A Companion to Simone de Beauvoir
Blackwell Companions to Philosophy

This outstanding student reference series offers a comprehensive and authoritative survey of philosophy as a whole. Written by today's leading philosophers, each volume provides lucid and engaging coverage of the key figures, terms, topics, and problems of the field. Taken together, the volumes provide the ideal basis for course use, representing an unparalleled work of reference for students and specialists alike.

Already published in the series:

1. The Blackwell Companion to Philosophy, Second Edition
   Edited by Nicholas Bunnin and Eric Text-James
2. A Companion to Ethics
   Edited by Peter Singer
   Edited by Stephen Davies, Kathleen Marie Higgins, Robert Hopkins, Robert Stecker, and David E. Cooper
   Edited by Jonathan Dancy, Ernest Sosa and Matthias Steup
5. A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy
   Edited by Robert E. Goodin, and Philip Pettit
6. A Companion to Philosophy of Mind
   Edited by Samuel Guttenplan
   Edited by Jaegwon Kim, Ernest Sosa, and Gary S. Rosenkrantz
   Edited by Dennis Patterson
   Edited by Charles Taliaferro, Paul Draper, and Philip L. Quinn
10. A Companion to the Philosophy of Language
    Edited by Bob Hale and Crispin Wright
11. A Companion to World Philosophies
    Edited by Elliot Deutsch and Ron Bencetne
12. A Companion to Continental Philosophy
    Edited by Simon Critchley and William Schroeder
13. A Companion to Feminist Philosophy
    Edited by Alison M. Jaggar and Iris Marion Young
    Edited by William Bechtel and George Graham
    Edited by Helga Kahse and Peter Singer
16. A Companion to the Philosophers
    Edited by Robert L. Arrington
17. A Companion to Business Ethics
    Edited by Robert E. Frederick
18. A Companion to the Philosophy of Science
    Edited by W. H. Newton-Smith
19. A Companion to Environmental Philosophy
    Edited by Dale Jamieson
20. A Companion to Analytic Philosophy
    Edited by A. P. Martinich and David Sosa
21. A Companion to Genethics
    Edited by Justine Barley and John Harris
22. A Companion to Philosophical Logic
    Edited by Dale Jacquette
23. A Companion to Early Modern Philosophy
    Edited by Steven Nadler
24. A Companion to Philosophy in the Middle Ages
    Edited by Jorge J. E. Gracia and Timmemb. B. Noone
25. A Companion to African-American Philosophy
    Edited by Tommy L. Lott and John P. Pittman
26. A Companion to Applied Ethics
    Edited by R. G. Frey and Christopher Heath Wellman
27. A Companion to the Philosophy of Education
    Edited by Randell Carren
28. A Companion to African Philosophy
    Edited by Kwasi Wiredu
29. A Companion to Heidegger
    Edited by Hubert L. Dreyfus and Mark A. Wrathall
30. A Companion to Rationalism
    Edited by Alan Neliden
31. A Companion to Pragmatism
    Edited by John R. Shook and Joseph Margolis
32. A Companion to Ancient Philosophy
    Edited by Mary Louise Gill and Pierre Pellegrin
33. A Companion to Nietzsche
    Edited by Keith Ansell Pearson
34. A Companion to Socrates
    Edited by Sara Abdel-Rapae and Rachana Kamtekar
35. A Companion to Phenomenology and Existentialism
    Edited by Hubert L. Dreyfus and Mark A. Wrathall
36. A Companion to Kant
    Edited by Graham Bird
37. A Companion to Plato
    Edited by Hugh H. Benson
38. A Companion to Descartes
    Edited by Janet Broughton and John Carriero
39. A Companion to the Philosophy of Biology
    Edited by Sabotu Sarbar and Anja Platynski
40. A Companion to Hume
    Edited by Elizabeth S. Radcliffe
41. A Companion to the Philosophy of History and Historiography
    Edited by Jesper Toller
42. A Companion to Aristotle
    Edited by Georgios Anagnostopoulos
43. A Companion to the Philosophy of Technology
    Edited by Jan-Yrje Berg Olesen, Stig Andur Pedersen, and Vincent F. Henrichs
44. A Companion to Latin American Philosophy
    Edited by Susanna Nicotelli, Ofelia Schutte, and Ottavio Bueno
45. A Companion to the Philosophy of Language
    Edited by Garry L. Hagberg and Walter Jost
46. A Companion to the Philosophy of Action
    Edited by Timothy O’Cinne and Constantine Sandis
47. A Companion to Relativism
    Edited by Steven D. Hales
48. A Companion to Hegel
    Edited by Stephen Houlgate and Michael Baur
49. A Companion to Schopenhauer
    Edited by Bart Vandome
50. A Companion to Buddhist Philosophy
    Edited by Steven M. Emmanuel
51. A Companion to Foucault
    Edited by Christopher Felson, Timothy O’Leary, and Jana Sanwicki
52. A Companion to the Philosophy of Time
    Edited by Heather Dyke and Adrian Bardon
53. A Companion to Donald Davidson
    Edited by Ernest Sosa and Kirk Ludwig
54. A Companion to Raws
    Edited by Jon Mandle and David Reidy
55. A Companion to W. V. O. Quine
    Edited by Gilbert Harman and Ernest Soper
56. A Companion to Derrida
    Edited by Zegzegh Dirik and Leonard Lawler
57. A Companion to David Lewis
    Edited by Barry Low and Jonathan Schaffar
58. A Companion to Kierkegaard
    Edited by Jon Stewart
59. A Companion to Locke
    Edited by Matthew Stuart
60. The Blackwell Companion to Hermeneutics
    Edited by Niall Keane and Chris Lown
61. A Companion to Ayn Rand
    Edited by Allam Gattel and Gregory Saliemi
62. The Blackwell Companion to Naturalism
    Edited by Kelly James Clark
63. A Companion to the Philosophy of Language (two-volume set), Second Edition
    Edited by Bob Hale
64. A Companion to Mill
    Edited by Christopher Mackey and Dale E. Miller
65. A Companion to Simone de Beauvoir
    Edited by Laura Henghold and Nancy Bauer
A Companion to Simone de Beauvoir

Edited by
Laura Hengehold and Nancy Bauer

WILEY Blackwell
Contents

Notes on Contributors ix
Acknowledgements xvii
Introduction 1
Laura Hengehold

Part I  Re-reading The Second Sex 13

A. Reception and Scholarship 13

1 Beauvoir’s Transdisciplinarity: From Philosophy to Gender Theory 15
   Stella Sandford

2 The Intellectual and Social Context of The Second Sex 28
   Sandra Reineke

3 “The Limits of the Abject.” The Reception of Le Deuxième Sexe in 1949 37
   Ingrid Galster

4 Simone de Beauvoir and the Race/Gender Analogy in The Second Sex Revisited 47
   Kathryn T. Gines

5 Two English Translations of Simone de Beauvoir’s The Second Sex 59
   Emily R. Grosholz

B. Central Themes 71

6 Beauvoir and the Biological Body 73
   Ruth Groenhout

7 Becoming Bodies 87
   Emily Anne Parker

8 The Drama of Independence: Narcissism, Childhood, and the Family Complexes 99
   Emily Zakin
CONTENTS

9 The Second Sexuality: Training in the Work of Simone de Beauvoir and Michel Foucault
   Mary Beth Mader 111

10 Beauvoir and the Ambiguities of Motherhood
   Alison Stone 122

11 Laboring with Beauvoir: In Search of the Embodied Subject in Childbirth
   Sara Cohen Shabot 134

12 Simone de Beauvoir on Motherhood and Destiny
   Nancy Bauer 146

13 Love – According to Simone de Beauvoir
   Tove Pettersen 160

14 Why is Woman the Other?
   Tanella Boni 174

Part II Beauvoir's Intellectual Engagements 185

15 Beauvoir and Hegel
   Kimberly Hutchings 187

16 Simone de Beauvoir’s Relation to Hegel’s Absolute
   Zeynep Direk 198

17 Beauvoir and Merleau-Ponty
   Jennifer McWeeny 211

18 Beauvoir and Merleau-Ponty on Freedom and Authenticity
   William Wilkerson 224

19 Beauvoir and the Marxism Question
   Sonia Kruks 236

20 Beauvoir Between Structuralism and “Aleatory Materialism”
   Eva D. Bahovec 249

21 Unweaving the Threads of Influence: Beauvoir and Sartre
   Christine Daigle 260

Part III Beyond The Second Sex 271

A. Beauvoir's Ethics and Political Philosophy 271

22 “Pyrrhus and Cineas”: The Conditions of a Meaningful Life
   Kristana Arp 273

23 Separation and Queer Connection in The Ethics of Ambiguity
   Laura Hengehold 286
24  Simone de Beauvoir on Violence and Politics
   Lori J. Marso  299

25  Why Rape? Lessons from The Second Sex
   Debra Bergoffen  311

26  Simone de Beauvoir, Women’s Oppression and Existential Freedom
   Patricia Hill Collins  325

B. Beauvoir and the Art of Philosophical Fiction  339

27  Beauvoir as Literary Writer
   Meryl Altman  341

28  Simone de Beauvoir and the Dialectic of Desire in L’Invitée
   Anne van Leeuwen  356

29  The Failure of Female Identity in Simone de Beauvoir’s Fiction
   Shannon M. Mussett  367

30  The Power of Literature: Simone de Beauvoir’s
    Les Mandarins and the Metaphysical Novel
    Sally J. Scholz  379

C. Beauvoir’s Scope: Memory, History, and Age  391

31  Beauvoir, Philosophy, and Autobiography
   Margaret A. Simons  393

32  Witnessing Self, Witnessing Other in Beauvoir’s Life Writings
   Ursula Tidd  406

33  Simone de Beauvoir: Women and Philosophy of History
   Michel Kail  418

34  The Postwar World According to Beauvoir
   William McBride  429

35  Afterlives: Beauvoir’s Old Age and the Intersections of The Second Sex
    Penelope Deutscher  438

Part IV Beauvoir and Contemporary Feminism  449

36  Race After Beauvoir
   Shannon Sullivan  451

37  Who Is the Subject of The Second Sex? Life, Science, and
    Transmasculine Embodiment in Beauvoir’s Chapter on Biology
    A. Alexander Antonopoulos  463

38  Misunderstanding in Paris
   Karen Vintges  478
CONTENTS

39  Beauvoir’s Legacy to the Quartiers: The Changing Face of French Feminism  
    Diane Perpich  
    489

40  Second Languaging The Second Sex, Its Conceptual Genius:  
    A Translingual Contemporization of “On ne naît pas femme: on le devient.”  
    Kyoo Lee  
    500

Index  
    514
Notes on Contributors

Meryl Altman is Professor of English and Women’s Studies at DePauw University. She is working on a book, Beauvoir in Time.

A. Alexander Antonopoulos teaches courses in sexuality and feminism at the Simone de Beauvoir Institute, the Department of Philosophy, and Political Science Department at Concordia University in Montreal, Québec. His published work includes the co-edited anthology High Culture: Reflections on Addiction and Modernity (State University of New York Press, 2003). He is currently working on a trans reading of The Second Sex, locating Beauvoir’s phenomenological tract within a history of modern science and the clinic.

Kristana Arp is Professor Emerita of Philosophy at Long Island University, Brooklyn. Her book The Bonds of Freedom: Simone de Beauvoir’s Existentialist Ethics was published in 2001 (Open Court). She is also the author of many scholarly essays on twentieth-century French and German philosophy.


Nancy Bauer is Professor of Philosophy, Dean of the School of the Museum of Fine Arts, and Dean of Academic Affairs for the Tufts University School of Arts and Sciences. She is interested in thinking about what philosophy is and what role it plays, or should or might play, in everyday human life. Her writing explores these issues, especially as they arise in reflection about gender and philosophy – and almost always with reference to Simone de Beauvoir. She is the author of Simone de Beauvoir: Philosophy, and Feminism (Columbia University Press, 2001) and How to Do Things With Pornography (Harvard University Press, 2015).

Debra Bergoffen is Professor Emerita of Philosophy at George Mason University and the Bishop Hamilton Philosopher in Residence at American University. Her writings include The Philosophy of Simone de Beauvoir: Gendered Phenomenologies, Erotic Generosities (State University of New York Press, 1997), Contesting the Politics of Genocidal Rape: Affirming the Dignity of the Vulnerable Body (Routledge, 2012), and the
Tanella Boni is a writer and professor of philosophy at Houphouët-Boigny University, Cocody, Abidjan (Ivory Coast), currently teaching at Université de Paris 8 as a visiting professor. She is a member of the Steering Committee of the International Federation of Philosophical Societies. Her works focus on human rights, African literatures and arts, feminist issues, and the relation between ethics and politics. She has authored several collections of poetry, essays, and novels, including Matins de couvre-feu (Editions du Rocher, 2005); Les nègres n’iront jamais au paradis (Serpent à plumes, 2006); La diversité du monde. Réflexions sur l’écriture et les questions de notre temps (Editions L’Harmattan, 2010); Que vivent les femmes d’Afrique? (Éditions du Panama, 2008); L’avenir a rendez-vous avec l’aube (Vents d’ailleurs, 2011); Toute d’étincelles vêtue (Vents d’ailleurs, 2014), and she is a contributor to the Routledge Companion to Feminist Philosophy, edited by Ann Garry, Serene Khader, and Alison Stone (2017).

Patricia Hill Collins is Distinguished University Professor of Sociology at the University of Maryland, College Park. She is the author of nine books, including the award-winning Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment (Routledge, 2000) and Black Sexual Politics: African Americans, Gender, and the New Racism (Routledge, 2004). Intersectionality, her most recent book co-authored with Sirma Bilge, was published in 2016 as part of Polity Press’s Key Concepts series.

Christine Daigle is Professor of Philosophy and Chancellor’s Chair for Research Excellence at Brock University (Canada). She is the author of Jean-Paul Sartre (Routledge, 2009) and co-edited with Jacob Golomb the volume Beauvoir and Sartre: The Riddle of Influence (Indiana University Press 2009). Her first book was a comparative study of Nietzsche and Sartre. She has published books and articles on Nietzsche, Beauvoir, and Sartre.

Penelope Deutscher is Joan and Sarepta Harrison Professor of Philosophy at Northwestern University. She is the author of a number of works in the area of twentieth-century French philosophy and gender and sexuality studies, including The Philosophy of Simone de Beauvoir (Cambridge University Press, 2008).

Zeynep Direk received her PhD from the University of Memphis in 1998. She is professor in the Department of Philosophy at Koç University in Istanbul, Turkey. She publishes on contemporary French philosophy, ethics, political philosophy, feminism, and the history of Turkish philosophy. Her research on feminism focuses on feminist thinkers’ interpretations of the fundamental problems and concepts of Western philosophy. Zeynep Direk edited the Blackwell Companion to Derrida, and is the author of three books in Turkish, Başkalık Deneyimi [The Experience of Alterity] (İstanbul: Yapı Kredi, 2005), Derrida’nın Siyaset Felsefesi, Derrida’s Political Philosophy (forthcoming, İstanbul: Metis, 2017) and Feminism and Philosophy (forthcoming, İstanbul: Metis, 2017).

Ingrid Galster (1944–2015) was Professor of Romance Literatures at the University of Padeborn, Germany. In addition to work on Latin American literature and film, she
was author/editor of numerous books on Jean-Paul Sartre, particularly his dramatic works, and four books on Beauvoir, including the collection *Simone de Beauvoir: Le Deuxième Sexe. Le livre fondateur du féminisme moderne en situation* (Paris: Honoré Champion, 2004), which explored the state of the intellectual disciplines referenced by Beauvoir in 1949 and resulted in a revised version of Gallimard’s text. A groundbreaking intellectual historian, Galster also undertook significant primary research into Sartre’s and Beauvoir’s activities during the Occupation. Her last book was *Simone de Beauvoir und der Feminismus* (Hamburg: Argument Verlag, 2015).

**Kathryn T. Gines**’s primary research and teaching interests lie in continental philosophy (especially Existentialism and Phenomenology), Africana Philosophy, Black Feminist Philosophy, and Critical Philosophy of Race. She has published articles on race, assimilation, feminism, intersectionality, and sex and sexuality in contemporary hip-hop. Gines is author of *Hannah Arendt and the Negro Question* (Indiana University Press, 2014) and has co-edited an anthology titled *Convergences: Black Feminism and Continental Philosophy* (State University of New York Press, 2010). She is the founding director of the Collegium of Black Women Philosophers (CBWP), the director of Cultivating Underrepresented Students in Philosophy (CUSP), co-founder (with Shirley Moody Turner) of the Anna Julia Cooper Society, and a founding co-editor of the journal *Critical Philosophy of Race* (CPR).

**Ruth Groenhout** is Professor of Philosophy and Chair of the Philosophy Department at Calvin College, in Grand Rapids, Michigan. Her publications focus on a range of issues in bioethics and an ethics of care, and include *Connected Lives: Human Nature and an Ethics of Care* (Rowman & Littlefield, 2004), and *Philosophy, Feminism, and Faith* (Indiana University Press, 2003). She has written a variety of journal articles on issues such as the ethics of public health research, embodiment and the nurse–client encounter, virtue theory and feminism, and the international brain drain problem in medicine.


**Laura Hengehold** is Associate Professor and Chair of the Department of Philosophy at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, USA. She is the author of *Simone de Beauvoir’s Philosophy of Individuation* (Edinburgh University Press, 2017) and *The Body Problematic: Foucault and Kant on Political Imagination* (Pennsylvania State University Press, 2007). Her current project is *Anonymity and Recognition: Simone de Beauvoir and Feminist Politics* (forthcoming). She has published numerous articles on political philosophy, feminist philosophy, and philosophy of sexuality using perspectives from Continental European and African thinkers.

**Kimberly Hutchings** is Professor of Politics and International Relations at Queen Mary University of London. She has published widely in the fields of international political theory, ethics and feminist philosophy. She is the author of *Hegel and Feminist
NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

Philosophy (Routledge, 2003) and co-editor, with Tuija Pulkkinen, of Hegel’s Philosophy and Feminist Thought: Beyond Antigone (Palgrave Macmillan, 2010).

Michel Kail is Professeur agrégé of Philosophy (Paris) and, from 1986 to 2007, was a member of the editorial board of Sartre’s and Beauvoir’s own journal, Les temps modernes. He is the editor of the journal L’Homme et la Société, revue internationale de recherche et de synthèse en sciences sociales, and author of many books and papers about Sartre and Simone de Beauvoir, political philosophy and criticism of economics. His most recent book Jean-Paul Sartre, Qu’est-ce que la subjectivité?, edited by Michel Kail and Raoul Kirchmayr, with an Afterword by Fredric Jameson (Paris, Les Prairies Ordinaires, 2013), was published in English by Verso in 2016.

Sonia Kruks is the Robert S. Danforth Professor of Politics at Oberlin College, where she teaches political theory and philosophy. Her main research interests lie at the intersections of existential phenomenology with feminist and other political theory. She is the author of Simone de Beauvoir and the Politics of Ambiguity (Oxford University Press, 2012) and Retrieving Experience: Subjectivity and Recognition in Feminist Politics (Cornell University Press, 2001), as well as other books and numerous papers.

Kyoo Lee, who teaches philosophy and related courses at The City University of New York, is a theorist and writer working widely in the intersecting fields of the arts and the humanities. She is the author of Writing Entanglish (Belladonna Chapbook, 2015) and Reading Descartes Otherwise: Blind, Mad, Dreamy, and Bad (Fordham University Press, 2012), and also has co-edited journal issues on “Safe” (Women’s Studies Quarterly, 2011), and “Xenophobia & Racism” (Critical Philosophy of Race, 2014). A recipient of faculty fellowships from the Mellon Foundation and Korea Institute for Advanced Study, she also occasionally summer-teaches at Jack Kerouac School of Disembodied Poetics, seminaring on philopoetics. Currently, she serves as an Associate Editor of Derrida Today and Hypatia, and is also on the editorial boards of Open Humanities Press and WSQ – The Feminist Press. A member of Poetry Translation Center, UK, she also serves on the PEN America Translation Committee.

Anne van Leeuwen is Assistant Professor in the Department of Philosophy and Religion at James Madison University. She teaches courses in twentieth-century continental philosophy, and she is interested in the reception of Marxism and phenomenology in French philosophy, as well as intersections among psychoanalysis, critical theory, and structuralism. She has published articles on Simone de Beauvoir and Luce Irigaray and is co-editing a volume on Beauvoir and Irigaray (Oxford University Press, forthcoming).

Mary Beth Mader is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Memphis. Her research specializations are in Recent and Contemporary Continental Philosophy and Feminist Philosophy. She is the author of Sleights of Reason: Norm, Bisexuality, Development (State University of New York Press, 2011), and articles on Luce Irigaray, Michel Foucault and Gilles Deleuze. She translated Luce Irigaray’s The Forgetting of Air in Martin Heidegger, and contributed editorial translation work to Margaret A. Simons’ Simone de Beauvoir, Philosophical Writings (University of Illinois Press, 2004).

Lori J. Marso is Doris Zemurray Stone Professor of Modern Literary and Historical Studies and Professor of Political Science at Union College in Schenectady, NY. Her


Jennifer McWeeny is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Worcester Polytechnic Institute. She is co-editor with Ashby Butnor of *Asian and Feminist Philosophies in Dialogue: Liberating Traditions* (Columbia University Press, 2014) and her articles have appeared in *Continental Philosophy Review, Hypatia, Simone de Beauvoir Studies, Chiasmi International*, and *Journal for Critical Animal Studies*, among other venues. McWeeny’s research and teaching interests are in the areas of feminist philosophy, phenomenology, philosophy of mind, ontology, decolonial theory, and Asian and comparative philosophies. She has served as Executive Secretary for the Eastern Division of the Society for Women in Philosophy and as the Director of the Fortieth Annual Meeting of the International Merleau-Ponty Circle. She was recently named Editor-in-Chief of *Simone de Beauvoir Studies*.

Shannon M. Mussett is Professor of Philosophy at Utah Valley University. She specializes in existentialism, German Idealism and feminist theory. She is co-editor of *Beauvoir and the History of Philosophy from Plato to Butler* (State University of New York Press, 2012) and co-editor of *The Contradictions of Freedom: Philosophical Essays on Simone de Beauvoir’s ‘Les Mandarins’* (State University of New York Press, 2006). She publishes widely on Beauvoir and Hegel and is currently working on a manuscript detailing the philosophy of entropy.

Emily Anne Parker is Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Towson University. Her work has appeared in the *Southern Journal of Philosophy, Philosophy Today, Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy, Trans-Humanities* and *philoSOPHIA: A Journal of Continental Feminism*. She is editor of a special issue entitled “Luce Irigaray: From Ecology to Elemental Difference” of the *Journal of the British Society for Phenomenology* and co-editor of a volume forthcoming with Oxford University Press re-reading the relationship between the works of Simone de Beauvoir and Luce Irigaray.

Tove Pettersen is Professor of Philosophy at the Faculty of Humanities, University of Oslo (UiO), Norway and currently the president of the International Simone de Beauvoir

**Sandra Reineke** is Associate Professor of Political Science and Women’s and Gender Studies at the University of Idaho (USA), where she also directs the University Honors Program. Her research focuses on citizenship rights and biopolitics in international perspective. Her book, entitled *Beauvoir and Her Sisters: The Politics of Women’s Bodies in France*, was published in 2011 by the University of Illinois Press. Other recent articles appeared in *Simone de Beauvoir Studies, The International Journal of Feminist Approaches to Bioethics*, and *Contemporary French Civilization*.

**Stella Sandford** is Professor of Modern European Philosophy in the Centre for Research in Modern European Philosophy at Kingston University, London, UK. She is the author of *Plato and Sex* (Polity, 2010), *How to Read Beauvoir* (Granta/Norton, 2006) and *The Metaphysics of Love: Gender and Transcendence in Levinas* (Athlone/Continuum, 2000). She is co-editor (with Mandy Merck) of *Further Adventures of the Dialectic of Sex: Critical Essays on Shulamith Firestone* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2010) and (with Peter Osborne) *Philosophies of Race and Ethnicity* (Continuum, 2002), as well as essays on Philosophy and Psychoanalysis, Kant, Critical Philosophy of Race, and feminist philosophy and theory.

**Sara Cohen Shabot** is Assistant Professor in the Women’s and Gender Studies Program, University of Haifa, and has a PhD in philosophy from the University of Haifa, Israel. She has specialized in phenomenology, feminist philosophy, and philosophies of the body. Her dissertation (published in Hebrew) dealt with the concept of the grotesque and the grotesque body in relation to Merleau-Ponty’s philosophy. Her current research and publications address phenomenological perspectives (mainly Beauvoirian) on the maternal embodied subject.

**Diane Perpich** is Director of Interdisciplinary Undergraduate Programs and Associate Professor of Philosophy at Clemson University. She is the author of *The Ethics of Emmanuel Lévinas* (Stanford University Press, 2008) and has published essays on phenomenology, French feminism, and contemporary issues in ethics. She is currently at work on a project assessing the contributions of the phenomenological-existential tradition to debates in social ontology.

topics. She is a former editor of the *APA Newsletter on Feminism and Philosophy* and is currently Editor of *Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy*.

**Margaret A. Simons**, Distinguished Research Professor Emerita, Department of Philosophy at Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, is author of *Beauvoir and The Second Sex: Feminism, Race, and the Origins of Existentialism* (Lanham, 1999); a founding editor of *Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy*; and editor/co-editor of several volumes of critical articles on Beauvoir’s philosophy, as well as six volumes of texts by Beauvoir in English translation as part of the Beauvoir Series (co-edited with Sylvie Le Bon de Beauvoir; University of Illinois Press), including most recently, *Feminist Writings* (2015).


**Shannon Sullivan** is Chair of Philosophy and Professor of Philosophy and Health Psychology at UNC Charlotte. She is author or editor of eight books, including most recently *Good White People: The Problem with Middle-Class White Anti-Racism* (State University of New York Press, 2014) and *The Physiology of Sexist and Racist Oppression* (Oxford University Press, 2015).

**Ursula Tidd** is Professor of Modern French Literature and Thought at the University of Manchester. She is the author of four monographs: *Simone de Beauvoir, Gender and Testimony* (Cambridge University Press, 1999); *Simone de Beauvoir* (Routledge “Critical Thinkers” series, 2004) and *Simone de Beauvoir* (Reaktion Books, “Critical Lives” series, 2009), *Jorge Semprún: Writing the European Other* (Legenda, 2014), as well as essays on Beauvoir’s life writing, fiction and philosophy.


**William Wilkerson** is Dean of the Honors College at the University of Alabama in Huntsville. He received his B.A. in Humanities from Willamette University, and his Ph.D. in Philosophy from Purdue University. He has published many articles on
tenth-century European philosophy and GLBTQ philosophy. He co-edited, with Jeffrey Paris, *New Critical Theory: Essays on Liberation* (Rowman & Littlefield, 2001); authored a book on sexual identity entitled *Ambiguity and Sexuality* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2008); and co-edited (with Shannon Mussett) a collection of essays on the work of Simone de Beauvoir called *Beauvoir and Western Thought from Plato to Butler* (State University of New York Press, 2012). He also has research and teaching interests in political philosophy, Marxism, feminist philosophy, and gender studies. When he is not thinking about these things, he enjoys gardening, woodwork, cooking, and reading books that have plots.

**Emily Zakin** is Professor of Philosophy at Miami University. She conducts research and teaches courses in political philosophy, psychoanalysis, feminist theory, and nineteenth- and twentieth-century continental philosophy. Her current research focuses on the limits and possibilities of political community. She is the co-editor of *Derrida and Feminism: Recasting the Question of Woman* (Routledge, 1997), and *Bound by the City: Greek Tragedy, Sexual Difference, and the Formation of the Polis* (State University of New York Press, 2009). She was also a founding co-editor of *philoSOPHIA: A Journal of Continental Feminism*. She has published numerous book chapters and articles in journals such as *Journal of Speculative Philosophy, The Southern Journal of Philosophy*, and *Telos*. 
First and foremost, I want to extend my thanks to Nancy Bauer, with whom this project was first conceived, and without whom it would not have been possible. Her vision and guidance have been crucial to its completion. I would also like to acknowledge the patience and encouragement of our first editor at Wiley Blackwell, Liam Cooper, who really wanted this volume to see the light of day.

Many other editors at Wiley Blackwell, including Marissa Koors, Roshna Mohan, Manish Luthra, and Sakthivel Kandaswamy, deserve recognition for their later support of the huge task we had set ourselves. I owe a particularly concrete debt to Megan Weber and to our copy editor, Doreen Kruger, who helped me wrestle the manuscript into shape and patiently polish it. Their expertise, attention to detail, and dedication to getting things done the right way were essential, and exemplary. I also want to thank the Baker-Nord Center for the Humanities at Case Western Reserve University for financial support to assist me during the final phase of editing, and Maggie Kaminski, its administrative director, who provided sage tactical advice more than a few times. I should also mention my debt to Cheryl Toman, whose wide-ranging expertise in francophone studies and ongoing conversation regarding the art of translation are indispensable to me. And I would be lost without Renee Holland-Golphin’s moral support and adroit management of my schedule.

Kyoo Lee, Sonia Kruks, Peg Simons, and Bill McBride generously lent their expertise to our efforts. Karen Thornton and Mark Eddy, the philosophy librarians at Case Western Reserve University, deserve special thanks for having, along with Emily Grosholz and Peg Simons, helped me sort through the thickets of available editions of Beauvoir’s major texts referenced in these chapters. I am also grateful to Presses Universitaires de Sorbonne for permission to include Chapter 3 as a tribute to the late Ingrid Galster, an eminent intellectual historian, critic, and defender of Beauvoir whose work should be better known in English.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest thanks to the contributors for the opportunity to read, discuss, and publish their work. It has truly been an enjoyable process getting to know, in however cursory a fashion, such talented and knowledgeable scholars in so many different countries, and to learn about their different approaches not only to Beauvoir but to the philosophical traditions at whose crossroads she stands.
In a 2006 essay, William McBride proposed that “Beauvoir must be put at the center of twentieth century philosophy, for The Second Sex is in a certain sense both more original than Sartre’s works and more evocative of the spirit of her age” (McBride 2006, 95).

These words from a noted American specialist in existentialism and continental political philosophy are all the more striking because at the time of her death in 1986, Beauvoir’s stature was nowhere near so secure – and as Margaret Simons recounts in her contribution to this volume, Beauvoir herself seemed determined to resist appropriation by philosophers. Even three years later after her death, at the end of the Cold War when many of the cultural changes of the 1970s seemed vulnerable to reversal, scholars in an academy dominated by French post-structuralism considered Beauvoir’s contribution to feminism outdated almost on arrival.

During the late 1970s and 1980s, Simone de Beauvoir’s ghost was an egalitarian among North Atlantic feminists who no longer wanted equality on men’s terms and suspected universalism for its suppression of difference. To this generation, structuralism and psychoanalysis seemed more “revolutionary” than existentialism although Beauvoir herself used them in writing The Second Sex. Meanwhile, the Fourth World Conference on Women in Beijing would not bring Western feminists into contact and conflict with similar activists from around the globe until 1995. During the preceding two decades, therefore, Beauvoir was read primarily as a novelist and chronicler of intellectual culture, particularly in France, and even in anglophone universities there was scarcely a recognized field of “feminist philosophy” for which The Second Sex could be canonical.

How the situation has changed. Since the publication of The Second Sex we have seen not only an explosion of scholarship in women and gender studies but also in feminist philosophy. As Stella Sandford explains in “Beauvoir’s Transdisciplinarity,” the decades
of Beauvoir’s productivity overlapped with an equally long timespan of reception, during which disciplines were created and dominant knowledge formations were altered, sometimes transformed. Beauvoir’s work has endured and flowered in the last two decades, thanks primarily to the lasting influence of *The Second Sex* on the distribution of scholarly discourses dealing with gender, sexuality, and even old age. For anglophone readers, this engagement and expansion have been greatly assisted by the eight-volume *Beauvoir Series* edited by Margaret Simons for University of Illinois Press. The *Beauvoir Series* translates and/or reissues Beauvoir’s shorter essays on philosophical, political, and literary topics; her early working diaries; some of her journalism; a play and a screenplay. *The Blackwell Companion to Simone de Beauvoir* tries to do justice to that breadth by including contributions from established and junior scholars in multiple disciplines from over ten countries.

The keystone to our presentation is *The Second Sex*, which Michèle Le Dœuff described as a book that “[put] an end to loneliness, which teaches people to see,” and thereby “has greater and more immediate importance than all the manifestos in the world” (Le Dœuff 1989, 57). Indeed, Kyoo Lee notes in “Second Languaging *The Second Sex*,” Beauvoir’s work topped the *Guardian’s* 2015 list of “Ten Books That Changed the World.” The first volume of *The Second Sex* describes scientific and literary discourses, including outright myths, that have led women to be seen as radically Other to men in Western societies, if not globally. The second volume then describes the real lives, the lived experience or “expérience vécue” of women such as Beauvoir knew them, read about them in Western literature, or learned of them through anthropological texts about other cultures.

Beauvoir’s text was shaped in part by her encounter, during a visit to the United States in 1947, with the ways that American racism positioned African Americans as Other, and by her reading of Gunnar Myrdal’s influential report on American race relations, *An American Dilemma* (1944). Philosophically, her primary references are the German idealist philosopher G. W. F. Hegel, who was also important in the Marxist discourse of the time, and Claude Lévi-Strauss, whose most important work of structuralist anthropology she had the opportunity to read while researching her text. But Sartre and Merleau-Ponty are also found throughout the book, as well as Marx and Lacan. Despite philosophical, class, and cultural differences, women from many parts of the world were able to see their own situations reflected in *The Second Sex* and motivated to change them.

**Part I. Re-reading The Second Sex.** The first essays in this section address the historical and disciplinary context of *The Second Sex*, both at the time of its publication and today. “Beauvoir’s Transdisciplinarity” introduces many themes that will resonate throughout the *Companion* by noting that *The Second Sex* is philosophical but also challenged disciplinary philosophy so as to make space in the contemporary episteme for gender and sexuality studies of various kinds. To Stella Sandford, the scope of Beauvoir’s work resembles nothing so much as the Frankfurt School’s projected transformation of traditional theory into critical theory. From a discourse of philosophy defined by its worldlessness, Beauvoir drew attention to philosophy’s implication in that world and to the oppressive aspects of that world.
Contributions by Sandra Reineke and the late Ingrid Galster offer a sense of the cultural and political climate in which Beauvoir’s work was first read, particularly with respect to the constraining images of female sexuality, maternity, and lesbianism in French medicine and the public sphere. Galster, who extensively researched Beauvoir’s wartime activities, shows how the misogynist reaction to The Second Sex played out following the logic of cultural conflicts from the Occupation years. Turning to North America, Kathryn Gines investigates Beauvoir’s debt to African-American writers such as Richard Wright and perhaps indirectly, W. E. B. Dubois, as well as mid-twentieth-century scholars on race, such as Gunnar and Alva Myrdal. Gines introduces a textual, philosophical, and political problem that is taken up in several chapters later in the volume: the analogy between sexual and racial discrimination in Beauvoir’s Introduction to The Second Sex. This analogy has posed theoretical as well as practical obstacles for black women, whose existence it seems to negate, but it has also shaped the canon formed around Beauvoir’s own work, for even when white feminists acknowledge the limits of this analogy, their scholarly debates tend to ignore black women’s own writing on Beauvoir.

In the final chapter of this section, Emily Grosholz addresses the vicissitudes of Beauvoir’s text in English. The history of the translation is useful background for any other chapter in this volume. First appearing in serial form in Les temps modernes, the journal edited by Beauvoir, Sartre, Merleau-Ponty, and others, the complete two-volume edition of Le deuxième sexe was released by Gallimard in 1949. It has since been translated into more than forty languages. Although many of these international translations were directly from French, others took the English version by H. M. Parshley as their guide. The defects of this translation by an accomplished and well-meaning scientist, in conflict with the commercial imperatives of the Knopf publishing house, were first exposed by Margaret Simons at a conference in 1979 and circulated by print in 1983 (Simons 1983, 559–64). In part, Beauvoir’s relative invisibility as a thinker for several decades rather than as an activist or novelist can be blamed on Parshley’s exclusion or omission of philosophically significant terms from his translation. Taken together, Reineke, Galster, Gines, and Grosholz show that the culture of reception, the language of reception, and the hierarchies and priorities of the academy are important factors in the history of The Second Sex.

This introductory section is followed by one focusing on “Central Themes” of Beauvoir’s text. Chapters by Ruth Groenhout (“Beauvoir and the Biological Body”) and Emily Parker (“Becoming Bodies”) analyze Beauvoir’s treatment of the body known by medicine and the body as “singularity.” Groenhout engages with the work of Anne Fausto-Sterling, while Parker’s chapter explores the metaphysical presuppositions of “postmodern” feminist theorists of human embodiment. Groenhout’s and Parker’s chapters are far-reaching because one cannot avoid reading Beauvoir’s theory of embodiment, however it is understood, into her description of lived experiences such as girlhood, motherhood, and love.

In these chapters, Groenhout and Parker also introduce important – and multivalent – concepts that will reappear throughout the volume. For example, the ambiguity of experience rests on the contrast between transcendence and immanence, or the ability to go beyond what is given in experience and passive subjection to experience as framed and imposed by others. Ambiguity refers to the unfinished and ultimately undecidable
nature of human perception, interpretation, and action. Groenhout, Parker, and later contributors in the volume such as Michel Kail also distinguish between naturalism, particularly new approaches to naturalism, and the biological determinism with which naturalism has been conflated for many decades and which Beauvoir considered inimical to women’s autonomy. Beauvoir’s relationship to biology plays an important role in later chapters on maternity in The Second Sex, and the implications of biology and naturalism reappear in chapters by Lori Marso, Shannon Sullivan, and Alex Antonopoulous.

The following contributions to “Central Themes” deal with particular topics or chapters from The Second Sex, including the moral difficulties and opportunities posed by the situation of “woman,” such as narcissism and reciprocity. Emily Zakin situates Beauvoir’s critique of female narcissism with respect to her appropriation of psychoanalysis, particularly the work of Jacques Lacan. Mary Beth Mader offers a comparison between Beauvoir’s account of the “training” of female children and adolescents and the account of boys’ education and discipline found in Michel Foucault’s descriptions of modern European power-knowledge constructs. In their examination of childhood, both of these authors draw attention to Beauvoir’s claim that sexual differentiation requires internalization of an “almost originary” relationship to others.

Three chapters by Alison Stone, Sara Cohen Shabot, and Nancy Bauer address Beauvoir’s controversial treatment of maternity in The Second Sex. As Reineke explained, Beauvoir was writing at a time when contraception and abortion were difficult and dangerous for Frenchwomen, and at the height of the French government’s postwar pro-natalism campaign. Beauvoir’s insistence on the significance of reproductive autonomy and her critique of romantic myths surrounding motherhood have been read as being hostile to the female body. Her belief, reiterated in later interviews, that women’s primary social identity must come from political and economic activities distinct from maternity has been criticized as a response to a specifically Western European cultural predicament that does not necessarily hold elsewhere in the world. Stone reviews the interpretations and agrees that Beauvoir’s view of maternity is generally negative. By contrast, Shabot engages in personal reflection on her own experiences of motherhood and finds Beauvoir helpful for thinking about the impact of medicalized and romanticized views of childbearing labor on women’s experiences of their bodies as transcending the given. For Bauer, the question posed by Beauvoir is how motherhood plays into men’s and women’s respective tendencies to renounce autonomy. Here, the structuring analogy to which Bauer draws attention in The Second Sex is between the classic Western relationship of mother to child and the sexist relationship of man to woman, both being efforts to secure recognition from a consciousness that has little opportunity to refuse it.

Tove Pettersen’s consideration of love in The Second Sex, whether romantic heterosexual and lesbian love or love between parents and children, carries forward Bauer’s investigation of the humanizing promise and the temptation to evasion that come with love. Pettersen argues that Beauvoir distinguishes between authentic and inauthentic love, where reciprocity is the primary touchstone for authentic love relationships.

Just as several chapters in this Companion highlight the relationships between history and biology, others focus on the relationship of history to myth. Beauvoir’s central claim in the first volume of The Second Sex is that neither the biology, psychology, nor
radical economic analysis of her time can explain women’s status as Other to men, although myths supporting this status can be found in history and literature. As Eva Bahovec explains later in the volume, the universality of Beauvoir’s philosophical claims emerges from her study of structural anthropology, and not just the post-Kantian tradition of European philosophy, particularly Hegel. At the time when The Second Sex was written, France ruled over a large colonial empire. The anthropological sources available to Beauvoir took the Otherness of non-European peoples for granted and mythified their customs in ways that would not become clear until the dawn of decolonization.

In the chapter titled “Why is Woman the Other?” Ivoirian philosopher Tanella Boni explores the scholarly and the emotional context through which Beauvoir encountered African women both as idea and reality. She asks how complicity with some forms of foreignness (for example, Beauvoir’s love for the American Nelson Algren) increases the apparent distance of other forms, and how bodily differences reinforce that distance. Boni’s contribution deepens the investigation of love as a context for complicity or justice found in Bauer and Pettersen. Like Kathryn Gines, moreover, Boni addresses Beauvoir’s troubled analogy between the situation of women, primarily white women, in the Western societies with which she was familiar and the situation of black men and women in the United States, though not in metropolitan France.

**Part II. Beauvoir’s Intellectual Engagements.** For many decades, Beauvoir claimed not only to have been relatively uninterested in philosophy, but to have been influenced by only one philosopher, her partner Jean-Paul Sartre. Only recently has the wealth of Beauvoir’s interaction with other philosophers such as Husserl, Hegel, or Merleau-Ponty been explored, or used as the basis for new philosophical work, whether in phenomenology or feminism. Every chapter in this collection situates Beauvoir in the context of philosophical and non-philosophical authors with whom she was in dialogue, but the second main section of this Companion is devoted to careful consideration of Beauvoir’s intellectual debts to particular thinkers.

The influence of the nineteenth-century German idealist philosopher G. W. F. Hegel was first explored by Eva Lundgren-Gothlin in *Sex and Existence* in 1991 (Lundgren-Gothlin 1996). In “Beauvoir and Hegel,” Kimberly Hutchings describes the trajectory of Beauvoir’s engagements with Hegel, while Zeynep Direk (“Simone de Beauvoir’s Relation to Hegel’s Absolute”) argues that The Second Sex proposes a very sophisticated internal critique of Hegel’s assumption that Woman can remain an “absolute” other, as myths would suggest, without doing violence to his own conception of the Absolute. Rather than reading Beauvoir as anti-Hegelian, therefore, Direk sees her as an atheist participant in the largely Christian movement of philosophical personalism.

Jennifer McWeeny and William Wilkerson discuss Beauvoir’s personal friendship and philosophical dialogue with Maurice Merleau-Ponty. Merleau-Ponty is best known for his proposal that subjectivity is thoroughly embodied and expresses its freedom in bodily ways. In “Beauvoir and Merleau-Ponty,” McWeeny asks whether Beauvoir’s notion of ambiguity was a precursor to Merleau-Ponty’s characterization of the interface between the human body and its world as one flesh. For Wilkerson, the question is ethical rather
than metaphysical: why is freedom so often a matter of authenticity or inauthenticity for Beauvoir but rarely for Merleau-Ponty?

Sonia Kruks discusses Beauvoir’s debt to Marx and the way different historical strains of Marxism are represented in her texts, often without clear markers. The fact that Beauvoir, like Sartre, is critical of historical determinism should not be thought to undermine Beauvoir’s belief that only socialism will truly free women. Kruks shows how Marxist problems are highlighted in Beauvoir’s late text on aging in modern societies, *La Vieillesse* (*Old Age*, translated in the United States as *The Coming of Age*). In the chapter titled “Beauvoir Between Structuralism and ‘Aleatory Materialism,’” Eva Bahovec asks whether Beauvoir’s appropriation of Lévi-Strauss and Lacan might have led her ideas about philosophy of history in the same direction as Althusser, a structuralist Marxist whose work is normally considered antithetical to “existentialism.” In the process, as Zakin did with Lacan, Bahovec brings Beauvoir into dialogue with thinkers who were her historical contemporaries but who are usually assigned to a subsequent generation of French intellectual culture.

Each of these chapters also gives influence a different significance in the reading and writing of philosophy. Christine Daigle’s chapter, “Unweaving the Threads of Influence,” addresses three points of reciprocal influence in Beauvoir’s philosophical relationship with Sartre – ontological commitments, methodological commitments, and literary commitments. But Daigle also asks, in a Nietzschean spirit, why influence matters to us.

**Part III. Beyond The Second Sex.** Part III of the Companion explores the remainder of Beauvoir’s corpus. The topic of the first part is “Beauvoir’s Ethics and Political Philosophy” apart from *The Second Sex*. The texts in question include the early essays from Beauvoir’s so-called “moral period,” such as the classically existentialist “Pyrrhus and Cineas” and *Pour une morale de l’ambiguïté* [*The Ethics of Ambiguity*], the essays from *Privilèges*, and other texts now collected in the volume *Political Writings* (Beauvoir 2012). The section begins with a highly accessible chapter on “Pyrrhus and Cineas” by Kristana Arp, which explains why this essay is better suited for introducing students to existentialism than Sartre’s now-classic “Existentialism is a Humanism.” Arp shows how Beauvoir’s essay does, in fact, address the familiar question of whether life has meaning, what that might be, and what conditions make that meaning possible.

Subsequent chapters in this section focus loosely on human conflict in a political, economic, or sexual context. Laura Hengehold reads *The Ethics of Ambiguity* with an eye to the role of separation and aggression in human existence and in sexual imagination, showing how these fundamental ethical concerns remain at the center of “anti-social” trends in queer theory. Lori Marso explores the implications of Beauvoir’s refusal to rule out violence as a political tool. How does Beauvoir’s awareness of the ever-present potential for conflict inform her understanding of freedom and oppression? Debra Bergoffen asks how Beauvoir’s ideas about myths posing women as “the sex” are actualized in rape and in the related social degradation of sex workers. In these chapters, Beauvoir’s public refusal to support the pardon of condemned Collaborationist writer Robert Brassilach and her advocacy on behalf of the Algerian militant Djamila Boupacha play a central role. In various ways, they tease out a Beauvoirian ethical perspective on the phenomenon of shame as well as violence.
In the final chapter of this section, Patricia Hill Collins investigates the concept of freedom found in all of Beauvoir’s texts. While most of the chapters read Beauvoir as a phenomenologist, “Simone de Beauvoir, Women’s Oppression and Existential Freedom” interrogates the political implications and theoretical obstacles that arise when Beauvoir philosophizes using universals and analogies like the analogy between race and gender. Like Sandford, Collins is concerned with the definitions of disciplinarity thrown into play by *The Second Sex* and with the problems of “methodological narcissism” that limit their ability to produce effective knowledge for all women. Beyond critiques of any specific analogy Beauvoir might have used, this chapter focuses on problems posed by analogy as philosophical method.

For most of her life, and by her own stated preference, Beauvoir identified with her role as a literary author and published seven major works of fiction, ranging from short story cycles to novellas, including the award-winning *Les Mandarins*. Yet all of her literary output was philosophically informed, and her notion of “committed literature” differs from Sartre’s in important respects. The second section of Part III in this *Companion*, titled “Beauvoir and the Art of Philosop[hal Fiction],” introduces readers to the range of issues found in Beauvoir’s novels, lectures and essays on literature, as well as Beauvoir’s introductions to the literary works of others. Meryl Altman leads off with “Beauvoir as Literary Writer.” This chapter explores not only Beauvoir’s criteria for literary excellence but asks how Beauvoir, among other feminists, has understood what makes writing political. Why, she asks, do we not read *The Second Sex* for its literary qualities as well as its theoretical insights? Altman, like Sandra Reineke, spends time on Beauvoir’s early play “Les bouches inutiles” (“The Useless Mouths”) and shows how this play prefigures many of the concerns about women’s contingent social status in *The Second Sex*.

Anne van Leeuwen (“Simone de Beauvoir and the Dialectic of Desire”) offers a reading of Beauvoir’s first well-received novel, *L’Invitée (She Came to Stay)* in which Beauvoir’s reworking of Hegel is considered from a structuralist psychoanalytic perspective. Van Leeuwen’s reading of the novel, it should be noted, steps back from the emphasis on recognition in Beauvoir’s ethics found in earlier chapters on love. In “The Failure of Female Identity in Simone de Beauvoir’s Fiction,” Shannon Mussett investigates the portrayals of feminine psychology in Beauvoir’s novels, particularly *L’invitée, Tous les hommes sont mortels (All Men are Mortal)*, and *La femme rompue (The Woman Destroyed)*. Beauvoir was famously criticized for not presenting “positive” female characters or for failing to encourage empathy with female characters that she believed were in the grip of sexist illusions or ethical errors. In different ways, Van Leeuwen’s and Mussett’s chapters are fruitful when read along with Zakin’s earlier chapter on narcissism and Beauvoir’s debt to Lacan. Mussett’s treatment of *The Woman Destroyed* also complements Bauer’s discussion of motherhood as destiny. Finally, Sally Scholz uses *Les Mandarins* to illustrate Beauvoir’s understanding of the “metaphysical novel” found in the early essay, “Literature and Metaphysics.”

Although Beauvoir was a trenchant critic of the myths enclosing women in the role of Other, including literary myths, she also invented myths about her own life and the relative place held by philosophy and literature, as well as Jean-Paul Sartre and other male and female lovers through the years. Beauvoir was a keen observer and chronicler
of her own life, her time, and the world around her. She published six volumes of memoirs, including accounts of her last days with her mother and with Sartre, two works of travel writing, and eventually, a significant body of personal letters. These are the subject of the final section of Part III, “Beauvoir’s Scope.”

First, Margaret Simons tells the story of her various efforts to confront Beauvoir for minimizing the role of philosophy in her personal myth and the way this has affected our understanding of the history of existentialism. Next, in “Witnessing Self, Witnessing Other.” Ursula Tidd describes the different narrative strategies by which Beauvoir synthesized a “self,” an “era,” or a “life” for readers in each of her historical genres. Tidd’s account presents war as a gendered experience, and explores World War II and the Algerian War as key moments when Beauvoir’s understanding of the relationship between gender and social situation changed. In doing so, Tidd shows how the existential-phenomenological understanding of historicity as an aspect of human situation also applies to the female body as situation.

Michel Kail’s contribution (“Women and Philosophy of History”) tackles the role of history in Beauvoir’s work head on. Readers, Kail argues, assumed Beauvoir had no distinctive philosophy of history because of Sartre’s well-known contributions to this domain in Critique of Dialectical Reason, particularly the introduction published as Search for a Method. Kail, a former editor to Les temps modernes, shows how Beauvoir’s understanding of history is bound up with the natural dimension of human existence as well as the autobiographical dimension, and therefore cannot be reduced to a determinism. In this, his chapter complements Eva Bahovec’s on Beauvoir and structuralism.

Earlier in the volume, Sonia Kruks situated Beauvoir’s comments on Marxism with respect to the Cold War and justifications of right-wing thought in France. In “The Post-War World According to Beauvoir,” William McBride looks at Beauvoir’s full-length travel reports on her trips to the United States and China, America Day by Day and The Long March, during a time of political tension among the United States, the Soviet Union, and China. He reveals how the politics of translation concealed Beauvoir’s concerns about American racism from anglophone readers and how differently the Americans and the Chinese, who have since emerged as contemporary rivals, seemed to envision their future at the moment when Beauvoir encountered them.

In 1973, Simone de Beauvoir published one of the first significant gerontological studies of Western societies. As with The Second Sex, Beauvoir was inventing a new interdisciplinary field with political and existential significance around a familiar but disparaged population. The phenomenon of aging demonstrates that historicity is part of the individual human condition. In Old Age (The Coming of Age), Beauvoir argued that the elderly become Other rather than, like women, being presumed Other from the start. However, while men can avoid the situation of being female, no human can avoid the situation of aging. In the chapter titled “Afterlives,” Penelope Deutscher plays with the multiple meanings of alterity, uniting themes from all Beauvoir’s writings on memory, time, historicity, and selfhood. She reads Old Age with an eye to the concept of intersectionality made prominent by African-American feminist theorists and asks how categories of experience like race or age tend to expand or limit one another’s scope in
the course of doing actual social science. This chapter adds Beauvoir’s comparison between Other-as-woman and Other-as-elderly to the volume’s ongoing discussion of analogical thinking, leading Deutscher to ask how analogies can be expanded or contracted to do justice to overlapping and complex forms of oppression.

**Part IV. Beauvoir and Contemporary Feminism.** Together with Gines and Collins, Deutscher’s treatment of intersectionality situates Beauvoir’s less known but equally provocative text on aging in the context of contemporary feminist concerns. Today, Beauvoir is read by scholars and the ordinary public in countries with their own movements and historical conflicts over kinship, gender, and community. How does the anti-naturalist concept of “becoming” woman translate from one historical context to another? In what ways does Beauvoir remain “actuel” or relevant to contemporary feminism, both in Europe and around the world?

On the one hand, Beauvoir’s texts encounter biological and bioethical issues posed by scientific and technological advances, as well as the feminist philosophy of science that has emerged since her death. As Emily Anne Parker already hinted in an earlier chapter, biology and new materialism have become important counterparts to phenomenology in enabling us to make sense of Beauvoir’s claims. Non-reductive forms of materialism do not seem to entail the determinism or teleological (and covertly religious) ideas about nature that proved so damaging to women’s freedom in masculinist societies. How might these discourses change, for example, our reading of girlhood in *The Second Sex*? Moreover, what might Beauvoir’s chapter look like if it were rewritten to include girls of many races and nationalities?

Although biological explanations for racism have been proven nonsensical, as Shannon Sullivan shows, racism and discrimination do have biological effects on those who are racially differentiated. Rather than critiquing Beauvoir’s racism, “Race After Beauvoir” puts the theoretical resources of Beauvoir’s chapter on “Biology” together with feminist science studies in the service of anti-racism. In “Who is the Subject of *The Second Sex*?” A. Alex Antonopoulos re-reads the same chapter of *The Second Sex* by way of Simone de Beauvoir’s very early essay on the French biologist Claude Bernard. Antonopoulos points out that in French philosophy of science, the word *expérience* has the double meaning of “experience” (i.e., *expérience vécue*) and “experimentation.” Playing on the difference between the external understanding of the body as shaped by genetics and the internal understanding of the body as shaped by endocrinology, Antonopoulos argues that the felt “error” of transmasculine experience resists both the scientific and political normalizing of the body in the history of biology.

On the other hand, Beauvoir’s texts encounter contemporary forms of transnational feminism and the legacy of France’s colonial presence in Algeria. Since they were first published, the Cold War has ended and formerly colonized countries have become independent. As Joan Scott points out, the status of women was even used as a litmus test for selecting new countries to join the European Union (Scott 2003). Women’s rights and LGBT rights are often promoted as a sign of moral progress and sometimes used by wealthy nations to justify or deny international intervention or aid. Simone de Beauvoir’s name was associated with atheism and rebellion against traditional French
Catholicism, however blunted it may have been by republican laïcité; today, atheism is accepted in Europe and European conflicts over religion center on Islam rather than Catholicism.

Although North African immigrants have been arriving in France since the nineteenth century, their current social situation is shaped by the politically controversial return of “white” French colonists (pieds noirs) to the French metropole after the Algerian War and the influx of refugees from Algeria’s civil war in the 1990s. In “Misunderstanding in Paris,” Karen Vintges situates Beauvoir with respect to the larger European context of discomfort with Islam and non-European immigration. Popular opposition to these phenomena now threatens the European Union and the traditions of civil liberties that Western Europe has long taken for granted. Beauvoir’s ideas, to Vintges’ alarm, have been recruited by thinkers on the center right to feed arguments for why feminism is incompatible with religious tolerance and a pluralistic immigration policy.

As Patricia Hill Collins noted earlier in her chapter, it is interesting to see which of Beauvoir’s claims about sexism remain valid in the highly religious environment of French immigrants from North Africa, an environment in which mainstream racism toward young black and Arab men is a constant preoccupation for their lovers, sisters, and mothers. In “Beauvoir’s Legacy to the Quartiers,” Diane Perpich investigates the relevance of The Second Sex for French women’s rights activists of immigrant descent. By discussing the trajectories and struggles of specific activists against the backdrop of feminism’s changing self-conception in France, as well as in Quebec, Perpich shows where Beauvoir’s analysis of women’s oppression and even her style of self-narration remain alive for marginalized French women, although often in the form of a tacit cultural reference.

Kyoo Lee’s “philopoetic” intervention, “Second Languaging The Second Sex,” returns to the question of translation. Lee asks how Beauvoir’s ideas resonate and change when they migrate outside the sphere of European languages and intellectual influence. Specifically, she queries, “how does the self-renewing, textured temporality of “On ne naît pas femme: on le devient” translate – transfer and transform – itself into other “natural,” “major” “Continental” languages?” such as “Arabic, Chinese, Hindi, Swahili … Afrikaans, Japanese, Korean, Persian, Turkish, etc.” Lee examines the philosophical resonances carried by the words for “being born” and its subject, the “one,” in Korean and Chinese. She suggests that we have no reason to believe the “second” sex is necessarily bound to the “first” metaphysics of European thought. Indeed, this concluding chapter points us towards those linguistic and philosophical communities (for example, Latin America, most of Africa, the Indian subcontinent, and the Arab world) that were not represented in the final version of this Companion, and from which scholars will surely put together their own collections in coming decades.

When citing The Second Sex, all authors in this volume have used the 2009 English edition translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier. However, it may be useful for readers to know that the British edition (published in 2009 and 2013 by Jonathan Cape) and the U.S. edition (published in 2009, 2010, and 2011 by Knopf and Vintage) have different introductions (by Sheila Rowbotham in the British case and by Judith Thurman in the American case). This means that the page numbers for citations to the English translation of The Second Sex may vary from chapter to chapter.