Unfortunately, this woman will never believe that. I fear that many share her views.

This negative view of the pharmaceutical industry is a major problem. But it is not the only one. The industry is also being assailed for the perception that its R&D engines are broken and perhaps unfixable. Furthermore, there seems to be no shortage of “experts” with solutions on how to fix the problem. Unfortunately, many of the proposals are shockingly naïve and without merit. Yet, there are medical needs