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Preface

Nothing puzzles me more than time and space; and yet nothing troubles me less....

These words, by the English essayist Charles Lamb in his 1810 letter to Thomas Manning, provide a concise summary of this book. Of course, he was not thinking of Statistics, nor Science, nor Statistical Science, rather the more ephemeral notion of space and time. But here, in the physical world, there are scientific questions to resolve and predictions to make, and understanding the effects of dependencies across time and space is a crucial part. Those dependencies are in there somewhere, and we too are puzzled by them.

Up until the mid-twentieth century, Statistics’ response to this puzzle was often to ignore the complicated structure, or to find clever ways to remove it. Interestingly, Charles Lamb seemed to be in tune with this, as he finished his sentence by saying, “as I never think about them.” As Statistics has progressed through the twentieth century and moved into the twenty-first, spatial and temporal statistical methodology has been incorporated more and more into the scientific models of our world and indeed of our universe. As technology has improved, Statistics has been given a Rosetta Stone to begin to unlock Science’s mysteries, from the molecular to the global to the cosmological.

In the beginning, there were data. Then, there were theories, formed from data, and those theories rose and fell according their agreement with new data. Data are central, and they should be cared for accordingly. To do good Science, databases should be fully documented and algorithms (“black boxes”) involved in creating them should be in the public domain.

However, data alone do not tell us all that much about our world. When we look at data, how do we know if what we are seeing is “signal” as opposed to “noise”? How do we compare two sources of data—what is the basis of a comparison?

Similarly, theories (or models) by themselves are not often the best descriptions of the real world. What can be said about processes on scales at which
there were no observations? What about uncertainties in parameters, or forcings, or interactions with other processes? Indeed, the key is the proper blending of such models and the data. Sometimes this might be done informally, for example, by taking a simulated field, simulated from a mathematical (say) model, and visually comparing it to a field of actual data. From the visual comparison, a deficiency in the model might be obvious, which might lead to a parameter adjustment, or even a new parameter. Then a new simulation might be implemented and a new visual comparison made, and so forth. This is one way to combine data and model, but it is not a very efficient way to deal with either. Indeed, the power of Statistical Science is that it provides several frameworks in which to combine data and model, in optimal ways, for the purpose of scientific inference. It might seem strange that there is not just one framework in which to carry out inferences, but even Statistics has its tribes. However, the element that is common to all is an attempt to partition variability and to quantify uncertainty.

In this book, we take the firm stand that the best paradigm (to date) in which to partition variability and quantify uncertainty is the hierarchical statistical model. Such a model explicitly acknowledges uncertainty in the data, different from that in the process and parameters, and then it accommodates the uncertainty in the process (and finally in parameters, if necessary). We have used color in key places in our exposition, to distinguish between the parts of the hierarchical model concerned with data (green), with processes (blue) and with parameters (purple).

Hierarchical thinking (i.e., hierarchical modeling) is intimately tied to conditional thinking (i.e., modeling with conditional probabilities). Indeed, it is our perspective that conditional thinking is the aforementioned Rosetta Stone: It allows us to separately partition the effects of measurement error and scales of variability below the resolution of our data, conditioned on the process at possibly some other scale. Similarly, conditional thinking allows us to model a spatio-temporal process as it actually evolves through time, as opposed to just accounting for its marginal dependencies. Equally important, it allows us to use spatio-temporal dependencies in errors and/or parameters as a proxy for unknown and unknowable processes. Its impact goes even deeper, in that it allows parameters themselves to be dependent on other processes or other sources of data. Finally, it makes clear that if some components of variability are not interesting for a particular question, then the end result should not look like the data. Any unwanted components (e.g., measurement error) are filtered out: What you see (data) is not always what you want to get (process).

Critically, in the presence of data, conditional thinking allows sensible trade-offs to be made between data availability, process complexity, and computational complexity. Combined with the ever-expanding computational tools of the twenty-first century, the hierarchical statistical framework provides the structure to tackle important questions in Energy, Climate, Environment, Food, Finance, and so on. This will be a century of massive (spatio-temporal) datasets
collected to answer Society’s dominant questions. In this book, we are particularly interested in inference for Climate and the Environment, where processes at small spatio-temporal scales influence those at larger scales, and vice versa. The questions to be resolved are fundamental to sustaining our planet, they involve complex spatio-temporal phenomena, and they are inherently statistical.

Our lives are spent marching through a space–time continuum, but space is different from time. We can (and often do) visit the same place over and over but always at different, ordered time points. We can go north, south, east, and west, up and down, but only ever forward from the past to the present and into the future. Any study of a spatio-temporal phenomenon needs to respect this difference. In this book, we have proposed spatio-temporal statistical methodologies that align with the underlying science, and we have found that hierarchical thinking is a natural way to achieve this alignment.

In the pages that follow, we have deliberately tried to build a bridge between the twentieth and twenty-first centuries in our presentation of spatio-temporal statistics. There are strong and powerful traditions that have developed in the last few decades and, even if they are not hierarchical, they provide the tools and motivation that can be used in hierarchical thinking. In some cases, hierarchical approaches that may be appealing in principle may be out of our reach in terms of timely implementation.

The official ftp site associated with the book can be found at:


In addition to posting errata, we will post supplemental material that we hope will be helpful to the reader.

As mentioned above, we have questions to resolve and predictions to make, so let’s get started....

NOEL CRESSIE
CHRISTOPHER K. WIKLE

Columbus, Ohio
Columbia, Missouri
December 2010
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CHAPTER 1

Space–Time: The Next Frontier

This book is about the statistical analysis of data . . . spatio-temporal data. By this we mean data to which labels have been added showing where and when they were collected. Good science protocol calls for data records to include place and time of collection. Causation is the “holy grail” of Science, and hence to infer cause–effect relationships (i.e., “why”) it is essential to keep track of “when”; a cause always precedes an effect. Keeping track of “where” recognizes the importance of knowing the “lay of the land”; and, quite simply, there would be no History without Geography.

We believe that in order to answer the “why” question, Science should address the “where” and “when” questions. To do that, spatio-temporal datasets are needed. However, spatial datasets that do not have a temporal dimension can occur in many areas of Science, from Archeology to Zoology. The spatial data may be from a “snapshot” in time (e.g., liver-cancer rates in U.S. counties in 2009), or they may be taken from a process that is not evolving in time (e.g., an iron-ore body in the Pilbara region of Australia). Sometimes, the temporal component has simply been discarded, and the same may have happened to the spatial component as well. Also, temporal datasets that do not have a spatial dimension are not unusual, for analogous reasons. For example, two time series, one of monthly mean carbon dioxide measurements from the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii, and the other of monthly surface temperatures averaged across the globe, do not have a spatial dimension (for different reasons).

Spatio-Temporal Data
Spatio-temporal data were essential to the nomadic tribes of early civilization, who used them to return to seasonal hunting grounds. On a grander scale, datasets on location, weather, geology, plants, animals, and indigenous people were collected by early explorers seeking to map new lands and enrich their kings and queens. The conquistadors of Mesoamerica certainly did this for Spain.
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The indigenous people also made their own maps of the Spanish conquest, in the form of a lienzo. A lienzo represents a type of historical cartography, a painting on panels of cloth that uses stylized symbols to tell the history of a geographical region. The Lienzo de Quauhquechollan is made up of 15 joined pieces of cotton cloth and is a map that tells the story, from 1527 to 1530, of the Spanish conquest of the region now known as Guatemala. It has been restored digitally in a major project by Exploraciones sobre la Historia at the Universidad Francisco Marroquín (UFM) in Guatemala City (see Figure 1.1). This story of the Spanish conquest in Guatemala is an illustration of complex spatio-temporal interactions. Reading the lienzo and understanding its correspondence with the geography of the region required deciphering; see Asselbergs (2008) for a complete description. The original lienzo dates from about 1530 and represents a spatio-temporal dataset that is almost 500 years old!

In a sense, we are all analyzers of spatial and temporal data. As we plan our futures (economically, socially, academically, etc.), we must take into account the present and seek guidance from the past. As we look at a map to plan a trip, we are letting its spatial abstraction guide us to our destination. The philosopher Ludwig Wittgenstein compared language to a city that has evolved over time (Wittgenstein, 1958): “Our language can be seen as an ancient city: A maze of little streets and squares, of old and new houses, and of houses with additions from various periods; and this surrounded by a multitude of new burroughs with straight and regular streets and uniform houses!”

Graphs of data indexed by time (time series) and remote-sensing images made up of radiances indexed by pixel location (spatial data) show variability at a glance. For example, Figure 1.2 shows the Missouri River gage-height levels during the 10-year period, 1988–1997, at Hermann, MO. Figure 1.3 shows two remotely sensed images of the river taken in September 1992, before a major flood event, and in September 1993, after the highest crest ever recorded at Hermann (36.97 ft on July 31, 1993). The top panel of Figure 1.3 shows the town of Gasconade in the middle of the scene, situated in the “V” where the Gasconade River joins the Missouri River; Gasconade is at mile 104.4 and eight miles downstream is the river town of Hermann, visible at the very bottom of the scenes. Notice the intensive agriculture in the river’s flood plain in September 1992. The bottom panel of Figure 1.3 shows the same region, one year later, after the severe flooding in the summer of 1993. The inundation of Gasconade, the floodplain, and the environs of Hermann is stunning. There is a multiscale process behind all of this that involves where, when, and how much precipitation occurred upstream, the morphology of the watershed, microphysical soil properties that determine run-off, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ construction of levees upstream, and so on. However, by looking only in the spatial dimension, or only in the temporal dimension, we miss the dynamical evolution of the flood event as it progressed downstream. Spatio-temporal data on this portion of the Missouri River, which shows how the river got from “before” to “after,” would be best illustrated with a movie, showing a temporal sequence of spatial images before, during, and after the flood.
Figure 1.1  Digitally restored Lienzo de Quauhquechollan, whose actual dimensions are 2.45 m in height by 3.20 m in width. [Image is available under the Creative Commons license Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike © 2007 Universidad Francisco Marroquín.]
There is an important statistical characteristic of spatio-temporal data that is very common, namely that nearby (in space and time) observations tend to be more alike than those far apart. However, in the case of “competition,” the opposite may happen (e.g., under big trees only small trees can grow), but the general conclusion is nevertheless that spatio-temporal data should *not* be modeled as being statistically independent. [Tobler (1970) called this notion “the first law of Geography.”] Even if spatio-temporal trends are used to capture the dependence at large scales, there is typically a cascade of smaller spatio-temporal scales for which a statistical model is needed to capture the dependence. Consequently, an assumption that spatio-temporal data follow the “independent and identically distributed” (iid) statistical paradigm should typically be avoided. Paradigms that incorporate dependence are needed: The time series models in Chapter 3 and the spatial process models in Chapter 4 give those paradigms for *temporal data* and *spatial data*, respectively. From Chapter 5 onwards, we are concerned directly with Statistics for *spatio-temporal data*.

**Uncertainty and the Role of Statistics**

Uncertainty is everywhere; as Benjamin Franklin famously said (Sparks, 1840), “In this world nothing can be said to be certain, except death and taxes.” Not only is our world uncertain, our attempts to explain the world (i.e., Science) are uncertain. And our measurements of our (uncertain) world are uncertain. Statistics is the “Science of Uncertainty,” and it offers a coherent approach to
Figure 1.3  Images from NASA’s Landsat Thematic Mapper. Each image shows a segment of the Missouri River near Hermann, MO (mile 96.5, at the bottom of the scene), and Gasconade, MO (mile 104.4, in the “V” in the middle of the scene). The river flows from west (top of the scene) to east (bottom of the scene). **Top panel:** September 1992, before a major flood event. **Bottom panel:** September 1993, after a record-breaking flood event in July 1993.

handling the sources of uncertainty referred to above. Indeed, in our work we use the term *Statistical Science* interchangeably with *Statistics* (with a “capital” S); we use *statistics* (with a “small” s) to refer to summaries of the data.

In most of this book, we shall express uncertainty through variability, but we note that other measures (e.g., entropy) could also be used. Just as the physical and biological sciences have the notions of mass balance and energy balance, Statistical Science has a notion of variability balance. The total variability is
modeled with variability due to measurement, variability due to using a (more-or-less uncertain) model of how the world works, and variability due to uncertainty on parameters that control the measurement and model variabilities.

Although real-world systems may in principle be partially deterministic, our information is incomplete at each of the stages of observation, summarization, and inference, and thus our understanding is clouded by uncertainty. Consequently, by the time the inference stage is reached, the lack of certainty will influence how much knowledge we can gain from the data. Furthermore, if the dynamics of the system are nonlinear, the processes can exhibit chaos (Section 3.2.4), even though the theory is based on deterministic dynamical systems. (In Chapters 3 and 7, we show how model uncertainty in these systems naturally leads to stochastic dynamical systems that incorporate system, or intrinsic, noise.)

Data can hold so much potential, but they are an entropic collection of digits or bits unless they can be organized into a database. With the ability in a database to structure, search, filter, query, visualize, and summarize, the data begin to contain information. Some of this information comes from judicious use of statistics (i.e., summaries) with a “small s.” Then, in going from information to knowledge, Science (and, with it, Statistics with a “capital S”) takes over. This book makes contributions at all levels of the data–information–knowledge pyramid, but we generally stop short of the summit where knowledge is used to determine policy. The methodology we develop is poised to do so, and we believe that at the interface between Science, Statistics, and Policy there is an enormous need for (spatio-temporal) decision-making in the presence of uncertainty.

In this book, we approach the problem of “scientific understanding in the presence of uncertainty” from a probabilistic viewpoint, which allows us to build useful spatio-temporal statistical models and make scientific inferences for various spatial and temporal scales. Accounting for the uncertainty enables us to look for possible associations within and between variables in the system, with the potential for finding mechanisms that extend, modify, or even disprove a scientific theory.

**Uncertainty and Data**

Central to the observation, summarization, and inference (including prediction) of spatio-temporal processes are data. All data come bundled with error. In particular, along with the obvious errors associated with measuring, manipulating, and archiving, there are other errors, such as discrete spatial and temporal sampling of an inherently continuous system. Consequently, there are always scales of variability that are unresolvable and that will further “contaminate” the observations. For example, in Atmospheric Science, this is considered a form of “turbulence,” and it corresponds to the well known aliasing problem in time series analysis (e.g., see Section 3.5.1; Chatfield, 1989, p. 126) and the microscale component of the “nugget effect” in geostatistics [e.g., see the introductory remarks to Chapter 4 and Cressie (1993, p. 59)].