


PETER MARTI

THEORY OF STRUCTURES

FUNDAMENTALS
FRAMED STRUCTURES
PLATES AND SHELLS



WILEY

Ernst & Sohn
A Wiley Company

PETER MARTI

THEORY OF STRUCTURES

FUNDAMENTALS
FRAMED STRUCTURES
PLATES AND SHELLS

PETER MARTI

THEORY OF STRUCTURES

FUNDAMENTALS
FRAMED STRUCTURES
PLATES AND SHELLS

WILEY

 **Ernst & Sohn**
A Wiley Company

Prof. Dr. Peter Marti
ETH Zurich
Institute of Structural Engineering (IBK)
8093 Zurich
Switzerland
marti@ibk.baug.ethz.ch

Translated by Philip Thrift, German2English Language Services, Hanover, Germany

Cover: Static and kinematic variables and their relationships, Peter Marti

Library of Congress Card No.:

applied for

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;
detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <<http://dnb.d-nb.de>>.

© 2013 Wilhelm Ernst & Sohn, Verlag für Architektur und technische Wissenschaften GmbH & Co. KG,
Rotherstr. 21, 10245 Berlin, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Coverdesign: Sophie Bleifuß, Berlin, Germany
Production: HillerMedien, Berlin, Germany
Typesetting: Hagedorn Kommunikation, Viernheim, Germany
Printing and Binding: AZ Druck und Datentechnik GmbH, Berlin, Germany
Binding: Stein+Lehmann, Berlin, Germany
Printed in the Federal Republic of Germany.
Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-3-433-02991-6

// ePDF ISBN 978-3-433-60260-7 // ePub ISBN 978-3-433-60261-4 //
// mobi ISBN 978-3-433-60262-1 // eBook ISBN 978-3-433-60263-8 //

PREFACE

This book grew out of the lectures I gave at the University of Toronto between 1982 and 1987 and those I have been giving at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETH Zurich) since 1990. The lectures in Toronto were entitled “Energy methods in structural engineering” and “Structural stability”, those in Zurich “Theory of structures I-III” and “Plate and shell structures”. In addition, the book contains material from my lectures on “Applied mechanics” and “Plasticity in reinforced concrete” (Toronto) as well as “Conceptual design”, “Bridge design”, “Building structures” and “Structural concrete I-III” (Zurich).

The book is aimed at students and teaching staff as well as practising civil and structural engineers. Its purpose is to enable readers to model and handle structures sensibly, and to provide support for the planning and checking of structures.

These days, most structural calculations are carried out by computers on the basis of the finite element method. This book provides only an introduction to that topic. It concentrates on the fundamentals of the theory of structures, the goal being to convey appropriate insights into and knowledge about structural behaviour. Framed structures and plate and shell structures are treated according to elastic theory and plastic theory. There are many examples and also a number of exercises for the reader to solve independently. On the whole, the aim is to provide the necessary support so that the reader, through skilful modelling, can achieve meaningful results just adequate for the respective engineering issue, using the simplest means possible. In particular, such an approach will enable the reader to check computer calculations critically and efficiently – an activity that is always necessary, but unfortunately often neglected. Moreover, the broader basis of more in-depth knowledge focuses attention on the essentials and creates favourable conditions for the synthesis of the structural, constructional, practical realisation and creative issues so necessary in structural design.

Chapters 3 and 4, which deal with the general principles of structural engineering, have been heavily influenced by my work as the head of the “Swisscodes” project of the Swiss Engineers & Architects Association (SIA). The purpose of this project, carried out between 1998 and 2003, was to revise fully the structures standards of the SIA, which were subsequently republished as Swiss standards SIA 260 to 267. I am grateful to the SIA for granting permission to reproduce Fig. 1 and Tab. 1 from SIA 260 “Basis of structural design” as Fig. 3.1 and Tab. 4.1 in this book. Further, I would also like to thank the SIA for consenting to the use of the service criteria agreement and basis of design examples, which formed part of my contribution to the introduction of SIA 260 in document SIA D 0181, as examples 3.1 and 3.2 here.

In essence, the account of the theory of structures given in this book is based on my civil engineering studies at ETH Zurich. Hans Ziegler, professor of mechanics, and Bruno Thürlimann, professor of theory of structures and structural concrete, and also my dissertation advisor and predecessor, had the greatest influence on me. Prof. Thürlimann was a staunch advocate of the use of plastic theory in structural engineering and enjoyed support from Prof. Ziegler for his endeavours in this respect. I am also grateful to the keen insights provided by Pierre Dubas, professor of theory of structures and structural steelwork, and Christian Menn, professor of theory of structures and design, especially with regard to the transfer of theory into practice. Many

examples and forms of presentation used in this book can be attributed to all four of these teachers, whom I hold in high esteem, and the Zurich school of theory of structures, which they have shaped to such a great extent.

During my many years as a lecturer in Toronto and Zurich, students gave me many valuable suggestions for improving my lectures; I am deeply obliged to all of them. Grateful thanks also go to my current and former assistants at ETH Zurich. Their great dedication to supervising students and all their other duties connected with teaching have contributed greatly to the ongoing evolution of the Zurich school of theory of structures.

Susanna Schenkel, dipl. Ing. ETH, and Matthias Schmidlin, dipl. Arch. ETH/dipl. Ing. ETH, provided invaluable help during the preparation of the manuscript. Mr. Schmidlin produced all the figures and Mrs. Schenkel coordinated the work, maintained contact with the publisher and wrote all the equations and large sections of the text; I am very grateful to both for their precise and careful work. Furthermore, I would like to thank Maya Stacey for her typing services. A great vote of thanks also goes to my personal assistant, Regina Nöthiger, for her help during the preparations for this book project and for always relieving me from administrative tasks very effectively. Philip Thrift translated the text from German into English. I should like to thank him for the care he has taken and also for his helpful suggestions backed up by practical experience. Finally, I would like to thank the publisher, Ernst & Sohn, for the pleasant cooperation and the meticulous presentation of this book.

Zurich, February 2013

Peter Marti

CONTENTS

Preface	 V
----------------	---------

I INTRODUCTION

1 THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THEORY OF STRUCTURES 1

1.1	General	 1
1.2	The basis of theory of structures	 1
1.3	Methods of theory of structures	 2
1.4	Statics and structural dynamics	 3
1.5	Theory of structures and structural engineering	 3

2 BRIEF HISTORICAL BACKGROUND 5

II FUNDAMENTALS

3 DESIGN OF STRUCTURES 11

3.1	General	 11
3.2	Conceptual design	 11
3.3	Service criteria agreement and basis of design	 14
3.4	Summary	 26
3.5	Exercises	 27

4 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND DIMENSIONING 29

4.1	General	 29
4.2	Actions	 29
4.2.1	Actions and action effects	 29
4.2.2	Models of actions and representative values	 30
4.3	Structural models	 31
4.4	Limit states	 31
4.5	Design situations and load cases	 32
4.6	Verifications	 33
4.6.1	Verification concept	 33
4.6.2	Design values	 33
4.6.3	Verification of structural safety	 34
4.6.4	Verification of serviceability	 35
4.7	Commentary	 35
4.8	Recommendations for the structural calculations	 36
4.9	Recommendations for the technical report	 38
4.10	Summary	 40
4.11	Exercises	 41

5 STATIC RELATIONSHIPS 43

5.1	Force systems and equilibrium	 43
5.1.1	Terminology	 43
5.1.2	Force systems	 44
5.1.3	Equilibrium	 45
5.1.4	Overall stability	 45
5.1.5	Supports	 47
5.1.6	Hinges	 50
5.1.7	Stress resultants	 51
5.2	Stresses	 53
5.2.1	Terminology	 53
5.2.2	Uniaxial stress state	 53
5.2.3	Coplanar stress states	 54
5.2.4	Three-dimensional stress states	 57
5.3	Differential structural elements	 61
5.3.1	Straight bars	 61
5.3.2	Bars in single curvature	 62
5.4	Summary	 68
5.5	Exercises	 69

6 KINEMATIC RELATIONSHIPS 71

6.1	Terminology	 71
6.2	Coplanar deformation	 72
6.3	Three-dimensional deformation state	 74
6.4	Summary	 76
6.5	Exercises	 77

7 CONSTITUTIVE RELATIONSHIPS 79

7.1	Terminology	 79
7.2	Linear elastic behaviour	 81
7.3	Perfectly plastic behaviour	 83
7.3.1	Uniaxial stress state	 83
7.3.2	Three-dimensional stress states	 84
7.3.3	Yield conditions	 85
7.4	Time-dependent behaviour	 90
7.4.1	Shrinkage	 90
7.4.2	Creep and relaxation	 91
7.5	Thermal deformations	 94
7.6	Fatigue	 94
7.6.1	General	 94
7.6.2	S-N curves	 95
7.6.3	Damage accumulation under fatigue loads	 96
7.7	Summary	 98
7.8	Exercises	 99

8	ENERGY METHODS	101	11	STRESS RESULTANTS AND STATE DIAGRAMS	159
8.1	Introductory example	101	11.1	General	159
8.1.1	Statically determinate system	101	11.2	Hinged frameworks	160
8.1.2	Statically indeterminate system	103	11.2.1	Hinged girders	161
8.1.3	Work equation	104	11.2.2	Hinged arches and frames	163
8.1.4	Commentary	105	11.2.3	Stiffened beams with intermediate hinges	165
8.2	Variables and operators	105	11.3	Trusses	166
8.2.1	Introduction	105	11.3.1	Prerequisites and structural topology	166
8.2.2	Plane framed structures	107	11.3.2	Methods of calculation	169
8.2.3	Spatial framed structures	109	11.3.3	Joint equilibrium	169
8.2.4	Coplanar stress states	110	11.3.4	CREMONA diagram	171
8.2.5	Coplanar strain state	111	11.3.5	RITTER method of sections	172
8.2.6	Slabs	111	11.3.6	The kinematic method	173
8.2.7	Three-dimensional continua	113	11.4	Summary	174
8.2.8	Commentary	114	11.5	Exercises	175
8.3	The principle of virtual work	115	12	INFLUENCE LINES	177
8.3.1	Virtual force and deformation variables	115	12.1	General	177
8.3.2	The principle of virtual deformations	115	12.2	Determining influence lines by means of equilibrium conditions	178
8.3.3	The principle of virtual forces	115	12.3	Kinematic determination of influence lines	179
8.3.4	Commentary	116	12.4	Summary	183
8.4	Elastic systems	118	12.5	Exercises	183
8.4.1	Hyperelastic materials	118	13	ELEMENTARY DEFORMATIONS	185
8.4.2	Conservative systems	119	13.1	General	185
8.4.3	Linear elastic systems	125	13.2	Bending and normal force	185
8.5	Approximation methods	128	13.2.1	Stresses and strains	185
8.5.1	Introduction	128	13.2.2	Principal axes	187
8.5.2	The RITZ method	129	13.2.3	Stress calculation	189
8.5.3	The GALERKIN method	132	13.2.4	Composite cross-sections	190
8.6	Summary	134	13.2.5	Thermal deformations	192
8.7	Exercises	135	13.2.6	Planar bending of curved bars	193
			13.2.7	Practical advice	194
III	LINEAR ANALYSIS OF FRAMED STRUCTURES		13.3	Shear forces	194
9	STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS AND TOPOLOGY	137	13.3.1	Approximation for prismatic bars subjected to pure bending	194
9.1	General	137	13.3.2	Approximate coplanar stress state	196
9.2	Modelling of structures	137	13.3.3	Thin-wall cross-sections	197
9.3	Discretised structural models	140	13.3.4	Shear centre	199
9.3.1	Description of the static system	140	13.4	Torsion	200
9.3.2	Joint equilibrium	141	13.4.1	Circular cross-sections	200
9.3.3	Static determinacy	142	13.4.2	General cross-sections	201
9.3.4	Kinematic derivation of the equilibrium matrix	144	13.4.3	Thin-wall hollow cross-sections	204
9.4	Summary	147	13.4.4	Warping torsion	207
9.5	Exercises	147	13.5	Summary	216
10	DETERMINING THE FORCES	149	13.6	Exercises	218
10.1	General	149	14	SINGLE DEFORMATIONS	221
10.2	Investigating selected free bodies	150	14.1	General	221
10.3	Joint equilibrium	154	14.2	The work theorem	222
10.4	The kinematic method	156	14.2.1	Introductory example	222
10.5	Summary	158	14.2.2	General formulation	223
10.6	Exercises	158	14.2.3	Calculating the passive work integrals	223
			14.2.4	Systematic procedure	226

14.3	Applications	226	17.3.2	Rotational transformation	285
14.4	MAXWELL's theorem	230	17.3.3	Algorithm for the direct stiffness method	286
14.5	Summary	231	17.4	The slope-deflection method	290
14.6	Exercises	231	17.4.1	General	290
15	DEFORMATION DIAGRAMS	233	17.4.2	Basic states and member end moments	292
15.1	General	233	17.4.3	Equilibrium conditions	293
15.2	Differential equations for straight bar elements	233	17.4.4	Applications	294
15.2.1	In-plane loading	233	17.4.5	Restraints	298
15.2.2	General loading	235	17.4.6	Influence lines	303
15.2.3	The effect of shear forces	235	17.4.7	CROSS method of moment distribution	305
15.2.4	Creep, shrinkage and thermal deformations	235	17.5	Summary	309
15.2.5	Curved bar axes	235	17.6	Exercises	310
15.3	Integration methods	236	18	CONTINUOUS MODELS	311
15.3.1	Analytical integration	236	18.1	General	311
15.3.2	MOHR's analogy	238	18.2	Bar extension	311
15.5	Exercises	243	18.2.1	Practical examples	311
16	THE FORCE METHOD	245	18.2.2	Analytical model	312
16.1	General	245	18.2.3	Residual stresses	314
16.2	Structural behaviour of statically indeterminate systems	245	18.2.4	Restraints	315
16.2.1	Overview	245	18.2.5	Bond	316
16.2.2	Statically determinate system	246	18.2.6	Summary	320
16.2.3	System with one degree of static indeterminacy	247	18.3	Beams in shear	321
16.2.4	System with two degrees of static indeterminacy	249	18.3.1	Practical examples	321
16.2.5	In-depth analysis of system with one degree of static indeterminacy	250	18.3.2	Analytical model	321
16.2.6	In-depth analysis of system with two degrees of static indeterminacy	253	18.3.3	Multi-storey frame	321
16.3	Classic presentation of the force method	254	18.3.4	VIERENDEEL girder	323
16.3.1	General procedure	254	18.3.5	Sandwich panels	324
16.3.2	Commentary	255	18.3.6	Summary	326
16.3.3	Deformations	257	18.4	Beams in bending	326
16.3.4	Influence lines	259	18.4.1	General	326
16.4	Applications	262	18.4.2	Analytical model	327
16.5	Summary	272	18.4.3	Restraints	327
16.6	Exercises	274	18.4.4	Elastic foundation	329
17	THE DISPLACEMENT METHOD	277	18.4.5	Summary	332
17.1	Independent bar end variables	277	18.5	Combined shear and bending response	333
17.1.1	General	277	18.5.1	General	333
17.1.2	Member stiffness relationship	277	18.5.2	Shear wall - frame systems	334
17.1.3	Actions on bars	278	18.5.3	Shear wall connection	338
17.1.4	Algorithm for the displacement method	280	18.5.4	Dowelled beams	342
17.2	Complete bar end variables	281	18.5.5	Summary	344
17.2.1	General	281	18.6	Arches	345
17.2.2	Member stiffness relationship	282	18.6.1	General	345
17.2.3	Actions on bars	283	18.6.2	Analytical model	345
17.2.4	Support force variables	283	18.6.3	Applications	346
17.3	The direct stiffness method	284	18.6.4	Summary	350
17.3.1	Incidence transformation	284	18.7	Annular structures	350
			18.7.1	General	350
			18.7.2	Analytical model	351
			18.7.3	Applications	352
			18.7.4	Edge disturbances in cylindrical shells	353
			18.7.5	Summary	354
			18.8	Cables	354
			18.8.1	General	354
			18.8.2	Analytical model	355
			18.8.3	Inextensible cables	357

18.8.4	Extensible cables	358
18.8.5	Axial stiffness of laterally loaded cables	360
18.8.6	Summary	360
18.9	Combined cable-type and bending response	361
18.9.1	Analytical model	361
18.9.2	Bending-resistant ties	362
18.9.3	Suspended roofs and stress ribbons	363
18.9.4	Suspension bridges	368
18.9.5	Summary	368
18.10	Exercises	369

19 DISCRETISED MODELS 371

19.1	General	371
19.2	The force method	372
19.2.1	Complete and global bar end forces	372
19.2.2	Member flexibility relation	372
19.2.3	Actions on bars	374
19.2.4	Algorithm for the force method	374
19.2.5	Comparison with the classic force method	376
19.2.6	Practical application	376
19.2.7	Reduced degrees of freedom	376
19.2.8	Supplementary remarks	379
19.3	Introduction to the finite element method	381
19.3.1	Basic concepts	381
19.3.2	Element matrices	381
19.3.3	Bar element rigid in shear	381
19.3.4	Shape functions	385
19.3.5	Commentary	386
19.4	Summary	386
19.5	Exercises	387

IV NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS OF FRAMED STRUCTURES

20 ELASTIC-PLASTIC SYSTEMS 389

20.1	General	389
20.2	Truss with one degree of static indeterminacy	389
20.2.1	Single-parameter loading	389
20.2.2	Dual-parameter loading and generalisation	395
20.3	Beams in bending	398
20.3.1	Moment-curvature diagrams	398
20.3.2	Simply supported beams	399
20.3.3	Continuous beams	403
20.3.4	Frames	404
20.3.5	Commentary	405
20.4	Summary	406
20.5	Exercises	407

21 LIMIT ANALYSIS 409

21.1	General	409
21.2	Upper- and lower-bound theorems	410
21.2.1	Basic concepts	410
21.2.2	Lower-bound theorem	410
21.2.3	Upper-bound theorem	411
21.2.4	Compatibility theorem	411

21.2.5	Consequences of the upper- and lower-bound theorems	411
21.3	Static and kinematic methods	412
21.3.1	General	412
21.3.2	Simply supported beams	413
21.3.3	Continuous beams	415
21.3.4	Plane frames	416
21.3.5	Plane frames subjected to transverse loads	421
21.4	Plastic strength of materials	426
21.4.1	General	426
21.4.2	Skew bending	426
21.4.3	Bending and normal force	428
21.4.4	Bending and torsion	432
21.4.5	Bending and shear force	434
21.5	Shakedown and limit loads	435
21.6	Dimensioning for minimum weight	437
21.6.1	General	437
21.6.2	Linear objective function	438
21.6.3	FOULKES mechanisms	438
21.6.4	Commentary	440
21.7	Numerical methods	441
21.7.1	The force method	441
21.7.2	Limit load program	442
21.7.3	Optimum design	444
21.8	Summary	446
21.9	Exercises	447

22 STABILITY 449

22.1	General	449
22.2	Elastic buckling	449
22.2.1	Column deflection curve	449
22.2.2	Bifurcation problems	453
22.2.3	Approximation methods	454
22.2.4	Further considerations	460
22.2.5	Slope-deflection method	465
22.2.6	Stiffness matrices	469
22.3	Elastic-plastic buckling	471
22.3.1	Concentrically loaded columns	471
22.3.2	Eccentrically loaded columns	474
22.3.3	Limit loads of frames according to second-order theory	477
22.4	Flexural-torsional buckling and lateral buckling	480
22.4.1	Basic concepts	480
22.4.2	Concentric loading	482
22.4.3	Eccentric loading in the strong plane	483
22.4.4	General loading	485
22.5	Summary	488
22.6	Exercises	489

V PLATES AND SHELLS

23 PLATES 491

23.1	General	491
23.2	Elastic plates	491
23.2.1	Stress function	491

23.2.2	Polar coordinates	493	25.2.1	Sawtooth roofs	588
23.2.3	Approximating functions for displacement components	496	25.2.2	Barrel vaults	589
23.3	Reinforced concrete plate elements	496	25.2.3	Commentary	593
23.3.1	Orthogonal reinforcement	496	25.3	Non-prismatic folded plates	594
23.3.2	General reinforcement	500	25.4	Summary	594
23.4	Static method	501	25.5	Exercises	594
23.4.1	General	501	26	SHELLS	595
23.4.2	Truss models	501	26.1	General	595
23.4.3	Discontinuous stress fields	505	26.2	Membrane theory for surfaces of revolution	596
23.4.4	Stringer-panel model	511	26.2.1	Symmetrical loading	596
23.5	Kinematic method	512	26.2.2	Asymmetric loading	600
23.5.1	Applications in reinforced concrete	512	26.3	Membrane theory for cylindrical shells	601
23.5.2	Applications in geotechnical engineering	517	26.3.1	General relationships	601
23.6	Summary	520	26.3.2	Pipes and barrel vaults	602
23.7	Exercises	522	26.3.3	Polygonal domes	604
24	SLABS	525	26.4	Membrane forces in shells of any form	606
24.1	Basic concepts	525	26.4.1	Equilibrium conditions	606
24.1.1	General	525	26.4.2	Elliptical problems	607
24.1.2	Static relationships	525	26.4.3	Hyperbolic problems	608
24.1.3	Kinematic relationships	531	26.5	Bending theory for rotationally symmetric cylindrical shells	613
24.2	Linear elastic slabs rigid in shear with small deflections	533	26.6	Bending theory for shallow shells	615
24.2.1	Fundamental relationships	533	26.6.1	Basic concepts	615
24.2.2	Methods of solution	535	26.6.2	Differential equation for deflection	616
24.2.3	Rotationally symmetric problems	536	26.6.3	Circular cylindrical shells subjected to asymmetric loading	617
24.2.4	Rectangular slabs	539	26.7	Bending theory for symmetrically loaded surfaces of revolution	620
24.2.5	Flat slabs	543	26.7.1	Basic concepts	620
24.2.6	Energy methods	546	26.7.2	Differential equation for deflection	620
24.3	Yield conditions	547	26.7.3	Spherical shells	621
24.3.1	VON MISES and TRESCA yield conditions	547	26.7.4	Approximation for shells of any form	623
24.3.2	Reinforced concrete slabs	550	26.8	Stability	623
24.4	Static method	557	26.8.1	General	623
24.4.1	Rotationally symmetric problems	557	26.8.2	Bifurcation loads	624
24.4.2	Moment fields for rectangular slabs	560	26.8.3	Commentary	626
24.4.3	Strip method	563	26.9	Summary	627
24.5	Kinematic method	567	26.10	Exercises	628
24.5.1	Introductory example	567	APPENDIX		
24.5.2	Calculating the dissipation work	568	A1	DEFINITIONS	631
24.5.3	Applications	569	A2	NOTATION	637
24.6	The influence of shear forces	572	A3	PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS	643
24.6.1	Elastic slabs	572	A4	GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES OF SECTIONS	645
24.6.2	Rotationally symmetric VON MISES slabs	574	A5	MATRIX ALGEBRA	649
24.6.3	Reinforced concrete slabs	575	A5.1	Terminology	649
24.7	Membrane action	575	A5.2	Algorithms	650
24.7.1	Elastic slabs	575	A5.3	Linear equations	652
24.7.2	Perfectly plastic slab strip	577	A5.4	Quadratic forms	652
24.7.3	Reinforced concrete slabs	578	A5.5	Eigenvalue problems	653
24.8	Summary	581	A5.6	Matrix norms and condition numbers	654
24.9	Exercises	583			
25	FOLDED PLATES	587			
25.1	General	587			
25.2	Prismatic folded plates	588			

A6 TENSOR CALCULUS 655

- A6.1 Introduction 655
- A6.2 Terminology 655
- A6.3 Vectors and tensors 656
- A6.4 Principal axes of symmetric second-order
tensors 658
- A6.5 Tensor fields and integral theorems 658

A7 CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS 661

- A7.1 Extreme values of continuous functions 661
- A7.2 Terminology 661
- A7.3 The simplest problem of calculus of
variations 662
- A7.4 Second variation 663
- A7.5 Several functions required 664
- A7.6 Higher-order derivatives 664
- A7.7 Several independent variables 665
- A7.8 Variational problems with side conditions 665
- A7.9 The RITZ method 666
- A7.10 Natural boundary conditions 667

REFERENCES 669

NAME INDEX 671

SUBJECT INDEX 673

EXAMPLECOLLECTION

Example 3.1	Service criteria agreement for industrial building XY in Z	15
Example 3.2	Basis of design for industrial building XY in Z	19
Example 5.1	Cantilever retaining wall	45
Example 5.2	Support envelope	47
Example 5.3	Steel plate	56
Example 5.4	Stress tensor	59
Example 5.5	Hoop stress formula	63
Example 5.6	Thrust line	63
Example 5.7	Three-hinged arch	65
Example 5.8	Beam as circular arc	67
Example 6.1	Measuring grid	73
Example 7.1	Time-independent restraint	93
Example 7.2	Time-dependent restraint	93
Example 7.3	Prestressing	93
Example 7.4	Loss of prestress	93
Example 7.5	Fatigue of reinforcing steel	97
Example 8.1	Determining internal force variables	116
Example 8.2	Determining external deformation variables	116
Example 8.3	Geometric and material non-linearity	117
Example 8.4	Tie	119
Example 8.5	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	121
Example 8.6	Geometric non-linearity	122
Example 8.7	Cantilever beam	122
Example 8.8	Cantilever beam	124
Example 8.9	Calibration ring	124
Example 8.10	Simply supported beam	126
Example 8.11	Simply supported beam	128
Example 8.12	Tie	129
Example 8.13	Cantilever beam	130
Example 8.14	Ideal cantilever column	130
Example 8.15	Cantilever beam column	131
Example 8.16	Simply supported beam column	133
Example 10.1	Plane truss	152
Example 10.2	Plane frame	153
Example 10.3	Plane truss	154
Example 10.4	Plane frame	154
Example 10.5	Three-hinged arch	156
Example 10.6	Plane frame	157
Example 11.1	Hinged girder	162
Example 11.2	Three-hinged frame with tie	164
Example 11.3	Plane truss	169
Example 11.4	Plane truss	171
Example 11.5	Plane truss	172
Example 11.6	Plane truss	172
Example 12.1	Hinged girder	180
Example 12.2	Three-hinged arch	180
Example 12.3	Plane truss	182
Example 13.1	Unequal leg angle	188
Example 13.2	Rectangular cross-section – kern	190
Example 13.3	Reinforced concrete slab – bending	191
Example 13.4	Reinforced concrete slab – shrinkage	192
Example 13.5	Rectangular cross-section – shear stress distribution	195
Example 13.6	Wide-flange beam	197
Example 13.7	Unequal leg angle	198

Example 13.8	Elliptical bar	202
Example 13.9	Narrow rectangular cross-section	203
Example 13.10	Reinforced concrete box girder	205
Example 13.11	Twin-cell box girder	206
Example 13.12	Twisted I beam – concentrated load	208
Example 13.13	Twisted I beam – distributed load	209
Example 13.14	Reinforced concrete beam	213
Example 14.1	SIMPSON's rule	225
Example 14.2	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	226
Example 14.3	Hinged girder	227
Example 14.4	Cantilever beam	228
Example 14.5	Cranked cantilever beam	228
Example 14.6	Plane truss	229
Example 14.7	Rectangular cross-section – area shear factor	229
Example 14.8	Thin-wall hollow cross-section	230
Example 15.1	Simply supported beam	236
Example 15.2	Beam fixed at both ends	236
Example 15.3	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	237
Example 15.4	Beam with spring restraint	239
Example 15.5	Cantilever beam	239
Example 15.6	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	240
Example 15.7	Hinged girder	240
Example 16.1	Plane frame	257
Example 16.2	Bar fixed at both ends	258
Example 16.3	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	259
Example 16.4	Continuous beam	260
Example 16.5	Beam fixed at both ends	262
Example 16.6	Continuous beam of infinite length	263
Example 16.7	Continuous beam – support settlement	267
Example 16.8	Arch fixed at both ends	268
Example 16.9	Beam on skew supports	269
Example 16.10	Beam as circular arc	270
Example 16.11	Considering subsystems	271
Example 17.1	Cantilever beam rigid in shear	280
Example 17.2	Cantilever beam rigid in shear	283
Example 17.3	Plane frame	287
Example 17.4	Non-sway frame	294
Example 17.5	Grandstand frame	295
Example 17.6	Multi-storey sway frame	296
Example 17.7	Multi-storey non-sway frame	297
Example 17.8	Non-sway frame – settlement of supports	299
Example 17.9	Non-sway frame – uniform rise in temperature	299
Example 17.10	Non-sway frame – temperature difference	301
Example 17.11	Sway frame – uniform rise in temperature	301
Example 17.12	Three-span frame	304
Example 17.13	Continuous beam	306
Example 18.1	Bar restrained at both ends	313
Example 18.2	Bar with spring restraint at one end	313
Example 18.3	Reinforced concrete column – change in temperature	314
Example 18.4	Reinforced concrete column – shrinkage	314
Example 18.5	Pulling out a reinforcing bar	317
Example 18.6	Multi-storey frame	322
Example 18.7	Externally statically indeterminate VIERENDEEL girder	323
Example 18.8	Plastic panel with bonded sheet steel outer faces	325
Example 18.9	Simply supported beam – sinusoidal line load	327
Example 18.10	Bar fixed at both ends – linear temperature gradient	328

Example 18.11	High-rise building	335
Example 18.12	High-rise building with outrigger	337
Example 18.13	Shear wall	340
Example 18.14	Shear wall – influence of wall extensions	341
Example 18.15	Two-hinged arch – uniformly distributed load	347
Example 18.16	Two-hinged arch – sinusoidal load	348
Example 18.17	Two-hinged arch – constant load segment by segment	349
Example 18.18	Displacement of the abutments to a concrete arch	350
Example 18.19	Stiffened pipe subjected to internal pressure	354
Example 18.20	Single strand – uniformly distributed load	359
Example 18.21	Single strand – thermal action	359
Example 18.22	Single strand – prestress	359
Example 18.23	Single strand – constant loads on both halves of the span	360
Example 18.24	Cable with wheel load	362
Example 18.25	Stresses in stay cable	363
Example 18.26	Suspended roof – uniformly distributed load	364
Example 18.27	Suspended roof – asymmetric imposed load	365
Example 18.28	Stress ribbon – asymmetric imposed load	365
Example 18.29	Suspended roof – central point load	367
Example 18.30	Stress ribbon – thermal action	367
Example 19.1	Plane frame	374
Example 19.2	Orthogonalised restraint states	379
Example 19.3	Beam with one degree of static indeterminacy	384
Example 21.1	Unequal leg angle	427
Example 21.2	Two-span beam – repeated variable actions	436
Example 21.3	Plane frame	442
Example 21.4	Plane frame – static program	443
Example 21.5	Plane frame – kinematic program	444
Example 21.6	Plane frame – minimum weight	445
Example 22.1	Beam column	451
Example 22.2	Cantilever column	455
Example 22.3	Ideal column	455
Example 22.4	Beam column	456
Example 22.5	Ideal column	456
Example 22.6	Ideal column with one degree of static indeterminacy	457
Example 22.7	Column with abrupt change in stiffness	458
Example 22.8	Load applied to top of cantilever column	458
Example 22.9	Statically determinate frame	459
Example 22.10	Elastically supported inclined leg frame	463
Example 22.11	Two-hinged frame	467
Example 22.12	Non-sway frame	468
Example 22.13	Sway frame	468
Example 22.14	Elastically restrained vertical cantilever	468
Example 22.14	Vertical cantilever	477
Example 22.15	Lateral buckling of an I section	486
Example 22.16	Lateral buckling – shifting the point of load application	486
Example 23.1	Cantilever beam	492
Example 23.2	Cylindrical pipe	495
Example 23.3	Beam in the form of a circular arc	495
Example 23.4	Uniaxial tension	498
Example 23.5	Vertical embankment	505
Example 23.6	Strip foundation on TRESCA half-space	506
Example 23.7	Curtailed reinforcement in tension chord	514
Example 23.8	Web crushing failure	516
Example 23.9	Dissipation at hyperbolic slip line	517
Example 23.10	Strip foundation on TRESCA half-space	519

Example 24.1	Square slab supported at the corners	528
Example 24.2	Square slab supported in the centre	529
Example 24.3	Rectangular slab supported at the corners	529
Example 24.4	Simply supported square slab	547
Example 24.5	Fixed square slab	547
Example 24.6	Simply supported circular slab	549
Example 24.7	Fixed circular slab	550
Example 24.8	Reinforced concrete slab – dimensioning for bending	553
Example 24.9	Slab element subjected to pure twist	554
Example 24.10	Fixed circular slab	558
Example 24.11	Annular slab fixed at its inner edge and loaded on its outer edge by m_u	558
Example 24.12	Simply supported rectangular slab	561
Example 24.13	Square slab simply supported along two adjacent edges	561
Example 24.14	Simply supported regular polygonal slabs	561
Example 24.15	Cantilever slab with point load on edge	563
Example 24.16	Fixed rectangular slab	569
Example 24.17	Square slab simply supported along two adjacent edges	569
Example 24.18	Fixed square slab	570
Example 24.19	Slab strip subjected to a central point load	570
Example 24.20	Cantilever slab with point load on edge	570
Example 24.21	Flat slab	571
Example 24.22	Semi-infinite rectangular slab subjected to edge loads	573
Example 24.23	Buckling of simply supported rectangular slabs	575
Example 24.24	Rectangular slab with initial deformation	576
Example 24.25	Square membrane	577
Example 25.1	Barrel vault – membrane theory	590
Example 25.2	Barrel vault – bending theory	591
Example 26.1	Spherical shells	597
Example 26.2	Spherical tanks	598
Example 26.3	Conical shell	598
Example 26.4	Spherical shell – dead load	599
Example 26.5	Spherical shell – wind pressure	600
Example 26.6	Conical shell – wind pressure	601
Example 26.7	Dome with circular cylindrical sectors – self-weight	605
Example 26.8	Pipe subjected to end loads	613
Example 26.9	Cylindrical tank	614
Example 26.10	Pipe subjected to thermal action	614
Example 26.11	Chimney subjected to wind pressure	618
Example 26.12	Fixed spherical shell subjected to internal pressure	622
Example 26.13	Pressure vessel	622
Example A7.1	Cantilever beam rigid in shear	666
Example A7.2	Cantilever beam – uniformly distributed load plus load at free end	667

1 THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THEORY OF STRUCTURES

1.1 General

Theory of structures is a subdiscipline of applied mechanics which is configured to suit the needs of civil and structural engineers. The purpose of theory of structures is to present systematically the knowledge about the behaviour of structures at rest, to expand that knowledge and to prepare it for practical applications. It forms the basis for the design of every new structure and the examination of every existing one.

The terms and methods used in the theory of structures enable the engineer to adopt a uniform approach not tied to any particular type of construction (concrete, steel, composite, timber or masonry). With the advent of the computer in the third quarter of the 20th century, this approach gradually became *structural mechanics*, the discipline to which theory of structures belongs today.

At the heart of every theory of structures exercise there is a *structural model*, which is obtained through isolation and idealisation and takes into account the geometry of the structure, the properties of the construction materials and the possible actions. Determining the action effects, i. e. the structure's responses to the actions, is carried out with the help of *analytical models* that link the governing force and deformation variables via equilibrium and compatibility conditions plus constitutive equations.

1.2 The basis of theory of structures

Structural behaviour is expressed in the form of *internal* and *external force* and *deformation variables* (loads and stresses plus displacements and strains). Static relationships (equilibrium conditions and static boundary conditions, see chapter 5) link the force variables, kinematic relationships (kinematic relationships and boundary conditions, see chapter 6) link the deformation variables, and constitutive relationships (see chapter 7) link the internal force and deformation variables. The most general statements within the scope of theory of structures are obtained when the internal and external force and deformation variables are rigorously associated in the form of *work-associated variables* (see chapter 8) [1].

Statics is based on three fundamental principles of mechanics. According to the *principle of virtual work*, a (statically admissible) force state (equilibrium set of force variables) fulfilling the static relationships in conjunction with a (kinematically admissible) deformation state (compatibility set of deformation variables) fulfilling the kinematic relationships does not perform any work. Added to this are the *reaction principle* (for every force there is a equal and opposite reaction with the same direction of action) and the *free-body principle* (every part removed from a system in equilibrium undergoing compatible deformation is itself in equilibrium and undergoes compatible deformation).

Looking beyond its link with mechanics, theory of structures has a special significance for *structural engineering* (see chapters 3 and 4). It is a tool for assessing the stability, strength and stiffness of a structure that either exists or is being designed. This application of theory of structures manifests itself in specific methods developed for ascertaining structural behaviour in general and (numerical) treatment in individual cases.

Without doubt, many are convinced that the calculations should determine the dimensions unequivocally and conclusively. However, in the light of the impossibility of taking into account all secondary circumstances, every calculation constitutes only a basis for the design engineer, who thus has to grapple with those secondary circumstances...

A totally simple form of calculation alone is therefore possible and sufficient.

Robert MAILLART (1938)

1.3 Methods of theory of structures

The principle of virtual work can be expressed as the principle of virtual deformations or the principle of virtual forces. The systematic application of these two principles leads to a series of *dual* kinematic or static *methods*. On the kinematic side it is important to mention LAND's method for determining influence lines (section 12.3), the displacement method for solving statically indeterminate framed structures (chapter 17 and section 19.3) and the kinematic method of limit analysis (sections 21.3 and 21.7). On the static side we have the work theorem for determining single deformations (section 14.2), the force method for solving statically indeterminate framed structures (chapter 16 and section 19.2) and the static method of limit analysis (sections 21.3 and 21.7).

Assuming linear elastic behaviour and small deformations leads to linear statics, in which all the force and deformation variables may be superposed. This possibility of superposition is used extensively in theory of structures, especially in the force and displacement methods. Introducing unknown force or deformation variables and superposing their effects on those of external actions results in sets of linear equations for the unknowns.

However, the *superposition law* no longer applies in the case of non-linear materials problems (chapters 20 and 21) and non-linear geometrical problems (chapter 22). In such instances an (incremental) *iterative procedure* is generally necessary. Errors caused by simplifications at the beginning are evaluated step by step and successively reduced through appropriate corrections.

Analogies can often be used to make complex situations more accessible, or to reduce them to simpler, known situations. Examples of this are the membrane analogy (section 13.4.2) and the sand hill analogy (section 21.4.4) for dealing with elastic or plastic torsion problems, and MOHR's analogy for determining deformation diagrams (section 15.3.2). Combined warping and pure torsion problems (section 13.4.4) can be approached in a similar way to combined shear and bending problems (section 18.5.2) or bending problems in beams with tension (section 18.9). Edge disturbance problems in cylindrical shells (sections 18.7.4 and 26.5) can be reduced to the theory of beams on elastic foundation (section 18.4.4); this theory is also useful for approximating edge disturbance problems in spherical (section 26.7.3) and other shells (section 26.7.4). Furthermore, plates (chapter 23) can be idealised as plane trusses, slabs (chapter 24) as grillages, and folded plates (chapter 25) and shells (chapter 26) as space trusses or spatial frameworks

The development of powerful numerical methods has led to the methods of *graphical statics* (section 10.1) gradually losing the importance they had in the past. However, graphical aids still represent an unbeatable way of illustrating the flow of the forces in structures, e. g. with thrust lines (section 5.3.2, Figs. 17.19 and 21.7) or truss models (section 23.4.2). They represent an indispensable foundation for conceptual design (section 3.2) and the detailing of structural members and their connections.

The development of numerical methods has also brought about a change in the significance of *experimental statics*. From the 1920s through to the 1970s, loading tests on scale models made from celluloid, acrylic sheet and other materials were central to understanding the elastic loadbearing behaviour of complex structures. Such tests are no longer significant today. What continues to be important, however, is scientific testing to verify theoretical models, primarily in conjunction with non-linear phenomena, new materials or forms of construction and accidental actions. In structural design, physical models are not only useful for form-finding and detailing, but also very helpful when assessing the quality of the structural behaviour of the design. During the dimensioning, tests are a sensible backup if, for example, there are no appropriate analytical models available or a large number of identical structural members is required.

Finally, specific measurements during and after execution enable extremely valuable comparisons with the predicted behaviour of a structure – a source of experience that is all too often neglected.

In the *numerical methods* of theory of structures, it is the *finite element method* (FEM) that plays the leading role (section 19.3). These days FEM is the basis of almost all structural calculations. Users have extremely powerful tools at their disposal in the shape of appropriate modern computer programs. But to be able to deploy such programs responsibly, designers should at least understand the basics of the algorithms on which they are based. First and foremost, however, the engineer's knowledge of theory of structures should enable him or her to check the computer output critically. The crucial thing here is the ability to be able to approximate complex issues by reducing them to simple, understandable problems. Adequate training in the classical methods of theory of structures, which this book aims at, will supply the foundation for that ability.

1.4 Statics and structural dynamics

When it comes to dynamic problems, the principle of virtual work has to be formulated taking into account *inertial forces* (proportional to acceleration): the motion in a system is such that at any point in time the internal, external and inertial forces are in equilibrium. Appropriate additional terms in the equilibrium conditions turn them into *equations of motion*, and can be included, for example, within the scope of the finite element method by way of local and global *mass matrices*. Instead of a set of linear equations, this leads to a set of simultaneous ordinary second-order differential equations for the (time-dependent) node displacement parameters. Assuming constant coefficients, the differential equations can be decoupled according to the method of *modal analysis*. The associated eigenvalue problem leads to a solution in the form of superposed *natural vibrations*.

Generally, damping forces must also be taken into account in the equations of motion. In order that the differential equations remain linear, it is usual to assume that these forces are proportional to velocity. And so that a modal analysis remains possible with decoupled natural vibrations, we use a so-called *modal damping* for simplicity.

Structural dynamics is essentially readily accessible via statics. However, adding the dimension of time makes a more in-depth examination necessary so that dynamic processes become just as familiar as static phenomena. In the end, engineers prepared to make the effort obtain a broader view of theory of structures.

1.5 Theory of structures and structural engineering

For *structural engineering*, theory of structures is an ancillary discipline, like materials science. The knowledge and experience of practising design engineers in this and other relevant special subjects, e. g. geotechnics and construction technology, must be adequate for the complexity and significance of the jobs to which they are assigned. Furthermore, appropriate practical experience with the respective types of construction is an essential requirement for managing the design and execution of construction projects.

Theory of structures plays a role in all phases of conventional project development, from the preliminary design and tender design to the detail design, but in different ways, to suit the particular phase. Whereas for the conceptual design rough structural calculations are adequate, the subsequent phases require analyses of structural safety and serviceability that can be verified by others – and not just for the final condition of the structure, but especially for critical conditions during construction.

Besides new-build projects, the conservation and often the deconstruction of structures also throw up their share of interesting theory of structures problems. Frequently such tasks are far more demanding than those of new structures because fewer, if any, standards are available to help the engineer, and appraising the current condition of a structure is often difficult and associated with considerable uncertainties. The development of appropriate structural and actions models in such cases can be extremely tricky yet fascinating.

Looking beyond the immediate uses of structural design, there are various applications that can be handled with the methods of theory of structures, especially in mechanical engineering, shipbuilding and automotive manufacture, aerospace engineering, too. We are thus part of the great interdisciplinary field of *structural mechanics*.

2 BRIEF HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Apart from a few minor modifications, this chapter is based on an earlier essay by the author [19]. Readers who wish to find out more should consult references [10], [16], [32] and [33].

Until well into the 19th century, the practical experience of architects, builders and engineers far exceeded their theoretical knowledge. The scientifically founded knowledge of structural behaviour that prevails today had its beginnings in antiquity and the Middle Ages and evolved with the development of mechanics. However, it was not until the 18th century that the first attempts were made to use the new findings in practical construction.

We have to thank the Greek mathematician ARCHIMEDES (c. 287 – c. 212 BC) for the discovery of hydrostatic buoyancy and for formulating the lever principle for unequal straight levers subjected to vertical forces. Besides formulating theories for the functions of the “simple machines” lever, wedge, screw, pulley and wheel and axle, Archimedes is also credited with inventing technical artefacts such as the screw pump.

Jordanus DE NEMORE (c. 1200) is thought to have written various treatises that draw on the works of Greek scholars. But he also added new observations on the cranked lever and the inclined plane.

Leonardo DA VINCI (1452 – 1519) recognised the principle of resolving a force into two components, and also applied the term “moment” (force $\times$ lever arm) to skew forces. He also investigated the breakage of a rope due to its own weight (specific strength), the bending of beams and columns and the equilibrium and failure mechanisms of arches. His extremely imaginative and diverse, yet unsystematic, insights went apparently largely unnoticed during his lifetime.

Simon STEVIN’s (1548 – 1620) approach to the concept of moments and the resolution of forces into components cannot be faulted. He worked on many practical applications and provided very vivid descriptions, e. g. the funicular polygon and the “wreath of spheres” experiment to prove the law of the inclined plane.

Pierre VARIGNON (1654 – 1722) identified the connection between the force and funicular polygons and formulated the theorem of the summability of moments.

Giovanni POLENI (1683 – 1761) analysed the load transfer of the 42m span of the dome to St. Peter’s in Rome by constructing the funicular polygon for the weights corresponding to the individual segments of the vaulting. He selected the funicular polygon that passed through the centres of the springing and crown joints and established that the inverted funicular polygon must lie within the arch profile. In 1743 POLENI was appointed to investigate the damage to the dome of St. Peter’s, just as one year before the three mathematicians Ruggiero Giuseppe BOŠCOVIĆ (1711 – 1787), Thomas LE SEUR (1703 – 1770) and François JACQUIER (1711 – 1788) had been commissioned to do. Based on the crack pattern observed, the three mathematicians analysed an assumed mechanism and hence determined a deficit in the resistance with respect to the thrust in the arch. They recommended adding further horizontal iron hoops (to resist the tension) around the dome to the three already in place. Although POLENI did not agree with the cause of the damage

as described by the mathematicians, he did agree with the proposed strengthening measures.

GALILEO Galilei (1564 – 1642) founded the discipline of strength of materials through his studies of the failure of the cantilever beam. Starting with the tensile test as a “thought experiment” and the associated question of the specific strength, he analysed the equilibrium of a cantilever beam as a cranked lever with its fulcrum at the bottom edge of the fixed-end cross-section. Applying similitude theory, he determined the failure load relationships of simple beam structures with different geometries. He realised that no structure can exceed a certain given size (maximum span) determined by the limits of strength and remarked that hollow cross-sections and cross-sections that vary over the length of the beam can make better use of the strength than prismatic, solid cross-sections.

Edmé MARIOTTE (1620 – 1684) and Pieter van MUSSCHENBROEK (1692 – 1761) carried out tensile and bending strength tests on various materials, the latter also buckling strength tests. Applying similitude theory, it became possible to design a beam. In the bending failure problem, MARIOTTE, like GALILEO, initially assumed that the cantilever beam rotates about the bottom edge of the fixed-end cross-section, but presumed a triangular distribution of the tensile force over the depth of the cross-section. In a further step, he introduced the “axe d’équilibre” (neutral axis) in the middle of the depth of the cross-section and distinguished between zones in tension and compression, with triangular distributions of the tensile and compressive forces above and below this axis. Instead of the theoretically correct reduction factor of 3 of GALILEO’s strength studies, he mistakenly arrived at a value of 1.5; his tests resulted in a reduction factor of about 2.

Antoine PARENT (1666 – 1716) recognised that the tensile and compressive forces due to bending must be equal in magnitude and that there are also shear forces acting on the cross-section. Based on MARIOTTE’s tests, PARENT positioned the neutral axis somewhat below the middle, i. e. at 45% of the depth of the cross-section, which when compared with GALILEO’s work leads to a reduction factor of 2.73 for an equal tensile strength.

Robert HOOKE (1635 – 1703) undertook experiments with springs and reached the conclusion that the forces in elastic bodies are proportional to the corresponding displacements. He also recognised that some of the fibres in a beam subjected to bending are pulled and hence extended and some are compressed and hence shortened. Further, he recommended giving arches the form of an inverted catenary.

Jacob BERNOULLI (1654 – 1705) investigated the deformation of elastic bars with the help of the infinitesimal calculus introduced by Isaac NEWTON (1643 – 1727) and Gottfried Wilhelm LEIBNIZ (1646 – 1716). He assumed that the cross-sections of the bar remain plane during the deformation and discovered that the change in curvature is proportional to the bending forces. However, as he was not yet aware of the stress concept, the integration of the internal forces over the cross-section, which is taken for granted today, is missing from his deductions.

The principle of virtual displacements, already used in a simple form by DE NEMORE, STEVIN and GALILEO, was stated in general form in 1717 by Johann BERNOULLI (1667 – 1748).

Following a proposal by Daniel BERNOULLI (1700 – 1782), Leonhard EULER (1707 – 1783) showed that Jacob BERNOULLI’s differential equation of the elastic curve corresponds to a variational problem. According to this, the integral of the squares of the curvatures over the length of the bar is a minimum; for homogeneous prismatic bars, this integral is proportional to the elastically stored deformation work. EULER’s detailed treatises on elastic curves led to the solution of the eigenvalue problems of buckling and laterally vibrating bars. Apart from the concept of hydrostatic

stress, we also have EULER to thank for the free-body principle at the root of all mechanics. This principle states that every free body separated with an imaginary cut from a body in equilibrium is itself in equilibrium; internal forces are thus externalised and can therefore be dealt with. Starting by considering the individual mass elements of a body, EULER formulated NEWTON's law of motion in the form of the theorem of linear momentum, and also postulated the theorem of angular momentum. Therefore, equilibrium conditions for forces and moments became special cases of the equations of motion.

The designation “engineer” had already been used in isolated cases in the Middle Ages to describe the builders of military apparatus and fortifications. The direct predecessors of civil engineers as we know them today were French engineering officers who were called upon to carry out civil as well as military tasks. At the suggestion of the most outstanding of these engineering officers, Sébastien le Prêtre de VAUBAN (1633 – 1707), the “Corps des ingénieurs du génie militaire” was set up in 1675. The “Corps des ingénieurs des ponts et chaussées” followed around 1720.

The French engineering officers received scientific, primarily mathematical, training at state schools. The “Ecole des ponts et chaussées” in Paris, founded in 1747 by Daniel Charles TRUDAINE (1703 – 1769) and reorganised in 1760 by Jean Rodolphe PERRONET (1708 – 1794), was at that time unique in Europe. The “Ecole polytechnique”, which opened in Paris in 1794, was followed by the polytechnic schools of Prague (1806), Vienna (1815), Karlsruhe (1825) and other cities.

PERRONET was primarily active as a builder of stone bridges. He reduced the widths of the piers in order to improve the flow cross-section, employed very shallow three-centred arches and introduced various other new ideas into the design and construction of such bridges.

Charles Augustin de COULOMB (1736 – 1806) was another French engineering officer. He set down his practical experience in the building of fortifications in the “Essai sur une application des règles de maximis et minimis à quelques problèmes de statique relatifs à l'architecture”, which was published in 1776. Based on the tensile tests of samples of stone, he determined the resistance to cleavage fracture per unit area, a property that he termed “cohesion”. Although shearing-off tests gave a somewhat larger resistance, COULOMB ignored this difference and, considering possible failure planes in masonry piers, introduced a friction resistance proportional to the normal compression on the failure plane. By varying the inclination of the failure plane, he discovered the smallest possible and hence critical ratio between compressive strength and cohesion. He proceeded in a similar way when investigating active and passive earth pressure problems and when determining the upper and lower limits for arch thrust. COULOMB also concluded the strength problem of the beam in bending. Using the example of the cantilever beam, he distinguished between internal forces normal to and parallel with the cross-section and formulated the equilibrium conditions for the free body separated by the cross-section being studied. In doing so, he assumed a generally non-linear distribution of the internal forces over the depth of the beam. For the special case of the rectangular cross-section with linear force distribution, as with GALILEO's strength studies, he obtained the right result with a reduction factor of 3.

Claude Louis Marie Henri NAVIER (1785 – 1836) was appointed professor at the “Ecole des ponts et chaussées” in 1819 and the “Ecole polytechnique” in 1831. It is him we have to thank for today's form of the differential equation for the beam in bending, with the modulus of elasticity of the construction material and the principal moment of inertia of the cross-section. His published lecture notes bring together the scattered knowledge of his predecessors in a form suitable for practical building applications. He solved numerous problems of static indeterminacy, investigated the buckling of elastic bars subjected to eccentric loads and also became involved with

suspension bridges and many other issues. As a design engineer, NAVIER also had to cope with setbacks: his Pont des Invalides in Paris, spanning 160 m across the Seine, was abandoned shortly before completion (1826) because of various difficulties encountered during construction.

Augustin Louis CAUCHY (1789 – 1857) abandoned the notion that the stress vector must be orthogonal to the surface of the section, which applies in hydrostatics, and established the concept of the stress tensor. He also introduced the strain tensor and recognised that the linear elastic theory of homogeneous isotropic materials requires two material constants. Important contributions to the ongoing expansion of elastic theory were supplied by Siméon Denis POISSON (1781 – 1840), Gabriel LAMÉ (1795 – 1870), Benoît Pierre Emile CLAPEYRON (1799 – 1864), Adhémar Jean Claude Barré de SAINT-VENANT (1797 – 1886) and others.

Karl CULMANN (1821 – 1881), a professor at Zurich Polytechnic, which had opened in 1855, established graphical statics, i. e. the geometric/graphic treatment of theory of structures problems which is especially suitable for trusses. The rigorous application of force and funicular polygons enabled him to reduce beam statics to cable statics and obtain a universally applicable method of integration by adding the closing line to the funicular polygon. Antonio Luigi Gaudenzio Giuseppe CREMONA (1830 – 1903), Maurice LÉVY (1838 – 1910) and Karl Wilhelm RITTER (1847 – 1906) were firm advocates of the use of graphical statics.

Emil WINKLER (1835 – 1888) made important contributions to the elastic theory foundations of theory of structures. He introduced the axial and shear stiffnesses of elastic bars, investigated thermal deformations, analysed the arch fixed on both sides, studied beams on elastic foundation and worked on how “stress curves” indicate the effects of travelling loads, for which Johann Jacob WEYRAUCH (1845 – 1917) coined the term influence line.

Otto Christian MOHR (1835 – 1918) discovered the analogy between line loads and bending moments on the one hand and curvatures and deflections of beams on the other, thus paving the way for the graphical determination of deflection curves. He introduced his circle diagrams for presenting general stress and strain conditions and proposed a universal failure hypothesis based on COULOMB’s approach. His studies of the secondary stresses in trusses, which are due to the fact that the connections between the members are actually rigid and not hinged as assumed in theory, gave him the idea of considering joint and bar rotations as unknowns. It was not until the first decades of the 20th century that this idea was exploited, in the form of the slope-deflection method for dealing with statically indeterminate systems.

James Clerk MAXWELL (1831 – 1879) regarded elastic trusses as machines working without energy losses and discovered that the displacement caused by a first unit force at the position and in the direction of a second unit force is equal to the displacement caused by the second unit force at the position and in the direction of the first unit force. This reciprocal theorem is a special case of the interaction relationship for linear elastic systems named after Enrico BETTI (1823 – 1892). According to this relationship, a first force system does the same work on the displacements of a second force system as the second system does on the displacements of the first. It is Carlo Alberto CASTIGLIANO (1847 – 1884) we have to thank for the theorem that the force variables in an elastic system are equal to the derivatives of the deformation work with respect to the corresponding deformation variables. Mathias KOENEN (1849 – 1924) transferred the work theorem for the displacement calculation, introduced by MOHR for trusses, to beams in bending. Friedrich ENGESSER (1848 – 1931) highlighted the difference between deformation work and complementary work and thus paved the way for the treatment of non-linear elastic systems in the theory of structures.

Heinrich Franz Bernhard MÜLLER-BRESLAU (1851 – 1925) placed the concept of work at the focus of the formulation of structural analysis theories and developed the force method for dealing with statically indeterminate systems. Robert LAND (1857 – 1899) created a method for determining influence lines based on a unit displacement imposed on the structural system at the position and in the direction of the relevant force variable. The development of the deformation method by Asger Skovgaard OSTENFELD (1866 – 1931) concluded the theory of elastic framed structures with small deformations.

The further evolution of the theory of structures in the 20th century primarily concerned plate and shell structures, stability theory, plastic theory and the development of computer-aided methods for analysing structures by means of discretised structural models.

3 DESIGN OF STRUCTURES

3.1 General

Fig. 3.1 [31] summarises the relationships between various design elements. The terminology in the figure is defined in appendix A1 (together with further specialist terminology that, generally, is highlighted in italics the first time it is used or explained in the text).

Fig. 3.1 applies to all *construction works* or their *structures* erected in the natural and built environments, i. e. all the structural members and all the subsoils that are necessary for their equilibrium and for retaining their form. The figure refers to the total life cycle of the construction works, which extends from *design* to *execution*, *use* and *conservation* right up to *deconstruction*. *Construction works documents* corresponding to the individual phases are listed in a separate column.

Fig. 3.1 and the associated terminology assist in understanding the subject and enable a uniform, systematic approach to theory and practice for all design, site management and construction work specialists engaged in the areas of structures and geotechnics. The figure is not a flow diagram, nor does it refer directly to the conventional course of a project from *preliminary design* to *tender design* and *detail design*. Rather, it gives an order to the steps in the process and the relationships between various design elements, and can be used to understand the connections between and the categorisation of the specialist terminology used.

The design of a structure encompasses the *conceptual design*, the *structural analysis* and the *dimensioning*. The conceptual design is all the activities and developments, and the outcomes thereof, that lead from the service criteria to the structural concept. The structural analysis uses structural models to determine action effects, i. e. the responses of the structure to potential actions as a result of execution and use as well as environmental influences. Dimensioning establishes the sizes, construction materials and detailing of the structure; the basis for this are structural and construction technology considerations plus numerical verifications.

The quality of a structure primarily depends on its conceptual design, its detailing and its execution. The importance of structural analyses and numerical verifications is often overrated; they are merely tools for guaranteeing an appropriate reliability, i. e. the behaviour of a structure with respect to structural safety and serviceability within specified limits.

Key aspects of conceptual design and the associated construction works documents (service criteria agreement and basis of design) are described below. Structural analysis and dimensioning are covered in chapter 4.

3.2 Conceptual design

The aim of the draft design is to develop a suitable *structural concept*, which specifies the structural system, the most important dimensions, construction material properties and construction details plus the intended method of construction. It is developed as part of the integrative planning of the construction works in consultation with all the specialists involved. The structural concept is based on the overall planning, the




Fig. 3.1 Relationships between various design elements