The French Historical Revolution

The Annales School 1929–2014
The French Historical Revolution
The French Historical Revolution

The *Annales* School, 1929–2014

Peter Burke
Contents

Acknowledgements vii
Chronology viii
Abbreviations xiii
Preface to the Second Edition xiv
Introduction 1

1 The Old Historiographical Regime and its Critics 7

2 The Founders: Lucien Febvre and Marc Bloch 13
   The Early Years 13
   Strasbourg 18
   The Foundation of Annales 23
   The Institutionalization of Annales 28

3 The Age of Braudel 36
   The Mediterranean 36
   The Later Braudel 48
   The Rise of Quantitative History 60

4 The Third Generation and the Cultural Turn 73
   From the Cellar to the Attic 75
   The ‘Third Level’ of Serial History 87
   Reactions: Anthropology, Politics, Narrative 92
Contents

5  New Directions (1989–2014) 105

6  The Annales in Global Perspective 120
   Reception and Resistance 120
   Striking a Balance 134

Glossary: The Language of Annales 143

Notes 147

Bibliography 164

Index 190
This study owes a good deal to conversations with members of the *Annales* group, notably with Fernand Braudel, Roger Chartier, Jacques Le Goff, Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, Krzysztof Pomian, Daniel Roche, Jacques Revel and Michel Vovelle in Paris and also in more exotic locations, from the Taj Mahal to Binghamton and Emmanuel College, Cambridge.

I should like to thank my wife Maria Lúcia, my publisher John Thompson, and Roger Chartier for their comments on an earlier draft of this study. I am also indebted to Juan Maiguashca (a former student of Pierre Chaunu), who fired my enthusiasm for *Annales* over half a century ago, and to dialogues with Alan Baker, Francisco Bethencourt, Norman Birnbaum, John Bossy, Stuart Clark, Robert Darnton, Clifford Davies, Natalie Davis, Javier Gil Pujol, Carlo Ginzburg, Ranajit Guha, the late Eric Hobsbawm, Gábor Klaniczay, Massimo Mastrogregori, Geoffrey Parker, Gwyn Prins, Ulrich Raulff, Bernd Roeck, Peter Schöttler, Carlos Martínez Shaw, the late Ivo Schöffer, Henk Wesseling and others who have, like myself, tried to combine their involvement with *Annales* with a measure of detachment from it.
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Abbreviations

AESC  Annales: économies, sociétés, civilisations
AHSS  Annales: histoire, sciences sociales
ARSS  Actes de la Recherche en Sciences Sociales
P&P   Past and Present
RS    Revue de Synthèse
RSH   Revue de Synthèse Historique
This book was originally published in 1990, as part of a series of slim volumes entitled Key Contemporary Thinkers, although it presented a portrait of a group rather than an individual. The new edition of *The French Historical Revolution* appears nearly a quarter of a century after the first. Much has happened in that time, in the history of the ‘Annales School’ as in history in general. The journal changed its title once more in 1994, and is now known as *Annales: histoire, sciences sociales*. Important new studies have been published by members of the group.

Studies of the group, or of individual members, have multiplied at such a rate that it is becoming difficult to keep up with them all. Massimo Mastrogregori’s careful analysis of the manuscripts has allowed a more faithful version of his *Historian’s Craft* to be published (though this version is not available in English). Archives that were once closed, including those of Lucien Febvre and Fernand Braudel, have become accessible, and many of their letters, together with Marc Bloch’s, have now been published. A few of Braudel’s lectures to fellow prisoners have been published, and so have his widow’s illuminating articles about the intellectual origins of her husband and his *Mediterranean*. A number of *Annalistes* have produced memoirs or given interviews about their intellectual lives.
As a result, a new version of this book is both necessary and possible. I am grateful to John Thompson and to Polity for the opportunity to revise and enlarge this study, liberating it from the series to which it originally belonged, in order to take advantage of both the accumulation of information about the group and the changes in perspective that follow increasing distance from the foundation year of the journal in 1929. In the process of revision, dialogues with Jaume (‘Santi’) Aurell and references provided by my Emmanuel colleagues Philip Howell and David Maxwell proved invaluable.
A remarkable amount of the most innovative, the most memorable and the most significant historical writing of the twentieth century was produced in France. La nouvelle histoire, as it is sometimes called, is at least as famous, as French, and as controversial as la nouvelle cuisine.¹ A good deal of this new history is the work of a particular group associated with the journal founded in 1929 under the title Annales d’histoire économique et sociale; following four changes of title, it is now known as Annales: histoire, sciences sociales. Outsiders generally call this group the ‘Annales School’, emphasizing what members have in common.

Insiders, on the other hand often, though not always, deny the existence of such a school, emphasizing individual approaches within the group.² During a discussion at the International Congress of Historical Sciences held at Stuttgart in 1985, I remember hearing a leading member of the group, Marc Ferro, vigorously denying the existence of a school. While so doing, he regularly employed the term ‘nous’. If the word ‘school’ (like the Annales ‘paradigm’ or ‘spirit’) gives the misleading impression of an orthodoxy, a better term might be the one proposed by two more insiders, Roger Chartier and Jacques Revel: ‘nebula’ (nébuleuse).³ Alternatively, it may be useful to speak of an Annales ‘network’, a ‘movement’ or even an extended ‘family’.

Introduction
The centre of the network, over the decades, obviously includes the two founders, Lucien Febvre and Marc Bloch; their designated successor, Fernand Braudel, together with Charles Morazé, less well known but active behind the scenes, notably in the creation of the VIe section; younger historians such as Jacques Le Goff, Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, Marc Ferro and Maurice Aymard; and even younger historians such as Roger Chartier, Jacques Revel, André Burguière, Jean-Claude Schmitt, Lucette Valensi, Bernard Lepeitit and Antoine Lilti. Near the centre we also find Alain Boureau, Pierre Chaunu, Georges Duby, Alphonse Dupront, Arlette Farge, François Furet, Pierre Goubert, Christiane Klapisch, Maurice Lombard and Mona Ozouf.

More difficult to place is Ernest Labrousse, who played a central role in the second generation while remaining a Marxist, of a kind that did not exempt him from attacks by members of the French Communist Party. In similar fashion, their commitment to Marxism may place Maurice Agulhon and Michel Vovelle outside the inner circle. Also on the edge, for different reasons, are Georges Lefebvre, who worked on the French Revolution; Alberto Tenenti and Ruggiero Romano, two Italians at the École; and Philippe Ariès, a self-defined amateur historian. One might add Jean Delumeau, Alain Corbin and Robert Muchembled, all three working in the style or spirit of *Annales* while remaining outside the network. On or beyond the fringe one might place the polymaths Michel Foucault and Michel de Certeau and the conservative historian Roland Mousnier, who make brief appearances in this study thanks to the overlap between their historical interests and those of *Annalistes*.

The journal, which is now over eighty years old, was founded in order to promote a new kind of history, and it continues to encourage innovation. The leading ideas behind *Annales* might be summarized briefly as follows. In the first place, the substitution of a problem-oriented analytical history for a traditional narrative of events. In the second place, the history of the whole range of human activities in the place of a mainly political history. In the third place – in order to achieve the first two aims – a collaboration with other disciplines: with geography, sociology, psychology, economics, linguistics, social anthropology, and so on. As Febvre
put it, with his characteristic use of the imperative, ‘Historians, be geographers. Be jurists too, and sociologists, and psychologists’. He was always on the alert ‘to break down compartments’ (abattre les cloisons) and to fight ‘the spirit of specialization’. In a similar way, Braudel wrote his *Mediterranean* in the way he did in order to ‘prove that history can do more than study walled gardens’.

The aim of this book is to describe, to analyse and to evaluate the achievement of this network. It is often perceived from outside as a monolithic group with a uniform historical practice, quantitative in method, determinist in its assumptions, and hostile, or at best indifferent, to politics and to events. This stereotype ignores not only divergences between individual members of the group but also developments over time. Hence it might be more illuminating to speak of the ‘Annales movement’.

This movement may be divided into three phases. In the first phase, from the 1920s to 1945, it was small, radical and subversive, fighting a guerrilla action against traditional history, political history and the history of events. After the Second World War, the rebels took over the historical Establishment. This second phase of the movement, in which it was most truly a ‘school’ with distinctive concepts (notably ‘structure’ and ‘conjoncture’) and distinctive methods (notably the ‘serial history’ of changes over the long term), was dominated by Fernand Braudel.

A third phase in the history of the movement opened around the year 1968. It is marked by what has been called ‘fragmentation’ (émiettement). By this time, the influence of the movement – especially in France – was so great that it had lost much of its former distinctiveness. It was a unified ‘school’ only in the eyes of its foreign admirers and its domestic critics. The latter continued to reproach the group for underestimating the importance of politics and of the history of events, although Marc Ferro, for example, was writing about the First World War and the Russian Revolution. From the late 1970s onwards, some members of the group turned from socio-economic to sociocultural history, while others rediscovered political history and even narrative. Since the year 1989 or thereabouts, different members of the group have moved in new directions,
returning to social history, for instance, or attempting to write a more reflexive history.

The history of *Annales* may thus be interpreted in terms of the succession of four generations. It also illustrates the common cyclical process by which the rebels of today turn into the Establishment of tomorrow, and are in turn rebelled against. What is less common is the longevity of the movement. This is partly due to its institutional base in Paris at the École Pratique des Hautes Études (and later at the École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales) but also to the openness of the leaders, who generally tolerated dissent even if they did not encourage it.

Continuity is particularly obvious in the case of the journal: Paul Leuilliot, who taught history at a lycée in Paris, was secretary to the editorial committee for well over half a century, 1930–88, as well as making frequent contributions to the journal in its early years. Some major concerns have persisted. Indeed, the journal and the individuals associated with it offer the most sustained example of fruitful interaction between history and the social sciences from the 1930s until today. It is for this reason that I chose to write this book.

This brief survey of the *Annales* movement attempts to cross several cultural boundaries. It attempts to explain the French to the English-speaking world, the 1920s to a later generation and the changing practice of historians to sociologists, anthropologists, geographers and others. The survey is itself presented in the form of a history, and attempts to combine a chronological with a thematic organization.

The problem with such a combination, here as elsewhere in history, is what has been called ‘the contemporaneity of the non-contemporary’. Braudel, for example, although he was exceptionally open to new ideas, even late in his long life, did not fundamentally change his way of looking at history or indeed of writing history from the 1930s, when he was planning his *Mediterranean*, to the 1980s, when he was working on his book on France. For this reason it has proved necessary to take some liberties with chronological order.

This book is at once something less and something more than a study in intellectual history. It does not aspire to be the definitive scholarly study of the *Annales* movement that it is to be hoped someone will write in the twenty-first century.