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Introduction

Person-centred healthcare research is needed for service improvement and change. The world’s first PhD programme in person-centred healthcare has run from autumn 2014 at the Faculty of Health Sciences at the University College of Southeast Norway (previously Buskerud University College). This was the start of a journey of international collaboration at our faculty, exploring different perspectives on what person-centred research presupposes and implies.

Patients and service users can feel vulnerable as they journey through the health system. Persons living with long-term health conditions, like mental health, substance abuse, dementia, stroke, chronic pain or diabetes have complex care needs that challenge health systems to respond in ways that keep the person at the centre of planning and decision-making. This challenge to person-centredness in healthcare is global. In Norway and beyond, the political vision is to create a health service that places the person, as the user of health services, in the centre of decision-making. The slogan is: ‘No decision about me, without me’. In order to realise such a vision, our research needs to be interdisciplinary, informed by different perspectives and pluralistic regarding methodology, theory, and philosophy.

The Editors of this book reflect such a pluralistic approach to research. They are all leaders of and contributors to the PhD programme in person-centred healthcare at the University College of Southeast Norway. They are each passionate about research and whilst they each bring different methodological perspectives to their writing, what they share in common is a passion for ‘the person’ in health services research. The Editors, having brought together researchers from different fields and environments, encouraged new joint authorships, and together they have turned the writing of the book into an innovation process. Marvellous!

Person-centred healthcare research is a complex phenomenon. The book stimulates reflection and may serve as a guide for researchers at all levels. The PhD programme at the University College of Southeast Norway has a growing number of students studying person-centred healthcare from different perspectives using different methodologies. We are confident that this programme will have a significant influence on the advancement of new approaches to person-centred research and to our understanding of person-centredness itself – what I am sure is the beginning of a global community of person-centred doctoral researchers and post-doctoral researchers of the future. I am sure this book will be of great benefit to them and to all other researchers aiming at creating new knowledge to improve person-centredness in healthcare.

Dr Heidi Kapstad
Dean of the Faculty of Health and Social Sciences
University College of Southeast Norway
International recognition is growing that person-centred healthcare offers a remedy to a con-
tinuing crisis in healthcare – a crisis in which clinicians and patients struggle to co-produce
personalised and compassionate healthcare informed by, rather than based on, restricted scien-
tific knowledge. Person-centred healthcare responds positively to this problem. It puts persons
first in healthcare that bridges humanism and the sciences, including research. This book shows
how health research on person-centred care can be person-centred. The Editors – world leaders
in postgraduate education on person-centred healthcare – have unified international authors
around the axial notion that research on person-centredness is fundamentally important,
yet is insufficient unless it takes place in a person-centred manner.

Through conceptualising person-centredness and its foundations, the book first makes the
case for conducting research to rehumanise modern healthcare in ways that balance the dif-
ferent warrants for healthcare decision-making. The book then suggests how to infuse this
research – and, in turn, teaching, policy and practice – with assumptions, values and meth-
odologies faithful to the philosophy of person-centredness. Examples of person-centred
research are provided to bring to life this movement from research on person-centredness to
such research being person-centred. Critical to the latter development is the guidance offered
on using person-centred research designs and methodologies to deepen understanding and
respect the personhood of research participants and researchers alike. Thus, the book helps
to fill a gap within literature on health research methods and person-centredness. I enthu-
siastically commend this much-needed and highly original volume as an interdisciplinary
resource for everyone producing or using person-centred research for health.

Associate Professor Stephen Buetow
Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences
University of Auckland
New Zealand

Associate-Editor, European Journal for Person-Centered Healthcare
Introduction to Section 1

PERSON-CENTREDNESS AND FOUNDATIONS OF PERSON-CENTRED RESEARCH

This section of the book is concerned with the philosophical and theoretical location of person-centred healthcare and person-centred research. It explores the importance of person-centred healthcare globally as well as the need for research that is undertaken through the philosophy of person-centredness. The case for person-centred research is made by drawing on a variety of theoretical and methodological perspectives, debating the relevance of existing methodologies and exploring research methods through a person-centred lens. Chapters in this section also illustrate examples of these theoretical and methodological perspectives and enable the reader to consider how these can be operationalised.
1 Person-Centredness in Healthcare Policy, Practice and Research

Brendan McCormack, Sandra van Dulmen, Hilde Eide, Kirsti Skovdahl and Tom Eide

INTRODUCTION

Twentieth century (western) societies are increasingly individualised. This is not only reflected in general politics, opinions and lifestyles but also in healthcare. Partly this is a result of an increased knowledge about the human genome, allowing for more individualised treatment plans (‘personalised or precision medicine’), and partly because of scarce healthcare resources resulting in increased self-management and more patient responsibility for their own health. A welcome side effect of this individualisation is an increased attention to the person behind the patient and, related to this, more attention to individual needs and preferences in treatment and care. This person-centred movement is not new, but has so far been captured through discourses of patient-centredness (in contrast to doctor- or disease-centredness) and patients’ rights, which already represent important paradigm shifts in healthcare. Person-centredness has, however, continued to develop and also incorporates concepts like positive health, well-being and individualised care planning as well as the inclusion of the person of the healthcare provider. Person-centredness can thus be summarised as promoting care of the person (of the totality of the person’s health, including its ill and positive aspects), for the person (promoting the fulfilment of the person’s life project), by the person (with clinicians extending themselves as full human beings with high ethical aspirations) and with the person (working respectfully, in collaboration and in an empowering manner) (Mezzich et al., 2009). Person-centredness implies recognition of the broad biological, social, psychological, cultural and spiritual dimensions of each person, their families and communities. The person-centred approach is closely linked to Carl Rogers’ humanistic psychology and person-centred therapy (Rogers, 1961) with a focus on the fulfilment of personal potentials including sociability, the need to be with other human beings and a desire to know and be known by other people (the origins of person-centredness will be further explored in Chapter 2). It also includes being open to experience, being trusting and trustworthy, being curious about the world, being creative and compassionate. This perspective has been particularly influential in the field of dementia care.

Person-centredness has permeated all fields in healthcare. For example, person-centred nursing has been defined as an approach to practice that is established through the formation and fostering of healthful relationships between all care providers, patients/clients/families...
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and significant others (McCormack and McCance, 2017). It is underpinned by values of respect for persons, individual right to self-determination, and mutual respect and understanding. Person-centred nursing practice is about developing, coordinating and providing healthcare services that respect the uniqueness of individuals by focusing on their beliefs, values, desires and wishes, independent of age, gender, social status, economy, faith, ethnicity and cultural background and in a context that includes collaborative and inclusive practices. In addition, person-centred nursing practice aims to plan and deliver care that takes account of the person’s context including their social context, community networks, cultural norms and material supports. Person-centred medicine is anchored in a broad and holistic approach that is critical of the modern development of medicine, which has been dominated by reductionism, attention to disease, super-specialisation, commoditisation and commercialism (Mezzich et al., 2009). These authors argue that this has resulted in less attention being paid to ‘whole-person needs’ and reduced focus on the ethical imperatives connected to promoting the autonomy, responsibility and dignity of every person involved.

Changes in the delivery of healthcare services have been significant over the past 25 years. The increasing demands on emergency services, reduction in the number of available hospital beds, shorter lengths of stay, increased throughput and the erosion of Health Services’ commitment to the provision of continuing healthcare have all impacted on the way healthcare services are provided and the practice of healthcare professionals. In addition, the prevailing culture of consumerism has enabled a shift away from society’s collective responsibility for the provision of an equitable and just healthcare system to one that is based on individual responsibility, increasingly more complex models of insurance-based services and a growth in healthcare as a private for-profit business.

The combined effects of these strategic changes to healthcare globally, major changes to the organisation of services, a dominant focus on standardisation and risk reduction with associated limits on the potential for creative practice have all had an impact on the ability of healthcare practitioners to develop person-centred approaches. McCormack (2001) suggested that there was a need for ‘a cultural shift in philosophical values’ in healthcare if authentic person-centred healthcare is to be realised for all persons. The following quote from one of the participants in McCormack’s research highlighted the need for this shift:

...people need to be able to take on a different view of things and able to see a different kind of potential when the whole system is kind of set up in a particular way and how do you change it? Because you’ve got teachers and educators and you’ve got role models and supervisors and people in clinical settings who have all been socialised in this system and what I think it needs is actually a complete culture shift, a shift in philosophical values, to see people as people who have responsibility for their own health and come into a system that should not totally remove that, that kind of ownership...

Since then there have been significant developments globally in advancing person-centred healthcare within a dominant philosophy of people as persons who have responsibility for their own health.

PERSON-CENTREDNESS IN HEALTHCARE

The use of the term ‘person-centred’ has become increasingly common in health and social care services at a global level. While a cynical view would argue that the term is being used as a ‘catch-all’ for anything concerning high quality health and social care, an alternative
perspective would suggest that it is representative of something more significant than this, i.e. a movement that has an explicit focus on humanising health services and ensuring that the person using health and social care services is at the centre of care delivery decision-making. This global focus on person-centredness has, as a consequence, resulted in a growing body of evidence supporting the processes and outcomes associated with person-centredness in health and social care.

Holding the person’s values central in decision-making is essential to a person-centred approach to practice. Talking with patients and families about values and using the outcomes from these discussions as a means of evaluating how well their autonomy and self-determination is being respected is a useful vehicle for exploring the processes of care-giving as opposed to a focus on how well the care outcomes were achieved using, for instance, PROMS (Patient Reported Outcome Measures) and PREMS (Patient Reported Experience Measures). For example, the focus on achieving a short length of stay may not always be consistent with the values of the patient or family. In such situations, without the practitioner, patient and family clarifying their values base and its relationship to the goal of care, there is potential for conflict. The skill involved in balancing a duty of care to the patient while at the same time maintaining a focus on working with the ‘best’ evidence in care decisions is a significant challenge in person-centred healthcare. Maintaining the person’s identity as central to care decisions and helping to maintain that in the sense of who they are in the context of their lives, i.e. their biography is a key pillar of person-centred practice (see Chapter 9 in this book for example). Rather than removing people from their biographies which has been the dominant ideology underpinning evidence-based practice (EBP), holding values as central allows a variety of possible ‘futures’ to emerge.

Of course, practising in this way poses challenges to healthcare practitioners who are largely educated and trained in a culture that emphasises professional control and expertise derived from autonomous decision-making. By controlling the outcome of care, healthcare practitioners are protected from needing to face the many difficulties and challenges associated with working with the patient’s agenda – for example balancing the need for early discharge in order to maintain throughput, with the actual needs of the person. In addition, practitioners often lack the ability to appreciate the life skills that the person has because the patient is unable to demonstrate these skills in a hospital context, due to the attitudinal, organisational and socialisation constraints of healthcare organisations. Healthcare practitioners sometimes struggle to accept the choices that people might make, that is, if they had the choice to do so. Person-centred risk-taking is one of the biggest challenges that practitioners face in working in a person-centred way. The challenge in accepting person-centred risk assessment is that of balancing professional knowledge and personal knowledge, or, the blending of the professional with the personal. Healthcare practitioners need to be able to balance their technical competence and expertise and their professional caring roles with the patient’s understanding of their own well-being and their potential future. This supports the central tenet of person-centredness being operationalised through an interconnected relationship between practitioner and patient.

Working in a person-centred way requires both personal bravery and supported development to make the necessary changes. Personal bravery arises from individual recognition of the need for change, often in organisational structures that do not support such openness or ongoing support of a learning culture. The healthcare educational system also needs to facilitate this development by including principles of person-centredness in education models, creating person-centred learning environments and developing collaborative practices between students and educators.
THE EVOLUTION OF PERSON-CENTREDNESS

There has been a proliferation of policy- and strategy-focused publications supporting the need for and development of person-centred cultures in healthcare. The Health Foundation has been instrumental in influencing many of these strategies and for ensuring that at least at the level of health systems, people are at the centre of care:

We want a more person-centred healthcare system, where people are supported to make informed decisions about and to successfully manage their own health and care, and choose when to invite others to act on their behalf… We want healthcare services to understand and deliver care responsive to people’s individual abilities, preferences, lifestyles and goals. (The Health Foundation, 2015a)

The Health Foundation has produced a range of resources to enable an increased understanding of person-centred care and to support its development in organisations (The Health Foundation, 2015b). However, despite its dominant focus on person-centredness, the focus continues to be on ‘care’ and less on how organisations create person-centred cultures.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has also promoted a person- and people-centred approach, with a global goal of humanising healthcare by ensuring that healthcare is rooted in universal principles of human rights and dignity, non-discrimination, participation and empowerment, access and equity, and a partnership of equals:

The overall vision for people-centred health care is one in which individuals, families and communities are served by and are able to participate in trusted health systems that respond to their needs in humane and holistic ways… (World Health Organization, 2007, p. 7)

Despite these notable advancements in the area of person-centredness there is much still to be done in developing health and social care cultures towards ones that truly place people at the centre of their care in order to achieve effective and meaningful outcomes. Richards, Coulter and Wicks (2015, p. 3) suggest that it is ‘time to get real about delivering person-centred care’ and argue that it requires a sea change in the mindset of health professionals and patients/clients alike. We would argue that a significant part of this sea change is the need to shift the discourse away from person-centred ‘care’ per se and to promote a unified discourse of person-centred ‘cultures’. Person-centredness can only happen if there is a person-centred culture in place in care settings that enables staff to experience person-centredness and work and communicate in a person-centred way. With a focus on person-centred culture, we adopt the following definition of person-centredness:

… an approach to practice established through the formation and fostering of healthful relationships between all care providers, service users and others significant to them in their lives. It is underpinned by values of respect for persons, individual right to self-determination, mutual respect and understanding. It is enabled by cultures of empowerment that foster continuous approaches to practice development. (McCormack and McCance, 2017, p. 3)

Developing person-centred cultures in organisations requires a sustained commitment to practice development, service improvement and ways of working that embrace continuous feedback, reflection and engagement methods that enable all voices to be heard. This also has relevance for (person-centred) diagnosis and clinical care. However, it is still the case
that this kind of culture change is slow to be achieved and there continues to be little evidence of wide-scale changes in health systems towards ways of working that privilege the person over organisational conformity. As Richards, Coulter and Wicks (2015, p. 3) argue, ‘the challenge remains one of overcoming “system” inertia and paternalism’. However, even though wholesale shifts in systems may be slow, it is clear that person-centredness as a concept plays a significant role in shaping the thinking of policy makers and strategic planners in the way that health systems are evolving globally.

GLOBAL DEVELOPMENTS

Reviewing person-centredness, person-centred practice and person-centred care developments around the world, it is fair to say that there has been an abundance of activity at the micro (e.g. practice initiatives and power shifts in the consulting room) and meso (e.g. support resources and education) levels of care delivery. We also note considerable developments at a macro level (e.g. national standards) most of which focus on informing strategic developments to inform the organisation of healthcare systems. However, it is also fair to say that there is a gap (or even a gulf!) between the strategic rhetoric of person-centredness and the realities of experience for patients, families, communities and staff.

Person-centredness as a concept has an intuitive ‘fit’ with the thinking of most healthcare practitioners, who despite everyday challenges have an overarching desire to ‘do the right thing’ for service users, families and communities. Being person-centred in a healthcare system that is dominated by business models of efficiency is a challenge for most practitioners. Holding the person at the centre of decision-making, when systems increasingly focus on productivity, places person-centredness in a precarious position in the minds of many practitioners. The mixed messages they receive about ‘what matters’, results in contradictions in determining priorities and ultimately an erosion of the quality of person-centredness experienced by service users. As a consequence, there has been a proliferation of developments and initiatives to improve the quality of care and make it more person-centred. Although there are ‘pockets’ of person-centred practice developments appearing in all fields of practice, there is still a tendency to view person-centred care as an approach that is most relevant to people living with dementia and those residing in residential care facilities. While there have been increasing developments in acute care, person-centredness here tends to be presented either fairly generically by teams of practitioners as core to shared values and beliefs or as part of a team philosophy, or as a technical approach to designing individualistic approaches to care planning and goal achievement. However, some significant examples of positive developments can be seen around the world and these need to be celebrated and encouraged.

In Australia, Perth Home Care Services (Western Australia) and Quality Healthcare (New South Wales) take a person-centred approach to providing homecare services for a range of clients such as those with disability or requiring dementia care, while in Tasmania a person-centred approach is used in delivering consistent palliative and end-of-life care (Tasmanian Department of Health and Human Services, 2014). The Essentials of Care is a state-wide nursing and midwifery programme in New South Wales (NSW) aimed at improving

1 An elaborated version of this ‘global developments’ section can be found at: McCormack B et al. (2015) Person-centredness – the ‘state’ of the art. International Practice Development Journal http://www.fons.org/library/journal/volume5-person-centredness-suppl/article1

In Sweden, The University of Gothenburg Centre for Person-centred Care (GPCC) has developed a model based on three ‘routines’ in practice: Routine 1, initiating a partnership – patient narrative; Routine 2, working in partnership – shared decision-making; Routine 3, safeguarding the partnership – documenting the narrative (Ekman et al., 2011). The approach has been applied in a range of settings with evidence of improved outcomes for patients and improved system efficiencies (Ekman et al., 2011).

In The Netherlands, Vilans Dutch Expertise Centre for Long-Term Care has produced two Whitepapers on person-centred care in the last 2 years. The centre’s goal is to help professionals improve care for people living with long-term conditions, vulnerable older people and people with disabilities, by providing practical guidelines and toolkits for person-centred care as well as offering advice and workshops/training programmes for staff. They focus on stimulating self-management, care plan development and models of shared decision-making. The Radboud University Medical Centre model for personalised care also places the patient central by customising care so that it fits the specific biological (including genetic), psychological and social make-up of the person. In the context of providing community nursing and supporting people to live independent lives in their own homes, the Dutch ‘Buurtszorg model’ of community nursing has achieved major international profiling. The model focuses on working in small teams of 6–12 nurses, working autonomously, working independently and having effective ICT support. Significant outcomes for patients, families and staff have been demonstrated (www.buurtszorgnederland.com). The model continues to grow and the underpinning principles are being adopted in many other countries.

The development of person-centredness in Norway lies in the series of challenges that are faced by health and welfare services, particularly the changes in population demographics and citizens with long-term health needs. Recommendations in several national policy documents in the mental health and substance abuse fields, in health promotion, rehabilitation, and innovation of healthcare services during the last decades have supported person-centredness. As in other western countries, the Norwegian health and social care services have been influenced by the global economic down-turn, being remodelled, redesigned and with an overall focus on primary care and public health. These reforms have been driven by the Norwegian Government Strategy – Coordination Reform (Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services, 2009). There are two central tracks for developing person-centredness and person-centred care in Norway: services for older people and services for persons with mental health and substance abuse problems. Within care for older people, the context has primarily been nursing homes and secondly community services. In nursing homes, nursing staff have increasingly integrated the principles and practices of person-centred care in collaboration with other professionals. There has also been a greater focus on person-centredness in the curriculum frameworks for nurses and other health professionals. Over the last decade, research around person-centredness and older people has increased, with the MEDCED-study (Testad et al., 2015) at The Centre for Care Research, Western Region as an ongoing example. Advances in more person-centred mental health services have also emerged. In Norway, within mental health and substance abuse services, there is an increasing emphasis on person-centredness and person-centred practice. Human rights, recovery, empowerment and collaborative partnerships have been central areas of theoretical and practice development. The focus has been on user involvement, community support and
tailored services. This new focus has influenced practice development, the curriculum frameworks for health and welfare professionals and the areas and contexts of research. In developing person-centredness and person-centred mental health and substance abuse care, three foci have emerged: (1) the perspective and involvement of service users; (2) recovery orientation of services; and (3) a multiprofessional and interdisciplinary context. In addition, The University College of Southeast Norway offers a PhD programme in Person-centred Healthcare, which is the first PhD programme of this kind in the world.

In the USA, most of the developments in person-centred care have been with older adults in long-term care but without dementia. This seems to be because of the focus on personal choice and preference and the difficulty of translating those values into the care of the person living with dementia. However, with the mandating of person-centred care in all Medicare and Medicaid funded nursing homes and the passing of the National Alzheimer’s Plan Act (NAPA) in 2010 (Department of Health and Human Services, 2015) it is hoped that a more consistent change will be possible. Although with only limited resources allocated to care and advocacy in NAPA, there is greater emphasis on developing person-centredness for all older adults including those living with dementia. Unfortunately, there is a general belief amongst some providers that person-centred approaches are not good for the financial ‘bottom line’ with the concomitant impact on the adoption of person-centred practices. Several models of person-centred care have developed in the USA supported and coordinated by the Pioneer Network (2012), a cooperative network of state- or region-based coalitions of service providers. The Eden Alternative, Well-Spring, and the Green House models for communal long-term care facilities are probably the most well known and the ones that have conducted some evaluative research on outcomes for residents and staff. These models have focused a great deal on the importance of environment and in particular the arrangement of care in small groups, e.g. households within traditional nursing homes with Eden and Well-Spring, and purpose-built homes for small numbers of older adults. A promising research programme focused on person-centred communication in dementia care is developing models that aim to improve the overall quality of care (Williams et al., 2016a,b,c).

At a macro level, person-centred thinking can be seen to influence a range of national developments and initiatives. Significant investment into strategic initiatives has been made in many countries around the world focusing on breaking down barriers that prevent people from accessing services, streamlining care delivery systems, nationalising evidence to underpin practices and make care safer. Key drivers in these strategic developments have been a universal commitment to ensuring the efficiency and effectiveness of services and minimising risk. For example, in South Australia a strategic state-wide approach has been undertaken with the release of Caring with Kindness: The Nursing and Midwifery Professional Practice Framework (South Australia Health, 2014) in September 2014. The framework aligns with the National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards (NSQHSS) (Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, 2012), especially Standard 2 which highlights patients being placed at the centre of their own care and working in partnership. In Canada, The Alzheimer Society of Canada has initiated a ‘culture change initiative’ aimed at improving the experience of long-term care for people living with dementia and their families, and working with others to provide useful strategies, tools and tips that can help put the principles of person-centred care into practice (Alzheimer Society Canada, 2014). The work includes federally and provincially funded collaborative projects focused on education and training related to the principles and practices of person-centred care within home care and residential long-term care settings. In England the ‘personalisation agenda’ (Department of Health, 2010) in health and social care is a driving force for person-centred developments.