Edited by Paul T. Anastas

Green Solvents





Volume 5: Reactions in Water

Volume Editor: Chao-Jun Li



Handbook of Green Chemistry

Volume 5 Reactions in Water

Edited by Chao-Jun Li

Related Titles

Tanaka, K.

Solvent-free Organic Synthesis

Second, Completely Revised and Updated Edition 2009 ISBN: 978-3-527-32264-0

Lefler, J.

Principles and Applications of Supercritical Fluid Chromatography

2009 ISBN: 978-0-470-25884-2

Wasserscheid, P., Welton, T. (eds.)

Ionic Liquids in Synthesis

Second, Completely Revised and Enlarged Edition 2008 ISBN: 978-3-527-31239-9

Sheldon, R. A., Arends, I., Hanefeld, U.

Green Chemistry and Catalysis

2007 ISBN: 978-3-527-30715-9

Li, C.-J., Chan, T.-H.

Comprehensive Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media

Second Edition 2007 ISBN: 978-0-471-76129-7

Lindstrom, U. M. (ed.)

Organic Reactions in Water

Principles, Strategies and Applications 2008 ISBN: 978-1-4501-3890-1

Handbook of Green Chemistry

Volume 5 Reactions in Water

Edited by Chao-Jun Li



WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA

The Editor

Prof. Dr. Paul T. Anastas

Yale University Center for Green Chemistry & Green Engineering 225 Prospect Street New Haven, CT 06520 USA

Volume Editor

Canada

Prof. Dr. Chao-Jun Li McGill University Department of Chemistry 801 Sherbrooke Street West Montreal, QC H3A 2K6

Handbook of Green Chemistry - Green Solvents

 Vol. 4:
 Supercritical Solvents

 ISBN:
 978-3-527-32590-0

 Vol. 5:
 Reactions in Water

 ISBN:
 978-3-527-32591-7

 Vol. 6:
 Green Solvents

 ISBN:
 978-3-527-32592-4

Set II (3 volumes): ISBN: 978-3-527-31574-1

Handbook of Green Chemistry

Set (12 volumes): ISBN: 978-3-527-31404-1

The cover picture contains images from Corbis Digital Stock (Dictionary) and PhotoDisc, Inc./Getty Images (Flak containing a blue liquid). All books published by Wiley-VCH are carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors, and publisher do not warrant the information contained in these books, including this book, to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

© 2010 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Boschstr. 12, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

TypesettingThomson Digital, Noida, IndiaPrinting and Bindingbetz-druck GmbH, DarmstadtCover DesignAdam-Design, Weinheim

Printed in the Federal Republic of Germany Printed on acid-free paper

ISBN: 978-3-527-32591-7

Contents

About the Editors XIII List of Contributors XV

1	The Principles of and Reasons for Using Water as a Solvent for Green Chemistry 1
	Ronald Breslow
1.1	Introduction 1
1.2	Binding of Two Species Together Driven by the Hydrophobic Effect in Water 2
1.3	Aromatic Chlorination 3
1.4	Acylation of Cyclodextrins by a Bound Ester 4
1.5	Mimics of Metalloenzymes Using the Hydrophobic Effect in Water 5
1.6	Mimics of the Enzyme Ribonuclease 7
1.7	Mimics of Enzymes that Use Pyridoxamine Phosphate
	and Pyridoxal Phosphate as Coenzymes 9
1.8	Artificial Enzymes Carrying Mimics of Thiamine Pyrophosphate 15
1.9	Enolizations and Aldol Condensations 17
1.10	Hydrophobic Acceleration of Diels-Alder Reactions 18
1.11	Selectivities in Water Induced by the Hydrophobic
	Effect – Carbonyl Reductions 18
1.12	Selectivities in Water Induced by the Hydrophobic
	Effect – Oxidations 19
1.13	Using Hydrophobic Effects in Water to Determine the Geometries
	of Transition States for Some Important Reactions 21
1.14	Conclusion 25
	References 25
2	Green Acid Catalysis in Water 31
	Chikako Ogawa and Shū Kobayashi
2.1	Introduction 31
2.2	Lewis Acids in Water 31
2.2.1	Introduction. Lewis Acids in Aqueous Media: Possible? 31

۷

- VI Contents
 - 2.2.2 Lewis Acid Catalysis in Water as "Sole Solvent" 32
 - 2.2.2.1 LASC: Lewis Acid–Surfactant Combined Catalyst 33
 - 2.2.2.2 Polymer-supported Scandium Triflate 34
 - 2.2.2.3 Silica Gel-supported Scandium with Ionic Liquid 35
 - 2.3 Chiral Lewis Acid-catalyzed Asymmetric Reactions in Water 36
 - Mannich-type Reactions in Water 36 2.3.1
 - 2.3.2 Michael Reaction in Water 37
 - 2.3.3 Epoxide Ring-opening Reaction in Water 39
 - Hydroxymethylation in Water 40 2.3.4
 - 2.4 Brønsted Acid Catalysis in Pure Water 45
 - 2.4.1 Surfactant-type Brønsted Acid Catalysts 45
 - 2.4.2 Polymer-supported Brønsted Acid Catalysts 50
 - 2.5 Conclusion and Perspective 53
 - References 53

3 Green Bases in Water 57

José M. Fraile, Clara I. Herrerías, and José A. Mayoral

- Introduction 57 3.1
- Types of Bases and Their Use from a Green Point of View 3.2 58
- 3.3 Liquid–Liquid Processes 59
- 3.4 Solid–Liquid Processes 65 References 70
- Green Oxidation in Water 75 4
- Roger A. Sheldon
- 4.1 Introduction 75
- 4.2 Water-soluble Ligands 76
- 4.3 Oxidations Catalyzed by Metalloporphyrins
- and Metallophthalocyanines 76
- Epoxidation and Dihydroxylation of Olefins in Aqueous Media 78 4.4
- 4.5 Alcohol Oxidations in Aqueous Media 85
- 4.6 Aldehyde and Ketone Oxidations in Water 94
- 4.7 Sulfoxidations in Water 96
- 4.8 Conclusion 97
 - References 98

5 Green Reduction in Water 105

- Xiaofeng Wu and Jianliang Xiao
- 5.1 Introduction 105
- 5.2 Water-soluble Ligands 106
- 5.2.1 Water-soluble Achiral Ligands 107
- 5.2.2 Water-soluble Chiral Ligands 108
- 5.3 Hydrogenation in Water 108
- 5.3.1 Achiral Hydrogenation 109
- 5.3.1.1 Hydrogenation of Olefins 109

- 5.3.1.2 Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds 113
- 5.3.1.3 Hydrogenation of Aromatic Rings 114
- 5.3.1.4 Hydrogenation of Other Organic Groups 115
- 5.3.1.5 Hydrogenation of CO₂ 116
- 5.3.2 Asymmetric Hydrogenation 118
- 5.3.2.1 Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Olefins *118*
- 5.3.2.2 Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Carbonyl and Related Compounds 121
- 5.4 Transfer Hydrogenation in Water *123*
- 5.4.1 Achiral Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds 124
- 5.4.2 Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation 126
- 5.4.2.1 Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones 126
- 5.4.2.2 Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Imines 132
- 5.4.2.3 Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation with Biomimetic Catalysts 133
- 5.4.3 Water-facilitated Catalyst Separation and Recycle 135
- 5.5 Role of Water 137
- 5.5.1 Coordination to Metals *138*
- 5.5.2 Acid–Base Equilibrium 139
- 5.5.3 H–D Exchange 140
- 5.5.4 Participation in Transition States 141
- 5.6 Conclusion 142 References 142

6 Coupling Reactions in Water 151

Lucie Leseurre, Jean-Pierre Genêt, and Véronique Michelet

- 6.1 Introduction 151
- 6.2 Reaction of Carbonyl Compounds and Derivatives 151
- 6.2.1 Grignard-type Reactions 151
- 6.2.1.1 Allylation Reaction 152
- 6.2.1.2 Propargylation and Allenylation Reaction 156
- 6.2.1.3 Alkylation Reaction 157
- 6.2.1.4 Arylation and Vinylation Reactions 158
- 6.2.1.5 Alkynylation Reaction 161
- 6.2.2 Pinacol Coupling 162
- 6.3 Reaction of Alkenes and Alkynes 163
- 6.3.1 Reaction of Unconjugated Alkenes and Alkynes 163
- 6.3.1.1 Hydroformylation Reaction 163
- 6.3.1.2 Hydroxycarbonylation 164
- 6.3.1.3 Metathesis, Polymerization Reactions, and Carbene Reactivity 165
- 6.3.1.4 Isomerization of Alkenes 165
- 6.3.1.5 Coupling of Alkynes 166
- 6.3.1.6 Mizoroki–Heck Reaction and Related Hydroarylation Reactions 166

VIII Contents

6.3.1.7	Cyclization and Cyclotrimerization of Polyfunctional
	Unsaturated Derivatives 167
6.3.2	Reaction of Conjugated Alkenes 170
6.3.2.1	Telomerization of Dienes 170
6.3.2.2	1,4-Addition to α , β -Unsaturated Derivatives 171
6.4	Reaction of Organic Halides and Derivatives 172
6.4.1	Homo- and Heterocoupling Reactions 172
6.4.2	Suzuki–Miyaura (S–M) Reaction 173
6.4.2.1	Palladium-catalyzed Reactions (Aryl and Vinyl Iodides,
	Triflates, Bromides, and Diazoniums salts) 173
6.4.2.2	Nickel- and ruthenium-catalyzed Reactions 180
6.4.3	Stille Reaction 180
6.4.4	Sonogashira Reaction, Alkyne Oxidative
	Dimerization 181
6.4.5	Tsuji–Trost Reaction 186
6.4.6	Hartwig–Buchwald Coupling 189
6.4.7	Hiyama Reaction 189
6.5	Conclusion 191
	References 191
7	"On Water" for Green Chemistry 207
	Li Liu and Dong Wang
7.1	Introduction 207
7.2	Pericyclic Reactions 208
7.3	Addition of Heteronucleophiles to Unsaturated
	Carbonyl Compounds 211
7.4	Enantioselective Direct Aldol Reactions 213
7.5	Coupling Reactions 215
7.5.1	Transition Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions 215
7.5.2	Dehydrogenative Coupling Reactions 216
7.6	Oxidation 221
7.7	Bromination Reactions 223
7.8	Miscellaneous Reactions 224
7.8.1	Nucleophilic Substitution 224
7.8.2	Functionalization of SWNTs 225
7.9	Theoretical Studies 226
7.10	Conclusion 227
	References 227
0	Destruction Desertions in Waters T. J. C. Cl. 11. 2020
õ	Pericyclic Reactions in water. Towards Green Chemistry 229
0.1	Juup E. Nijn unu Jun B.F.N. Enguerts
0.1 0 1 1	Introduction 229
0.1.1	Weter the Illimete Creen Selvent 222
ð.1.2	water, the Ultimate Green Solvent 232
× /	Konguche Reactions in Adjacite Media 144

8.2 Pericyclic Reactions in Aqueous Media 234

- 8.2.1 Introduction 234
- 8.2.2 Normal and Inverse Electron-demand Diels–Alder Reactions in Water 234
- 8.2.3 Intramolecular Diels–Alder Reactions 242
- 8.2.4 Retro-Diels–Alder Reactions 243
- 8.2.5 Forward and Retro-hetero-Diels–Alder Reactions 244
- 8.2.6 Photocycloadditions 248
- 8.2.7 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions 249
- 8.2.8 Claisen Rearrangements 251
- 8.2.9 Mixed Aqueous Binary Mixtures 252
- 8.2.10 "On Water" Pericyclic Reactions 254
- 8.2.11 (Bio)catalysis, Cyclodextrins, Surfactant Aggregates, Molecular Cages, Microwaves, Supercritical Water 255
- 8.2.11.1 (Bio)catalysis 256
- 8.2.11.2 Catalysis by Cyclodextrins 259
- 8.2.11.3 Catalysis by Surfactant Aggregates 261
- 8.2.11.4 Microwave-assisted Aqueous Pericyclic Reactions 266
- 8.2.11.5 Supercritical Water 267
- 8.3 Conclusion 267 References 268
- 9 Non-conventional Energy Sources for Green Synthesis in Water (Microwave, Ultrasound, and Photo) 273
 - Vivek Polshettiwar and Rajender S. Varma
- 9.1 Introduction 273
- 9.2 MW-assisted Organic Transformations in Aqueous Media 274
- 9.2.1 Carbon–Carbon Coupling Reactions 274
- 9.2.2 Nitrogen-containing Heterocycles 277
- 9.2.3 Oxygen-containing Heterocycles 280
- 9.2.4 Heterocyclic Hydrazones 282
- 9.2.5 Other Miscellaneous Reactions 282
- 9.3 Sonochemical Organic Transformations in
- Aqueous Media 285
- 9.3.1 Synthesis of Heterocycles 285
- 9.3.2 Pinacol Coupling Reaction 286
- 9.4 Photochemical Transformations in Aqueous Media 287
- 9.5 Conclusion 288
- References 288
- **10** Functionalization of Carbohydrates in Water 291
 - Marie-Christine Scherrmann, André Lubineau,
 - and Yves Queneau
- 10.1 Introduction 291
- 10.2 C–C Bond Formation Reactions 292
- 10.2.1 Knoevenagel Condensations 292

X Contents

10.2.2	Barbier-type Reactions 297
10.2.3	Baylis–Hillman Reactions 305
10.2.4	Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution Reactions 306
10.2.5	Mukaiyama Aldol Reaction 308
10.3	C–N Bond Formation Reactions 309
10.3.1	Glycosylamines and Glycamines 309
10.3.2	Aza Sugars 311
10.4	Functionalization of Hydroxy Groups 312
10.4.1	Esterification, Etherification, Carbamation: the Example
	of Sucrose 313
10.4.2	Oxidation Reactions 318
10.4.3	Bioconversions 319
10.5	Glyco-organic Substrates and Reactions in Aqueous
	Sugar Solutions 319
10.6	Conclusion 324
	References 324
11	Water Under Extreme Conditions for Green Chemistry 331
	Phillip E. Savage and Natalie A. Rebacz
11.1	Introduction 331
11.2	Background 332
11.2.1	Properties of HTW 332
11.2.2	Process Engineering Considerations 334
11.2.3	Theoretical, Computational, and Experimental
	Methods 335
11.2.3.1	Classical Theory 336
11.2.3.2	Molecular and Computational Modeling 337
11.2.3.3	Experimental Methods 338
11.2.4	pH Effects 339
11.3	Recent Progress in HTW Synthesis 343
11.3.1	Hydrogenation 343
11.3.2	C–C Bond Formation 344
11.3.2.1	Friedel–Crafts Alkylation 344
11.3.2.2	Heck Coupling 345
11.3.2.3	Nazarov Cyclization 346
11.3.3	Condensation 346
11.3.4	Hydrolysis 348
11.3.5	Rearrangements 350
11.3.6	Hydration/Dehydration 351
11.3.7	Elimination 353
11.3.8	Partial Oxidation to Form Carboxylic Acids 354
11.3.9	C–C Bond Cleavage 355
11.3.10	H–D Exchange 355
11.3.11	Amidation 355
	References 356

Contents XI

12	Water as a Green Solvent for Pharmaceutical Applications 363
10.1	Peter Dunn
12.1	Introduction – Is water a Green Solventr 565
12.2	Water-based Enzymatic Processes 363
12.2.1	The Pregabalin (Lyrica) Process 364
12.2.2	Enzymatic Routes to Statins 366
12.2.2.1	Intermediate 5 366
12.2.2.2	Enzymatic Routes to Atorvastatin (Lipitor) 367
12.2.3	The Enzymatic Process to Make LY300164 369
12.2.4	The Enzymatic Process to Prepare 6-Aminopenicillanic Acid 371
12.2.5	Enzymatic Routes to Oseltamivir Phosphate (Tamiflu) 372
12.3	Processes in Which the Product is Isolated by pH
	Adjustment to the Isoelectric Point 374
12.3.1	Process to Prepare the Sildenafil Citrate (Viagra)
	Intermediate 24 374
12.3.2	The Sampatrilat Process 374
12.4	Carbon–Carbon Bond-forming Cross-coupling Reactions
	in Water 375
12.4.1	Process to Make Compound 29 an Intermediate for a Drug
	Candidate to Treat Depression 376
12.4.2	An Aqueous Suzuki Reaction to Prepare Diflusinal 33 377
12.5	Pharmaceutical Processes Using Mixed Aqueous Solvents 378
12.5.1	The Lumiracoxib Process 379
12.5.2	An Environmentally Friendly Baylis–Hillman Process 379
12.6	Conclusion 380
	References 382
13	Water as a Green Solvent for Bulk Chemicals 385
	Ferenc Joó and Ágnes Kathó
13.1	Introduction 385
13.2	Hydroformylation – an Overview 386
13.2.1	General Aspects of Hydroformylation 386
13.2.2	Industrial Hydroformylation Processes in Non-aqueous
	Systems 388
13.2.2.1	Cobalt-based Hydroformylation Catalysts and Processes 388
13.2.2.2	Rhodium-based Hydroformylation Catalysts and Processes 389
13.2.2.3	Ligands Used for Catalyst Modification 391
13.2.3	Central Questions in Hydroformylation Processes 392
13.3	Water as Solvent for Hydroformylation 393
13.3.1	Aqueous–Organic Biphasic Catalysis 393
13.3.2	Aqueous–Organic Biphasic Hydroformylation 394
13.3.2.1	The Ruhrchemie–Rhône-Poulenc Process 395
13.3.2.2	Green Features of the Ruhrchemie–Rhône-Poulenc Process 398

XII Contents

13.3.2.3	Hydroformylation of Longer Chain Alkenes in
	Aqueous–Organic Biphasic Systems 399
13.3.2.4	Developments in Reactor Design for Aqueous–Organic Biphasic
	Hydroformylations 400
13.3.3	Catalyst Recovery by Water-induced Phase Separation 401
13.4	Water as Solvent in the Production of 2,7-Octadien-1-ol
	(Kuraray Process) 403
13.5	Conclusion 405
	References 406

Index 409

About the editors

Series Editor



Paul T. Anastas joined Yale University as Professor and serves as the Director of the Center for Green Chemistry and Green Engineering there. From 2004–2006, Paul was the Director of the Green Chemistry Institute in Washington, D.C. Until June 2004 he served as Assistant Director for Environment at the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy where his responsibilities included a wide range of environmental science issues including furthering international public-private cooperation in areas of Science for Sustainabil-

ity such as Green Chemistry. In 1991, he established the industry-governmentuniversity partnership Green Chemistry Program, which was expanded to include basic research, and the Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Awards. He has published and edited several books in the field of Green Chemistry and developed the 12 Principles of Green Chemistry.

Volume Editor



Chao-Jun Li (FRSC, UK) received his PhD at McGill University (1992) and was an NSERC Postdoctoral fellow at Stanford University (1992–1994). He was an Assistant Professor (1994), Associate Professor (1998) and Full Professor (2000–2003) at Tulane University, where he received a NSF CAREER Award (1998) in organic synthesis and the 2001 US Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Award (Academic). In 2003, he became a Canada Research Chair (Tier I) in Organic/Green Chemistry and a Professor of Chemistry at McGill

University in Canada. He serves as the Co-Chair of the Canadian Green Chemistry and Engineering Network, the Director of CFI Infrastructure for Green Chemistry and Green Chemicals, and Co-Director the FQRNT Center for Green Chemistry and

XIV About the editors

Catalysis (Quebec). He is the current Associate Editor for Americas for the journal of *Green Chemistry* (published by the Royal Society of Chemistry). He has been widely recognized as the leader in Green Chemistry for Organic Synthesis in developing innovative and fundamentally new organic reactions that defy conventional reactivities and have high synthetic efficiency.

List of Contributors

Ronald Breslow

Columbia University Department of Chemistry 3000 Broadway New York, NY 10027 USA

Peter Dunn

Pfizer UK Pfizer Green Chemistry Lead Ramsgate Road Sandwich Kent CT13 9NJ UK

Jan B.F.N. Engberts

University of Groningen Stratingh Institute Nijenborgh 4 9747 AG Groningen The Netherlands

José M. Fraile

Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón Facultad de Ciencias Departamento de Química Orgánica 50009 Zaragoza Spain

Jean-Pierre Genêt

ENSCP UMR 7573 Laboratoire de Synthèse Sélective Organique et Produits Naturels 11 rue P. et M. Curie 72231 Paris Cedex 05 France

Clara I. Herrerías

Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón Facultad de Ciencias Departamento de Química Orgánica 50009 Zaragoza Spain

Ferenc Joó

Hungarian Academy of Sciences Research Group of Homogeneous Catalysis and University of Debrecen Institute of Physical Chemistry 1 Egyetem tér 4010 Debrecen Hungary

Handbook of Green Chemistry, Volume 5: Reactions in Water. Edited by Chao-Jun Li Copyright © 2010 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim ISBN: 978-3-527-31591-7

XVI List of Contributors

Ágnes Kathó

University of Debrecen Institute of Physical Chemistry 1 Egyetem tér 4010 Debrecen Hungary

Jaap E. Klijn

University of Groningen Stratingh Institute Nijenborgh 4 9747 AG Groningen The Netherlands

Shū Kobayashi

The University of Tokyo School of Science and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Department of Chemistry Hongo Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-0033 Japan

Lucie Leseurre

ENSCP UMR 7573 Laboratoire de Synthèse Sélective Organique et Produits Naturels 11 rue P. et M. Curie 72231 Paris Cedex 05 France

Li Liu

Chinese Academy of Sciences Institute of Chemistry Center for Chemical Biology Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science (BNLMS) Beijing 100080 China

André Lubineau

Université Paris-Sud 11 Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux d'Orsay CNRS UMR 8182 Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Multifonctionnelle, Bâtiment 420 15 rue Georges Clémenceau 91405 Orsay France

José A. Mayoral

Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón Facultad de Ciencias Departamento de Química Orgánica 50009 Zaragoza Spain

Véronique Michelet

ENSCP UMR 7573 Laboratoire de Synthèse Sélective Organique et Produits Naturels 11 rue P. et M. Curie 72231 Paris Cedex 05 France

Chikako Ogawa

The University of Tokyo School of Science and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Department of Chemistry Hongo Bunkyo-ku Tokyo 113-0033 Japan Present address: Eisai Research Institute Lead Identification 4 Corporate Drive Andover, MA 01810 USA

Vivek Polshettiwar

US Environmental Protection Agency National Risk Management Research Laboratory Sustainable Technology Division 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268 USA

Yves Queneau

INSA-Lyon Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Bâtiment I. Verne 20 avenue A. Einstein 69621 Villeurbanne France and Université Lyon 1 Institut de Chimie et Biochimie Moléculaires et Supramoléculaires INSA-Lyon CNRS, UMR 5246 CPE-Lyon Bâtiment CPE 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918 69622 Villeurbanne France

Natalie A. Rebacz

University of Michigan Chemical Engineering Department 2300 Hayward Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Phillip E. Savage

University of Michigan Chemical Engineering Department 2300 Hayward Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Marie-Christine Scherrmann

Université Paris-Sud 11 Institut de Chimie Moléculaire et des Matériaux d'Orsay CNRS UMR 8182 Laboratoire de Procédés et Substances Naturelles Bâtiment 410 15 rue Georges Clémenceau 91405 Orsay France

Roger A. Sheldon

Delft University of Technology Department of Biotechnology Julianalaan 136 2628 BL Delft The Netherlands

Rajender S. Varma

US Environmental Protection Agency National Risk Management Research Laboratory Sustainable Technology Division 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268 USA

Dong Wang

Chinese Academy of Sciences Institute of Chemistry Center for Chemical Biology Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science (BNLMS) Beijing 100080 China

Xiaofeng Wu

University of Liverpool Liverpool Centre for Materials and Catalysis Department of Chemistry Liverpool L69 7ZD UK

XVIII List of Contributors

Jianliang Xiao

University of Liverpool Liverpool Centre for Materials and Catalysis Department of Chemistry Liverpool L69 7ZD UK

The Principles of and Reasons for Using Water as a Solvent for Green Chemistry

۱ı

Ronald Breslow

1

1.1 Introduction

Chemical reactions used to manufacture important compounds such as medicinals are essentially always carried out in solution, and this is also true of the research work that is used to invent the new compounds and to develop appropriate ways to manufacture them. In the past, continuing into the present, the solvents used are normally volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and these pose an environmental problem. Their vapors can contribute to the greenhouse effect that causes global warming, and in some cases the solvent vapors can catalyze the destruction of the ozone layer that protects the Earth and its living inhabitants from short-wavelength ultraviolet solar radiation. The vapors may also be toxic to humans, plants, or animals, or they may cause diseases.

The liquids themselves can be a problem. If they are released into the earth, rivers or the ocean, they can cause direct environmental damage, while also slowly releasing their vapors. In principle, the solvents can be completely captured and purified for reuse during manufacturing, but it is difficult to prevent some loss to the environment. Hence there is interest in using environmentally benign liquids as the solvents in chemical reactions.

One possibility is supercritical carbon dioxide, which is a liquid under pressure and which has attractive solvent properties. However, unless it is completely contained and reused, it will release gaseous carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas. Thus interest has increasingly turned to water as the solvent for chemical reactions.

Water is the solvent in which biochemical reactions are performed in Nature, and it is environmentally benign. However, it is a good solvent only for organic chemicals that have polar groups, such as alcohols and carboxylic acids. This may not be an insuperable problem. Over 20 years ago we reported that the special selectivities seen in water solution (see below) were also seen in some water suspensions, where one soluble component reacted with one that was poorly soluble [1, 2]. We pointed out that such suspensions in water could well be generally more practical ways to use water in

2 1 The Principles of and Reasons for Using Water as a Solvent for Green Chemistry

manufacturing [2]. Recently, Sharpless and co-workers described a remarkable acceleration of a reaction in such a suspension, which they called reactions ON water [3, 4]. The large reported rate effect was seen in only one particular case, but even without a large acceleration the selectivities that we describe below could perhaps make suspensions in water a practical way for the environmentally benign properties of water to be generally useful even with insoluble reaction components.

One industry that has switched from VOCs to water is the paint industry. We are all familiar with the water-based paints that no longer emit strong solvent odors, and these have been widely adopted for painting automobiles, for instance. It is essentially impossible to capture all the solvent vapors that are released when a vehicle is spray painted, but when the solvent is water there is no problem.

Water is not simply an environmentally benign solvent; it has special properties that are essentially unique, related to what is called the "hydrophobic effect." This is the tendency for hydrocarbons or molecules with hydrocarbon components to avoid contact with water, and to associate instead with other hydrocarbon species in water. This is what makes aqueous soap solutions dissolve grease, and it is the driving force in biology for the associations that produce cell membranes, and that cause nucleic acids to form the famous double helix. It drives the folding of proteins into their shapes in enzymes and antibodies, and it also promotes the binding of biological substrates into enzymes and antibodies [5].

As described below, the hydrophobic effect has now been used to mimic biological chemistry and to provide remarkable selectivities in the field called biomimetic chemistry. It has even been used to permit the discovery of the geometries of the transition states for some interesting reactions, information that is otherwise inaccessible. The remainder of this chapter describes examples of the use of the unique property of water to achieve not just solubility but also selectivity, but the examples will be mainly chosen from our own work. Hence it is important to refer to a number of sources in which other authors have also described their use of water and the hydrophobic effect in chemical studies.

Some of the work of our group has been presented as chapters in the books *Structure and Reactivity in Aqueous Solution* [6], *Green Chemistry* [7], and most recently *Organic Reactions in Water* [8]. In addition, in various review articles our work has been placed in context with that of other groups [2, 5, 9–20]. The remainder of this chapter describes the various contexts in which we have seen the special properties of water as a solvent.

1.2

Binding of Two Species Together Driven by the Hydrophobic Effect in Water

Cyclodextrins are molecules composed of glucose units linked in rings, the most common being α -cyclodextrin (six glucose units), β -cyclodextrin (seven glucose units) and γ -cyclodextrin (eight glucose units) (Scheme 1.1). The three exposed hydroxyl groups on each glucose unit make then water soluble, but they have an internal cavity that is less polar, and that will bind hydrocarbons such as aromatic rings using the hydrophobic effect in water. In later sections it is described how such

cyclodextrin-substrate complexes can catalyze reactions, imitating enzymes. Here the cases where binding alone was studied are described.



Scheme 1.1 The three cyclodextrins used – α , β , and γ-cyclodextrin – and two ways in which they are symbolized.

gamma-cyclodextrin n = 8

In one example, we saw that some dipeptides would selectively bind into simple β -cyclodextrin in water [21], and that the large steroid lithocholic acid bound strongly [22], as did cocaine [23]. When we linked two β -cyclodextrins together, we achieved even better binding of cholesterol [24], and such cyclodextrin dimers also showed strong and selective hydrophobic binding of compounds with two phenyl groups [25], of peptides with two hydrophobic amino acid components [26], and of oligopeptides whose binding promoted the formation of a helix [27].

We also tied two β -cyclodextrins with *two* links, which made a hinge that could let the two cyclodextrins close around a substrate, and also another geometry in which they were prevented from cooperating [28]. As hoped, the dimer with the correct geometry was a very strong binder of hydrophobic substrates, since the double link had frozen out the incorrect geometries. Interestingly, in one study we saw that such strong double binding was reflected in a better enthalpy, rather than entropy [29]. Our early work with cyclodextrin dimers has been reviewed [30]. We also examined a dimer of a cyclophane, another species with an internal cavity that binds hydrophobic groups [31]. The findings were similar to those with the cyclodextrin dimers. In addition, we examined some trimers of cyclodextrins, but did not see as much cooperativity as one might expect [32].

We synthesized some cyclodextrin dimers with photocleavable links as potential carriers of anticancer photodynamic sensitizers [33, 34]. We also saw that some cyclodextrin dimers could bind to proteins and prevent their aggregation [35]. Furthermore, we saw that some of our cyclodextrin dimers and trimers could bind to amyloid protein and prevent the aggregation that causes Alzheimer's disease [36]. Some other studies with cyclodextrin dimers will be presented in Section 1.5 on mimics of metalloenzymes.

1.3 Aromatic Chlorination

In our earliest work using cyclodextrins to bind substrates, we examined the chlorination of anisole by hypochlorous acid in water with and without added α -cyclodextrin [37, 38]. We saw that the anisole in solution was chlorinated in both

the *ortho* and *para* positions, but in the complex with α -cyclodextrin only the *p*-chloroanisole was formed. The kinetic studies showed that the chlorination involved the prior attachment of chlorine to a hydroxyl group of the cyclodextrin, and then its transfer to the bound anisole (Scheme 1.2).



Scheme 1.2 α -Cyclodextrin catalyzed the selective chlorination of anisole in water by an intra-complex transfer of a chlorine atom.

We also examined other substrates, whose behavior reflected this same mechanism [38]. In this case, the cyclodextrin is acting as a mimic of the enzyme chlorinase, except that interestingly the enzyme mimic was more selective than was the enzyme itself. In a later study, we established which hydroxyl group was the chlorine transfer agent, and showed that a cyclodextrin polymer could perform the selective chlorination in a flow reactor [39].

1.4

Acylation of Cyclodextrins by a Bound Ester

Komiyama and Bender examined the reaction of *m*-nitrophenyl acetate with cyclodextrins, and saw that they transferred the acetyl group to a hydroxyl of the cyclodextrin, with a modest 250-fold rate enhancement over the hydrolysis rate in water under the same conditions [40]. Our modeling of this process indicated that the starting material could occupy the cyclodextrin cavity, but that the tetrahedral intermediate for acetyl transfer would have its nitrophenyl group largely pulled from the cavity. This picture was confirmed by a study of the effect of high pressure on the reaction rate, which indicated that the volume of the transition state was larger than that of the starting complex, as such a geometric change would cause [41]. Such a loss of binding would be energetically unfavorable for the reaction, accounting for the very modest rate of the acetyl transfer process. We therefore created a series of substrates that could avoid this problem.

Molecular models indicated that compound 1, based on a ferrocene core, would be able to acylate a cyclodextrin hydroxyl while still retaining most of the binding of the ferrocene unit in the cyclodextrin cavity. We synthesized 1, and saw that indeed it acylated β -cyclodextrin with a 51 000-fold rate acceleration [42]. However, high-pressure studies [41] indicated that although indeed the transition state for the reaction retained most of the binding into the cyclodextrin cavity, it was not yet the ideal substrate. By modifying the cyclodextrin itself – adding a floor to the cavity – and adjusting the substrate further, we achieved a rate acceleration of ca 10⁶-fold [43].

With an even better substrate geometry, we achieved a rate acceleration of 10^8 -fold, and the reaction was also enantioselective (cyclodextrin is composed of chiral glucose units), with a 20:1 preference for one substrate enantiomer over the other [44]. The optimizations and their explanations were described in a full paper [45], and theoretical calculations on the geometric factors involved were described in another publication [46].

The very high rates of the best substrates reflected a rigid geometry that favored the first step of acylation – addition of the cyclodextrin hydroxyl to the ester carbonyl to form a intermediate – but in the next step, departure of the *p*-nitrophenoxide ion to form the product acylated cyclodextrin, this rigidity was undesirable. Some flexibility was needed in the substrate to permit the rotation involved in this second step. When we incorporated such flexibility, both steps were well catalyzed even with an ordinary ester, where the second step could be rate limiting [47]. Thus, these studies on cyclodextrin acylation by bound substrates indicated the enormous rate accelerations that can be achieved using the hydrophobic effect to promote catalyst–substrate binding in a well-designed geometry.

Such work accomplishes two goals. It indicates that incorporating the factors we believe play a role in enzymatic catalysis does indeed lead to very good catalysis, approaching the rates of the best enzymes. This helps confirm our ideas about how enzymes are able to function so effectively. At the same time, these studies strengthen ordinary chemistry. They show how to make effective catalysts with good rates and selectivities, adopting the principles but not the details of enzymatic reactions.

1.5 Mimics of Metalloenzymes Using the Hydrophobic Effect in Water

For hydrolytic enzymes, the formation of an acyl-enzyme intermediate is only a first step; for catalysis, the intermediate must hydrolyze to regenerate the catalyst and liberate the product carboxylate ion. In many hydrolytic enzymes, including the most effective ones, substrate binding involves both the hydrophobic effect induced by water and some binding to the metal ion itself, which is held in the enzyme by typical coordinating groups. In our first study of mimics for such enzymes, we constructed an artificial enzyme **2** comprised of an α -cyclodextrin ring for hydrophobic binding and an attached pyridinecarboxylate to bind a Ni(II) ion [48]. The nickel also bound a nucleophilic oxime group.



6 1 The Principles of and Reasons for Using Water as a Solvent for Green Chemistry

We found that *p*-nitrophenyl acetate was hydrolyzed in a two-step process, after it was hydrophobically bound into the cyclodextrin. First the nucleophilic oxime removed the acetyl group, in a nickel-catalyzed reaction, and then the nickel ion catalyzed the hydrolysis of this intermediate, regenerating the catalyst. The geometry permitted this process, not the direct acylation of cyclodextrin as in the systems in the previous section. However, the rate acceleration was modest, reflecting the many degrees of flexible freedom in the catalyst.

We constructed some metal ligands mirroring those in metalloenzymes such as carbonic anhydrase, and studied their ability to bind zinc(II), the metal ion in carbonic anhydrase and in carboxypeptidase, and other metal ions [49]. In a study of the hydrolysis of a phosphate triester, we saw evidence that a bound Zn(II) acted as a bifunctional catalyst, delivering a hydroxide ion to the phosphorus while coordinating to the phosphate oxygen atom to stabilize the phosphorane intermediate in hydrolysis [50]. We have seen such a process in many enzyme mimics that also use hydrophobic binding of substrates, as discussed below.

Carboxypeptidase uses metal ion catalysis in the hydrolysis of an amide group, a peptide bond. In a relevant study we used Co(III) to lock the amide oxygen to a metal ion [cobalt(III) is substitution inert], and saw hydrolysis of the amide with the assistance of phenol groups of the catalyst [51]. Apparently the phenol group and some others that we examined play a role in the second step of amide hydrolysis, fragmentation of the tetrahedral intermediate. We also attached α -cyclodextrin to a macrocyclic zinc ligand that held the metal so strongly that it could exist as the zinc hydroxide without losing the zinc [52]. The compound bound phosphate esters into the cyclodextrin using the hydrophobic effect in water, and then used the bifunctional zinc hydroxide mechanism to hydrolyze the substrate. In related work, we catalyzed the cyclization/cleavage of a model for conversion of RNA to its cyclic phosphate using the well-bound zinc macrocycle with an attached thiol or imidazole second catalytic group [53].

We constructed cyclodextrin dimers with a catalytic metal ion bound to the linking group. In the first example, esters that could hydrophobically bind into both cyclodextrins, stretching along the linking, were hydrolyzed by bound copper(II) hydroxide using the bifunctional nucleophilic bound hydroxide plus electrophilic metal ion mechanism, in one case achieving a 220 000-fold rate acceleration over uncatalyzed hydrolysis in water [54]. We also saw that such a cyclodextrin dimer could bind a bis*p*-nitrophosphate anion to an La(III) ion coordinated to the linking group and then achieve catalytic cleavage of the phosphate ester with added hydrogen peroxide [55]. In a full paper describing such cyclodextrin dimer catalysts for ester hydrolysis, we saw as much as a 10^{7} -fold rate acceleration [56]. Using cyclodextrin dimers with bound metal ions, we saw a 10^{3} -fold acceleration of the hydrolysis of a bound benzyl ester, less reactive than some of the *p*-nitrophenyl esters used in earlier studies [57].

In another approach, we constructed a β -cyclodextrin that had both a metal ion binder and an imidazole general base catalyst attached to the cyclodextrin, and examined the hydrolysis of a *tert*-butylcatechol cyclic phosphate **3** that hydrophobically bound to the cyclodextrin [58]. The hydrolysis was accelerated ca 10³-fold. We describe other studies of such a hydrolysis in the next section.

1.6 Mimics of the Enzyme Ribonuclease

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) is cleaved by the enzyme ribonuclease in an overall two-step process (Scheme 1.3). In the first step there is a cyclization/fragmentation in which the hydroxyl group on C-2 of the ribose attacks the phosphate group of the RNA chain and produces a cyclic phosphate 4 while breaking the chain at that point. In the second step, this cyclic phosphate is hydrolyzed to release the C-2 hydroxyl again while opening the cyclic phosphate ring. The enzyme can catalyze both of these rather different steps.



Scheme 1.3 The enzyme ribonuclease cleaves RNA by a cyclization, then a hydrolysis of the cyclic phosphate. It is shown for uridyluridine, a dinucleotide component of RNA.

The major catalytic groups in bovine ribonuclease A are two imidazole rings of the amino acid histidine, although an ammonium ion of lysine also plays a role. At the optimum pH for the enzyme, one imidazole is protonated and serves as a general acid catalyst, whereas the other imidazole is unprotonated and acts as a general base. We decided to produce a mimic of this enzyme by using hydrophobic binding of a substrate into the cyclodextrin cavity in water, in which the cyclodextrin also had two imidazole rings replacing two hydroxyls of the cyclodextrin.

In our first study (Scheme 1.4), we attached the imidazoles on opposite sides of the cyclodextrin cavity and examined the ability of this catalyst to hydrolyze compound **3**, a cyclic phosphate as a rough mimic of the cyclic phosphate that is hydrolytically cleaved in the second step of the enzymatic process [59]. We saw that there was a pH optimum for this hydrolysis that was essentially identical with that of the enzyme itself, indicating that both the general base and the general acid versions of the imidazoles were cooperating in the hydrolysis process. The substrate was selectively cleaved to **5**, leaving the phosphate group *meta* to the *tert*-butyl group. By moving the

imidazoles out slightly, we could reverse the selectivity, now leaving the phosphate group *para* to the *tert*-butyl group [60].



Scheme 1.4 β -Cyclodextrin with two attached imidazole rings catalyzes the hydrolysis of a bound cyclic phosphate ester in water with specificity, and with geometric and isotopic evidence that indicates a process involving a phosphorane intermediate.

A method called proton inventory had been applied to ribonuclease [61]. By observing the rate with different ratios of H_2O and D_2O , it is possible to deduce whether one or two protons are moving in the rate-determining step, and it was concluded that two protons were moving. This means that as the general base imidazole is removing the proton from the C-2 hydroxyl group the general acid imidazolium ion is transferring its proton to the substrate in a simultaneous bifunctional process. We applied this test to our bisimidazolecyclodextrin enzyme mimic, and saw the same result, and with almost the same data as had been seen with the enzyme [62].

We also varied the structure of the bisimidazolecyclodextrin catalyst. We were able to synthesize isomers with the two imidazoles on neighboring glucose units, which we called the A,B isomer, and also an isomer with imidazoles on the A,C units and on the A,D units [63]. If the cleavage mechanism had involved direct attack on the phosphate group while a proton was being placed on the leaving oxygen, the A,D isomer should have been the best. However, we saw that the most active isomer was A,B, with the acid and base groups on neighboring glucose units. This absolutely requires a mechanism in which the hydrolysis proceeds through an intermediate with five oxygens on phosphorus, a phosphorane, which later fragments to the final product. As we shall describe, we saw evidence for the same mechanism with a different model system.

We examined the hydrolysis of a simple dinucleotide, uridyluridine, in water solution with imidazole buffer. Since this process does not involve the hydrophobic special effects of water, it will not be described in detail and rather the relevant references are listed [64–73]. The evidence points to a phosphorane intermediate for this simple buffer-catalyzed process, and we suggested that the enzyme may well be using the same mechanism, rather than a direct cleavage. There is not general agreement on this idea for the enzyme. We have published an account of both the

cyclodextrin studies and the buffer studies in ribonuclease mimics [74], and an account of the result of variation in the geometries of the bisimidazolecyclodextrins and the substrate, which made it clear how important it is to have a relatively tight fit of the substrate in the hydrophobic cavity of the cyclodextrin [75].

1.7

Mimics of Enzymes that Use Pyridoxamine Phosphate and Pyridoxal Phosphate as Coenzymes

We have constructed a number of such mimics. In general, they use the hydrophobic effect in water to bind the substrates for the reactions, with the pyridoxal or pyridoxamine unit coenzyme mimics covalently attached to a cyclodextrin or a hydrophobic polymer. In a few cases we have also used the hydrophobic effect to bind reversibly a coenzyme mimic itself. Some of the resulting rate effects are truly enormous.

In our first study, we covalently linked a pyridoxamine unit to β -cyclodextrin in compound **6** and examined its ability to convert α -keto acids to amino acids in water (Scheme 1.5) [76]. This directly mimics the process used by enzymes to synthesize most amino acids. We compared the conversion of phenylpyruvic acid **7** to phenylalanine **8** and of indolepyruvic acid **9** to tryptophan **10**, both of which can exhibit hydrophobic binding into the cyclodextrin cavity, with the conversion of pyruvic acid **11** to alanine **12**, in which there was no hydrophobic binding of the small methyl group.

The two aromatic compounds had essentially the same rate as did simple pyruvic acid when pyridoxamine was used without the attached cyclodextrin, but hydrophobic binding of keto acids **7** and **9** led to about a 100-fold preference over alanine with the enzyme mimic **6**. With **6**, the tryptophan was formed with a 33% enantiomeric excess (*ee*) of the L-isomer, induced by the chirality of the cyclodextrin unit, and phenylalanine was formed with a 67% *ee* [77]. We saw similar results with compound **13**, in which the pyridoxamine was attached on one of the primary carbons of β -cyclodextrin and the other primary carbons were converted to methyl groups, forming a deeper hydrophobic pocket [78]. Even higher selectivities were seen in some of our later work using the hydrophobic effect in amino acid synthesis.

In **6**, the pyridoxamine is attached on the primary side of the cyclodextrin, but we also examined a compound **14** in which it was attached to the secondary side [77]. All the acylations of cyclodextrin described earlier were directed to the secondary side. We found that with **14** there was also a preference for the formation of phenylalanine and tryptophan, rather than alanine, reflecting the hydrophobic binding of the two aromatic ring substrates in water. However, the preference was less than with the original primary-side linked compound **6**, and the chiral inductions were also smaller. Apparently in this class of compounds, the primary side of the cyclodextrin is the better place for attachment of the pyridoxamine unit. A full paper summarized these results [79]. We also prepared a couple of transaminase mimics with *two* links between the pyridoxamine and the cyclodextrin [80]. The much better geometric control that this afforded led to very high selectivities among substrates with different geometries themselves.

10 1 The Principles of and Reasons for Using Water as a Solvent for Green Chemistry



Scheme 1.5 Three different versions of a pyridoxamine attached to a cyclodextrin convert keto acids to amino acids in water with a preference for those hydrophobic substrates that can bind into the cyclodextrin cavity, imitating in part a biological process by which the amino acids are formed.

Although the cyclodextrins are conveniently available compounds for incorporating hydrophobic binding in water into enzyme mimics, they are not unique. We also used some novel synthetic macrocycles that could carry a pyridoxamine unit and bind hydrophobic substrates into their cavity in water solution [81]. We saw that compound **15** converted phenylpyruvic acid to phenylalanine 15 times more rapidly than did simple pyridoxamine, again reflecting acceleration by hydrophobic binding of the substrate. However, the effect was not as large as with **6**, carrying a pyridoxamine attached to the primary side of β -cyclodextrin. Also, there was of course no chiral induction with the achiral synthetic macrocycle.

In a successful attempt at chiral induction, we synthesized compound **16**, which has no hydrophobic binding group but has a chain carrying a basic unit that can deliver the new proton of the amino acid with geometric control [82]. We saw that as much as a 94 : 6 ratio of D- to L-tryptophan was seen with the enantiomer of **16** that