

SOCIOLINGUISTICS IN ENGLAND

Edited by Natalie Braber
and Sandra Jansen



Sociolinguistics in England

Natalie Braber • Sandra Jansen
Editors

Sociolinguistics in England

palgrave
macmillan

Editors

Natalie Braber
School of Arts & Humanities
Nottingham Trent University
Nottingham, UK

Sandra Jansen
Department of English and American
Studies
University of Paderborn
Paderborn, Germany

ISBN 978-1-137-56287-6 ISBN 978-1-137-56288-3 (eBook)
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-56288-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017962231

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover illustration: © Jay's photo / Getty Images

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

The registered company address is: The Campus, 4 Crinan Street, London, N1 9XW, United Kingdom

Map of England



<http://d-maps.com/m/europa/uk/angleterre/angleterre38.pdf>

Acknowledgements

As with all projects of this kind, it has taken quite some time to complete! There are people who we would like to thank for their help in ensuring this book has made it to print.

First of all, we would like to thank the following colleagues for their time and expertise in providing detailed comments on the draft chapters: Anita Auer, David Britain, Thomas Devlin, Rob Drummond, Nicholas Flynn, Sue Fox, Sophie Holmes-Elliott, Sam Kirkham, Claire Nance, Dennis Preston, Tamara Rathcke, Devyani Sharma, Eivind Torgersen, Danielle Turton, Clive Upton and Kevin Watson. Special thanks go to Joan Beal who, in addition to reviewing a chapter, advised us on our introductory chapter.

We would also like to thank Rebecca Brennan, Chloe Fitzsimmons and Rebecca Wyde at Palgrave Macmillan for helping us throughout the publishing stages from proposal to final publication.

It goes without saying that we would like to thank all the contributors of this book who have been very patient with us and sent additional information when we have had to request this at short notice. It has been a pleasure to work with them.

Contents

- | | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | An Overview of Sociolinguistics in England | 1 |
| | <i>Sandra Jansen and Natalie Braber</i> | |
| 2 | Urban Literacies and Processes of Supralocalisation:
A Historical Sociolinguistic Perspective | 13 |
| | <i>Anita Auer</i> | |
| 3 | Social Change, Linguistic Change and Sociolinguistic
Change in Received Pronunciation | 35 |
| | <i>Anne H. Fabricius</i> | |
| 4 | The Changing Language of Urban Youth: A Pilot Study | 67 |
| | <i>Rob Drummond</i> | |
| 5 | Stylisation and the Dynamics of Migration, Ethnicity
and Class | 97 |
| | <i>Ben Rampton</i> | |

6	The Perceptual Dialectology of England	127
	<i>Chris Montgomery</i>	
7	Variation and Change in Varieties of British Sign Language in England	165
	<i>Adam Schembri, Rose Stamp, Jordan Fenlon, and Kearsy Cormier</i>	
8	Language Change and Innovation in London: Multicultural London English	189
	<i>Sue Fox and Eivind Torgersen</i>	
9	The Effect of Economic Trajectory and Speaker Profile on Lifespan Change: Evidence from Stative Possessives on Tyneside	215
	<i>Isabelle Buchstaller and Adam Mearns</i>	
10	Pit Talk in the East Midlands	243
	<i>Natalie Braber</i>	
11	Studying Intonation in Varieties of English: Gender and Individual Variation in Liverpool	275
	<i>Claire Nance, Sam Kirkham, and Eve Groarke</i>	
12	Peripheral Communities and Innovation: Changes in the GOOSE Vowel in a West Cumbrian Town	297
	<i>Sandra Jansen</i>	
13	‘Doing Cornishness’ in the English Periphery: Embodying Ideology Through Anglo-Cornish Dialect Lexis	333
	<i>Rhys J. Sandow and Justyna A. Robinson</i>	

14 Residual Rhoticity and Emergent <i>r</i>-sandhi in the North West and South West of England: Different Approaches to Hiatus-Resolution?	363
<i>William Barras</i>	
Index	393

Notes on Contributors

Anita Auer is Professor of English Linguistics at the University of Lausanne (Switzerland). As a historical (socio)linguist with a keen interest in interdisciplinary research, particularly the correlation between language variation and change and socio-economic history, she has carried out research and published widely on language standardization, corpus compilation, variation and change of different morpho-syntactic features, and historical sociolinguistics.

William Barras is Lecturer in Linguistics at the University of Aberdeen. He has research interests in dialectology, sociolinguistics and phonology. He completed a PhD in linguistics and English language at the University of Edinburgh in 2011. His thesis focused on rhoticity and intrusive-*r* in East Lancashire. He has also worked on the 'Fisherspeak' project at the University of Aberdeen, documenting loss of vocabulary in the fishing dialects of the East Coast of Scotland, and as a research associate at the University of Manchester on the project, 'Regional Identity and the Indexical Field'. He has taught at the universities of Edinburgh, York and Aberdeen. His publications include *Lexical Variation and Attrition in the Scottish Fishing Communities* with Robert McColl Millar and Lisa Bonnici, and a chapter in *The Archers in Fact and Fiction: Academic Analyses of Life in Rural Borseshire*.

Natalie Braber is Reader in Linguistics at Nottingham Trent University. Her research concerns sociolinguistics and language variation, with a specific interest in the East Midlands, including language of coal miners in the region. Her articles have been published in *English Today*, *Journal of Pragmatics*, *Oral History*

and *Identity*, and numerous book chapters in edited collections have also been published. She has also written *Nottinghamshire Dialect* (2015) and *Pit Talk* (confirm date) and was editor (alongside Liz Morrish and Louise Cummings) of *Exploring Language and Linguistics* (Cambridge University Press) in 2015.

Isabelle Buchstaller is Professor for Varieties of English at University of Duisburg-Essen. Her main research interests are language variation and change, including the role of contact in ongoing linguistic change. She has published widely on ongoing changes in the area of morpho-syntax, including her monograph *Quotative: New Trends and Sociolinguistic Implications* published by Wiley Blackwell in 2014. Her current ERC-funded research project (Buchstaller 2013–2017) investigates the mechanisms of intra-speaker instability with an eye on the range and the determinants of linguistic malleability across the lifespan of the individual.

Kearsy Cormier is Reader at University College London, and Director of the British Sign Language Corpus Project.

Rob Drummond is Senior Lecturer in Linguistics at Manchester Metropolitan University, working primarily within sociolinguistics. His main area of research interest is the role of language variation in the performance and perception of identities, especially in relation to urban youth language, Manchester accents and dialects, and non-native English.

Anne Fabricius is Associate Professor of English Language and a member of the LANGSOC research group at Roskilde University. She originally studied linguistics in Australia and then trained as a quantitative sociolinguist in Denmark, working on vocalic and consonantal variation and change in Received Pronunciation (RP) in England, and has worked on the sociolinguistics of RP since then. Her research interests also include language attitudes and ideologies, the English language in Danish Higher Education, and innovative methods for sociophonetic research, which she has published on together with Dominic Watt (University of York).

Jordan Fenlon is Lecturer in British Sign Language at Heriot-Watt University, and has worked on a number of studies drawing on the British Sign Language Corpus data.

Sue Fox is Lecturer in Modern English Linguistics at the University of Bern, Switzerland. She is a sociolinguist whose research interests are language variation and change, especially in urban multicultural contexts, multiethnolects, language and dialect contact, the impact of immigration on language change and the

language of adolescents from a variationist perspective. Her research has mainly focused on the social and historical contexts that have led to the variety of English that is spoken today in London.

Eve Groarke is currently an MMedSci student in Clinical Communication Studies at the University of Sheffield, UK. She was formerly a research assistant at Lancaster University, UK.

Sandra Jansen is Senior Lecturer in English Linguistics at the University of Paderborn/Germany. Her research focuses on language variation and change, with a specific interest in Cumbrian dialects and L2 varieties of English. Jansen has published several journal articles and book chapters on language changes in the far north-west of England.

Sam Kirkham is Lecturer in Sociophonetics at Lancaster University, UK. His research interests include phonetics, sociolinguistics, bilingualism and contact varieties.

Adam Mearns has taught at the Universities of Sheffield and Leeds and at Northumbria University. He is currently Lecturer in the History of the English Language at Newcastle University. Recent publications have focused on the development of the *Diachronic Electronic Corpus of Tyneside English* (DECTE), the dialect of Tyneside and the concept of the supernatural in Old English.

Chris Montgomery is Senior Lecturer in Dialectology at the University of Sheffield. His research concerns Perceptual Dialectology, with a specific interest in methodological approaches to the study of non-linguists' perceptions. His articles have been published in the *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, the *Journal of Linguistic Geography*, and *Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English*. He was the editor (alongside Jennifer Cramer) of *Cityscapes and Perceptual Dialectology: Global Perspectives on Non-linguists' Knowledge of the Dialect Landscape* (Mouton de Gruyter), and with Karen Corrigan of a special issue of *English Language and Linguistics* on the role of place in historical linguistics. In addition, he has published numerous book chapters in other edited collections.

Claire Nance is Lecturer in Phonetics and Phonology at Lancaster University, UK. Her research interests include phonetics, laboratory phonology and sociolinguistics, with a particular focus on Scottish Gaelic and Celtic languages.

Ben Rampton is Professor of Applied and Sociolinguistics and Director of the Centre for Language Discourse and Communication at King's College London. He is the author of *Crossing: Language and Ethnicity among Adolescents* (Longman

1995) and *Language in Late Modernity: Interaction in an Urban School* (CUP 2006). He co-edited *The Language, Ethnicity and Race Reader* (Routledge 2003) and *Language and Superdiversity* (Routledge 2015), and he edits *Working Papers in Urban Language and Literacy*. He was founding convener of the UK Linguistic Ethnography Forum, and was the Director of the King's ESRC Interdisciplinary Social Science Doctoral Training Centre from 2011–2014.

Justyna A. Robinson is Senior Lecturer in English Language and Linguistics at the University of Sussex. Her research focuses on semantic variation and change, cognitive sociolinguistics, corpus linguistics and how these areas interact. Her recent publications include co-edited volumes on *Cognitive Sociolinguistics* (2014), *Corpus Methods for Semantics* (2014) and *Cognitive Perspectives on Bilingualism* (2016).

Rhys Sandow is a PhD student in Linguistics at the University of Sussex. His research interests include lexicography, lexical semantics and, primarily, sociolinguistics. His PhD research involves developing a lexis-oriented sociolinguistic framework. Consequently, Sandow works on sociolinguistic methodology and theory in order to explore the social function of the lexicon.

Adam Schembri is Lecturer in Sociolinguistics at the University of Birmingham, and co-editor (with Ceil Lucas) of *Sociolinguistics and Deaf Communities*, published with Cambridge University Press.

Rose Stamp is Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of Haifa, and has published research into variation and change in British Sign Language.

Eivind Torgersen is Professor of English Language in the Faculty of Teacher Education at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology in Trondheim, Norway. He has worked on projects on Multicultural London English and language change in London, in particular, modelling of phonological change and the use of spoken corpora in sociolinguistic research, and on multilingualism in the English language classroom in Norway. Other research interests are in experimental phonetics.

List of Figures

Fig. 3.1	Locations of KIT, FLEECE and happy tokens, one male speaker (Reproduced from Fabricius 2002a: 228)	46
Fig. 3.2	Vowel plot, female speaker. Location of -es and -ed suffix vowels vis-à-vis KIT +, and schwa x (Reproduced from Fabricius 2002a: 222)	48
Fig. 3.3	Trends in rates of tapped and trilled /r/ by word position according to decade of recording (Reproduced from Fabricius 2017)	52
Fig. 3.4	Labial and non-labial /r/ in the corpus, by percentage (Reproduced from Fabricius 2017: 57)	53
Fig. 4.1	Vowel chart showing the mean normalised (Watt and Fabricius modified method) F1 and F2 measurements for Ryan	74
Fig. 4.2	Vowel chart showing the mean normalised (Watt and Fabricius modified method) F1 and F2 measurements for Damian	75
Fig. 4.3	Vowel chart showing the mean normalised (Watt and Fabricius modified method) F1 and F2 measurements for Leah	76
Fig. 4.4	Vowel chart showing the mean normalised (Watt and Fabricius modified method) F1 and F2 measurements for Luke	77

xviii **List of Figures**

Fig. 6.1	Results for prestige from 43 years of language attitudes research in England	134
Fig. 6.2	Results for social attractiveness from 43 years of language attitudes research in England	135
Fig. 6.3	Inoue's map of perceptual dialect areas in England (Redrawn from Inoue (1996: 149))	140
Fig. 6.4	Survey locations and other places mentioned in this chapter (This figure contains national statistics data, NISRA data, NRS data and Ordnance Survey data, all of which are © Crown copyright and database right 2013. Additional location data is © Crown Copyright and database rights 2016. Ordnance Survey (Digimap Licence))	141
Fig. 6.5	Geographical results from study 1	147
Fig. 6.6	Geographical results from study 2	148
Fig. 6.7	Map-based perceptions of the Yorkshire dialect area by respondents from Carlisle (<i>left</i>), Crewe (<i>middle</i>) and Hull (<i>right</i>)	149
Fig. 7.1	Two signs described by Bulwer (1648) that are still used in BSL today	168
Fig. 7.2	Birmingham and London number signs	177
Fig. 8.1	Distribution of the PRICE variant [æ] among different friendship groups	192
Fig. 8.2	Localities in the Linguistic Innovators project	194
Fig. 8.3	Vowel system in Hackney, old speakers (filled circle) and young speakers (cross)	197
Fig. 8.4	Vowel system in Havering, old speakers (filled circle) and young speakers (cross)	198
Fig. 8.5	Hackney Anglo (filled circle) and non-Anglo speakers (cross)	200
Fig. 8.6	Localities in the MLE project	206
Fig. 9.1	Map of Tyneside	216
Fig. 9.2	Coal mining employment in the UK 1913–2014 (Source: Department of Energy & Climate Change 2015)	217
Fig. 9.3	Male unemployment rate (Data: Office for National Statistics)	218
Fig. 9.4	<i>Have got</i> use amongst the panel speakers on Tyneside recorded in 1971 and 2013, socially stable working-class speakers	226
Fig. 9.5	<i>Have got</i> use amongst the panel speakers on Tyneside recorded in 1971 and 2013, socially upwardly mobile	

	speakers (Fred, Aidan) and the stably middle-class speaker (Nelly)	227
Fig. 10.1	Map showing locations of collieries in the East Midlands in the 1940 (Coalmining History Resource. http://www.cmhrc.co.uk/site/maps/em/index.html)	246
Fig. 10.2	Colliery locations in the East Midlands in the 1970s (Griffin 1971: 102)	247
Fig. 10.3	Map showing the locations of the miners interviewed by mine	255
Fig. 10.4	Sense Relation Networks used with the miners	256
Fig. 10.5	Props (Reproduced with permission of Local Studies Library, Nottingham)	264
Fig. 10.6	Men ascending in the cage (Reproduced with permission of Local Studies Library, Nottingham)	265
Fig. 10.7	Men sitting on a manrider (Reproduced with permission of Local Studies Library, Nottingham)	266
Fig. 10.8	Image of motties (Taken by Suzy Harrison)	268
Fig. 10.9	Image of commodified mining language in the North-East (Taken by Natalie Braber)	270
Fig. 11.1	Comparison of different kinds of rising contour in our notation. UNB rises are shown on the left and HRT rises on the right	278
Fig. 11.2	Schematic representation of each contour and its GlaToBI labelling	284
Fig. 11.3	Contours used in each sentence type by each speaker	285
Fig. 11.4	Median pitch range used by each speaker (absolute values; semitones)	287
Fig. 12.1	Vowel plot of John, a 60-year-old MC male speaker from Carlisle	298
Fig. 12.2	Vowel plot of Jen, a 23-year-old MC female speaker	299
Fig. 12.3	Geographical position of Maryport in Cumbria (d-maps.com)	304
Fig. 12.4	Overall distribution of normalised F2 by age group	311
Fig. 12.5	Overall distribution of normalised F2 by preceding environment	312
Fig. 12.6	Overall distribution of normalised F2 by following environment	313
Fig. 12.7	Overall distribution of F2 by style	314
Fig. 12.8	Overall distribution of F2 by sex	315
Fig. 12.9	Vowel plots for female speakers across apparent time	316

xx List of Figures

Fig. 12.10	Vowel plots for male speakers across apparent time	317
Fig. 12.11	Overall distribution of F1 according to age group	319
Fig. 12.12	F1 by sex across apparent time	320
Fig. 12.13	Overall distribution of F1 according to preceding environment	321
Fig. 12.14	Overall distribution of F1 according to following environment	322
Fig. 13.1	Spot-the-difference task: The <i>table</i> scene	338
Fig. 13.2	Spot-the-difference task: The <i>living room</i> scene	339
Fig. 13.3	Age and type of work in relation to use of the dialect form <i>crib box/croust tin</i>	342
Fig. 13.4	Age and identity in relation to use of the dialect form <i>crib box/croust tin</i>	344
Fig. 13.5	Indexical field for the dialect forms <i>crib box/croust tin</i> . Bold and bordered = ideological orientation, capitals = first-order indexicalities, regular lower-case = second, third, and higher order indexicalities, italics = audience-based considerations (We do not claim the indexical field to be exhaustive, merely illustrative)	353
Fig. 14.1	East Lancashire localities superimposed on the <i>Survey of English Dialects</i> isogloss for rhoticity, based on the <i>Linguistic Atlas of England</i> map for <i>third</i> (Orton et al. 1978: Ph30). Outline map source http://www.d-maps.com/carte.php?num_car=2555&lang=en	365
Fig. 14.2	Oxford superimposed on the <i>Survey of English Dialects</i> isogloss for rhoticity, based on the <i>Linguistic Atlas of England</i> map for <i>third</i> (Orton et al. 1978: Ph30). Outline map source http://www.d-maps.com/carte.php?num_car=2555&lang=en	366
Fig. 14.3	Four sample screens from the elicitation task	374
Fig. 14.4	Levels of rhoticity across the five East Lancashire localities. The shading represents -, + and ++ tokens of <i>r</i>	382
Fig. 14.5	Relation between rhoticity and word-internal intrusive- <i>r</i> in the Lancashire sentences task data. Spearman's $\rho = -0.71$, $p = 0.001$	383
Fig. 14.6	Levels of intrusive- <i>r</i> for individual speakers in the Oxfordshire sentence task data. Speaker codes include the year of birth	386

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Third-person singular forms in all investigated centres (normalised by 10,000 words)	23
Table 2.2	Third-person singular forms in different regional centres (normalised by 10,000 words)	25
Table 3.1	Means and standard deviations in F2-F1, male speaker (Data from Fabricius 2002a: 228)	47
Table 3.2	Means and standard deviations for κɪɪ/schwa, female speaker (Data from Fabricius 2002a: 222)	47
Table 3.3	Mixed methods logistic regression modelling for tapped and trilled /r/, <i>N</i> = 2289 (excluding OM4; data from Fabricius 2017: 56)	53
Table 3.4	Mixed methods logistic regression modelling for labiodental and labialised /r/, <i>N</i> = 2511 (including OM4; data from Fabricius 2017: 57)	54
Table 4.1	Leah's quotatives	82
Table 4.2	Words and phrases of interest from the conversations	84
Table 5.1	Percentage (and proportions) of STANDARD variants in four informants' production of 6 variables in formal and informal contexts	106
Table 6.1	Giles' (1970: 218) results for English varieties, vocal stimuli (mean ratings shown in parentheses)	132
Table 6.2	Giles' (1970: 218) results for English varieties, conceptual stimuli (mean ratings shown in parentheses)	133

xxii **List of Tables**

Table 6.3	Respondent details for each survey	142
Table 6.4	Numerical results from studies 1–3, showing 15 most frequently drawn areas across all studies	144
Table 6.5	Dialect area characteristics from draw-a-map tasks in all three studies	152
Table 8.1	Population mix in Hackney and Havering	194
Table 8.2	Sample of young speakers	195
Table 8.3	H-dropping across age groups	207
Table 8.4	K-backing across age groups	208
Table 8.5	K-backing across ethnic groups and age	209
Table 9.1	Sampling frame for the diachronic investigation of language change across longitudinal time as well as across the lifespan of the individual speaker	221
Table 9.2	Speaker profiles	222
Table 9.3	The system of stative possession in the 1970s	224
Table 9.4	The system of stative possession in 2007	225
Table 9.5	The system of stative possession amongst the older panel speakers on Tyneside recorded in 1971	225
Table 9.6	The system of stative possession amongst the older panel speakers on Tyneside recorded in 2013	226
Table 9.7	Use of stative possessive <i>got</i> per speaker in the 2013 panel sample	229
Table 10.1	Numbers of miners involved with both projects	254
Table 12.1	Sample overview	306
Table 12.2	Independent variables used for modelling GOOSE-fronting in Rbrul	309
Table 12.3	Rbrul output for F2 values in GOOSE	310
Table 12.4	Rbrul output for F1 as the application value	318
Table 14.1	Increased homophony in non-rhotic accents	367
Table 14.2	Reading prompts for the sentences task	371
Table 14.3	Multivariate analysis of coda /r/ in East Lancashire	377
Table 14.4	Multivariate analysis of Oxfordshire coda /r/	377
Table 14.5	Multivariate analysis of linking- <i>r</i> in East Lancashire	378
Table 14.6	Multivariate analysis of linking- <i>r</i> in Oxfordshire	378
Table 14.7	Multivariate analysis of intrusive- <i>r</i> in East Lancashire	379
Table 14.8	Multivariate analysis of intrusive- <i>r</i> in Oxfordshire	380

1

An Overview of Sociolinguistics in England

Sandra Jansen and Natalie Braber

Introduction

As we sat down for dinner at a conference in Rouen in May 2014, we got talking about the recent publication of *Sociolinguistics in Scotland*, edited by Robert Lawson. We were told that similar volumes were being planned for Ireland and Wales (they have since been published—in 2016 for Ireland, edited by Raymond Hickey, and in 2016 for Wales, edited by Mercedes Durham and Jonathan Morris). We were both working on sociolinguistic topics in England and therefore keen to complete the series, so we laid the plan for a similar publication. To our delight, Palgrave welcomed our proposal and you are now reading the fruit of what started as a dinner table chat.

S. Jansen
University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany

N. Braber (✉)
Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK

This book, similar to its counterparts in Scotland, Ireland and Wales, encompasses a range of studies representative of the research conducted in the sociolinguistic field in England in the 2010s, notably on phonological, lexical, syntactic and intonational variation in English and British Sign Language. Set out over the next 13 chapters, they contribute to the development of sociolinguistic theory and suggest directions which may be fruitful for future studies. This introduction provides a short synopsis of the development of sociolinguistics as academic field in England. Finally, it considers directions which future research could, and perhaps should, take.

Previous Work on Sociolinguistics in England

Here we review previous dialectological and variationist work on varieties of English in England, outlining studies which encompass different aspects of the interplay between language and society. While this review presents a wide range of topics, we do not claim that this is a complete overview, but we aim to highlight important milestones in the development of sociolinguistics as academic field in England.

In the nineteenth and in the first half of the twentieth century regional variation was the main concern of linguists and philologists. A first large-scale dialectological survey was carried out by Alexander John Ellis in the middle of the nineteenth century. He used a dialect test in which people, usually from small villages, were asked to read a short passage of 76 words in their local dialect in order to identify dialect areas that were mainly based on vowel distributions. Some decades later, in the early twentieth century, Wright published his highly influential *English Dialect Dictionary*, a six-volume collection of dialect words, compiling 70,000 dialect words which is now available as digitised source.¹

In the middle of the twentieth century, Harold Orton started work on the *Survey of English Dialects*, choosing the rural fieldwork sites. The aim of the project was to preserve a record of ‘traditional vernacular, genuine and old’ (Orton 1960: 332). Data were collected by fieldworkers who mainly interviewed non-mobile, older, rural males (cf. Chambers

and Trudgill 1980) in these rural communities, that is, the least mobile, most static people in the fieldwork sites. Orton shared the sentiment on the need of recording traditional dialects before they are lost with Wright, who stated in the preface to the *English Dialect Grammar*: ‘There can be no doubt that pure dialect speech is rapidly disappearing [...] The writing of this grammar was begun none too soon, for had it been delayed another twenty years, I believe it would by then be quite impossible to get together sufficient pure dialect material to enable anyone to give even a mere outline of the phonology of our dialects as they existed at the close of the nineteenth century’ (Wright 1905: iv–v taken from Beal 2010: 3).

From the 1970s onwards, there was a notable shift away from dialectological topics towards variationist sociolinguistics with Peter Trudgill as the most influential representative of this approach in England. His work in Norwich mainly focused on external factors such as gender and social class in order to explain the present variation. Seminal works such as *Dialectology* (1980; with Jack Chambers), *Dialects in Contact* (1986) and *The Dialects of English* (1990) were based on his sociolinguistic and dialectological work in England and Norway. While Trudgill mainly focused on phonological variation of adult speech, in her playground study Cheshire (1982) explored grammatical variation in the speech of children and found that children already show language variation, and boys use more non-standard forms than girls. She concluded that language variation on the grammatical level is governed by social and linguistic factors.

In the 1990s, consequences of dialect contact were explored further and two seminal projects on dialect contact situations in England were conducted. Dialect levelling and diffusion were identified as driving forces in language change. Kerswill and Williams (e.g. 2000) picked up the idea of new dialect formation from Trudgill (1986) and investigated dialect levelling and the creation of a koine in Milton Keynes, a New Town west of London. Britain (1997) also investigated dialect contact scenarios in the Fens, a sparsely populated area in the east of England, where he showed the geographical proximity is not the only factor influencing language use in dialect contact situations and that we must also

take into account the accessibility and psychological orientation of people. A question, which has sparked a lot of discussion in sociolinguistics in the last two decades, is whether local and/or regional varieties will be lost and a more general variety is the future of English in England or whether urban centres grow more apart in their use of language.

In the 1990s, a large project on *Phonological Variation and Change in Contemporary Spoken English (PVC)* investigated phonological variation and change in present-day urban dialects (cf. Milroy et al. 1999), focusing on Newcastle-upon-Tyne and Derby. The team around Lesley and James Milroy investigated variation and change processes in a considerable number of vowels and consonants. One of the outputs of this project was the edited volume *Urban Voices* (1999) by Foulkes and Docherty, in which phoneticians describe and discuss the variation in a number of urban varieties in the UK and Ireland. This book was highly influential for the research conducted in these areas in the first decade of the twenty-first century. It set the scene for investigating changes by diffusion, in particular of consonantal variables, such as Richards (2008), Jansen (2012) and Flynn (2012).

Research into the dialect use in the North East has a long tradition. The data of the PVC project were preceded by the *Tyneside Linguistic Survey (TLS)* in the 1960s, an investigation into the local dialect. In both projects, TLS and PVC, interview data were collected. Under the direction of Joan Beal, Karen Corrigan and Herman Moisl, the data of both projects were then amalgamated to what became the *Newcastle Electronic Corpus of Tyneside English (NECTE)*, (<http://research.ncl.ac.uk/necte>) in the early 2000s. This corpus was complemented by a corpus based on recordings conducted at the University of Newcastle since 2007, called NECTE 2. Both corpora were then combined to *The Diachronic Electronic Corpus of Tyneside English (DECTE)*, (<http://research.ncl.ac.uk/decte/>). The current website represents a unique example of a publicly available online corpus presenting dialect material spanning five decades. Various trend studies resulted from this corpus (e.g. Beal and Corrigan 2007; Barnfield and Buchstaller 2010). Isabelle Buchstaller's latest project involved panel studies where she traced some of the speakers from the TLS project and investigated their lifespan change (Buchstaller 2015). Buchstaller and Mearns report on some of these results in Chap. 9.

While dialect research in urban areas has been in focus since the early days of variationist sociolinguistics in the 1960s, Tagliamonte used a comparative sociolinguistic approach to study ‘which changes are the legacy of its origins and which are the product of novel influences in the places to which it was transported’ (Tagliamonte 2012: 1) by investigating morphosyntactic variation in peripheral areas of England, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The aim of this project was to study in how far the variation of certain forms provides a ‘window to the past’. Jansen picks up this point in her study of vowel variation in West Cumbria in Chap. 12.

Although there has been a long history of migration to the UK and particularly England, people only started to focus on language contact situations due to ethnic migration in the middle of the 2000s. Jenny Cheshire, Paul Kerswill and their team studied the emergence of Multicultural London English in the two major projects *Linguistic Innovators: the English of Adolescents in London* and *Multicultural London English: the Emergence, Acquisition and Diffusion of a New Variety*. Torgersen and Fox provide an overview of findings from these projects in Chap. 8.

The third-wave approach to language variation (cf. Eckert 2012) has been applied and developed further by a number of researchers in the first decade of the 2000s. By employing ethnographic methods, Moore (2010) investigated how different groups of girls in a Bolton High School use language to create identity. She identified two groups of female teenagers on the basis of their different attitudes, behaviour and lifestyle choices and showed that these groups use language to create their identity. Sharma and Rampton investigated the use of style and dialect developments in ethnic groups from an interaction sociolinguistic point of view, and in Chap. 5 Rampton discusses the stylisation and dynamics of migration, ethnicity and class. Drummond reports on initial results from his UrBEn-ID project in Chap. 4. The project investigates ways in which young people in an urban environment use language in the construction, negotiation and performance of their identities.

While most of the studies mentioned above explore the production of language, studies on attitudes towards and perception of varieties have a fairly long tradition in Great Britain and Montgomery discusses this in more detail in Chap. 6. In recent years, the so-called north-south divide in England has sparked interest for linguists. This is a culturally engraved

concept in England and linguists have been interested whether this can be delineated by language. This has resulted in the investigation of the linguistic markers separating the two regions (e.g. Wells 1986; Trudgill 1999). But it has been noted that this is not a straightforward division (e.g. Goodey et al. 1971; Wales 2002; Montgomery 2007; Beal 2008). There are clear stereotypes for the north and south, and they extend beyond language to political and socio-economic issues. The two main isoglosses which separate these two regions are the pronunciation of the STRUT and BATH vowels. These are very salient markers to people and can form important aspects of identity (e.g. Beal 2010: 14). However, Beal also comments that these particular examples of variation are relatively new and stem from the seventeenth century but since this time these distinctions have become very indicative of regional background.

There are problems with the north-south divide, for example, how areas like the East Midlands fit into the picture. The boundaries between north and south are defined in different ways. Beal's linguistic north does not include the East Midlands (Beal 2008: 124–5), neither does Wales' (2002: 48). Trudgill states that in traditional dialectology, the East Midlands area falls under *Central* dialects, which come under the *Southern* branch, but in modern dialectology, it falls in the *North* (Trudgill 1999: 35, 67). Hughes et al. (2012: 70) include a map which has the East Midlands in the north. Linguistically, the question has been raised whether there is a clear north-south boundary, see for example Upton (2012), where it is proposed that this region is a transition zone. There is other work on such transition zones, for example, Chambers and Trudgill (1998) and Britain (2003, 2013).

While Montgomery (2007) investigated the larger picture of this perceived border, Braber looked at the perceptions and attitudes in the East Midlands, a region where northern and southern features are used which resulted in the speakers not identifying strongly as being either from the north or the south but also from the Midlands (Braber 2014). Further study showed that the students were unlikely to name the East Midlands when mentioning dialect areas around the UK. They were also inaccurate when it came to labelling the East Midlands voices in dialect recognition tasks. The East Midlands may not have much cultural salience, and this could result in these students making errors in recognising and categorising the recorded voices. Pearce (2009) noted that cultural salience is an

important aspect of identifying north-east varieties which could explain the problematic identification in the East Midlands. Another aspect of the issue of the inability to label local varieties was brought out by students rating them negatively when they discussed the mind maps, which can be linked to Montgomery and Beal (2011) who investigated claims and denials by speakers and their significance. What is also interesting is that the students in Braber's study did not comment on an east-west divide (see also Upton 2012: 267), although there is a West Midlands that they could differentiate themselves from. Wales has shown that the location of a division can depend on the background of the speaker with those coming from further south placing the divide further south and vice versa (2002). Montgomery (2007) examines this in more detail. This divide is particularly pertinent to the public and continues to be the focus of a heated discussion.

In recent years, two workshops focusing on the north and the south have been launched. For a decade now, the Northern Englishes Workshop has run biennially and two books investigating northern varieties have been published (Hickey 2015; Beal and Hancil 2017). In 2014, a Southern Englishes Workshop was started to give awareness to the fact that varieties in the south of England are understudied. This workshop has so far run three times and in 2017 a meeting focusing on language variation and change aspects of southern English varieties took place.

Current Volume

The present book completes a series of volumes on sociolinguistic research in the British Isles, namely *Sociolinguistics in Scotland* (Lawson 2014), *Sociolinguistics in Ireland* (Hickey 2016) and *Sociolinguistics in Wales* (Durham and Morris 2016). In all of them the increase of mobility and therefore the increase of diversity has been stressed and this theme continues in this book. In designing the volume, our aim was to present the wealth of sociolinguistic research currently taking place in England. We have included investigations into language variation and change (Jansen, Nance et al., Fabricius, Sandow and Robinson), lifespan change (Buchstaller and Mearns), perceptual dialectology (Montgomery), historical sociolinguistics (Auer), corpus investigation (Schembri), language

contact (Torgersen and Fox), interaction sociolinguistics (Rampton), sociophonology (Barras), linguistic ethnography (Drummond) and semantic change (Braber, Sandow and Robinson). The structure of the volume is designed to reflect linguistic diversity in England.

In Chap. 2, Auer investigates the supraregionalisation processes behind the spread of the third-person singular *-s* form during the Early Modern English period in four locations. Fabricius discusses, in Chap. 3, changes in Received Pronunciation, the most prestigious accent in England. Drummond provides a pilot study on language use by urban youth in Manchester in Chap. 4. In Chap. 5, Rampton explores how practices like stylisation and language crossing fit into larger structures like migration, ethnicity and class. Montgomery, in Chap. 6, deals with the perception of the dialect landscape in England by non-linguists. Schembri et al. present variation and change results from their British Sign Language (BSL) corpus in Chap. 7. In Chap. 8, Fox and Torgersen provide an overview of results from the Multicultural London English projects. Buchstaller and Mearns investigate lifespan change in individuals in Tyneside in Chap. 9. Braber explores the lexical items present in 'pit talk' in the East Midlands in Chap. 10. Nance et al. explore intonational variation in Liverpool English in Chap. 11. In Chap. 12, Jansen discusses variation in the high back vowel in the peripheral area of West Cumbria. Sandow and Robinson present case studies of semantic changes in sociolinguistic contexts in Chap. 13. In the final Chap. 14, Barras conducts a comparative analysis of residual rhoticity and emergent *r*-sandhi in the north-west and south-west of England.

Future Direction

The sociolinguistic landscape in England is rapidly changing. As elsewhere, factors such as inward and outward migration and greater social mobility are leading to more diverse communities. Our aim with this volume is to show how current research on sociolinguistics in England highlights avenues for future research.

In addition to changing demographics, consequences of the political situation of the UK in this day and age will become more prominent in

linguistics. At the time of writing (March 2017) the UK is leaving the European Union (EU), discussion of an independent Scotland is back on the cards and an exodus of EU citizens from Britain is possible. However, the linguistic consequences are not predictable. At the same time, heritage language transmission and preservation are of linguistic interest as these processes can provide us with more information about language contact.

Sociolinguistics mostly concentrates on smaller case studies as the funding of large-scale studies is often problematic. However, digital humanities may open the way for collecting large data sets from wide regional areas. The team around David Britain has shown with their dialect app how large data sets can be set up and analysed. The rapid advancement of technology will facilitate new paths for sociolinguistics and dialectological studies.

The chapter by Schembri et al. shows that more research in the sociolinguistics of BSL is needed. We are only starting to understand variation in this language and case studies complementing the available BSL corpus are necessary to deepen our understanding.

One last point is the underrepresentation of certain areas in sociolinguistic work in England. Regions like the East Midlands and the south of England have not been subject of much research but form unique social settings worth studying.

Notes

1. English Dialect Dictionary is available online: <http://eddonline-proj.uibk.ac.at/edd/termsOfUse.jsp>

References

- Barnfield, K., & Buchstaller, I. (2010). Intensification on Tyneside: Longitudinal developments and new trends. *English World-Wide*, 31, 252–287.
- Beal, J. (2008). English dialects in the North of England: Phonology. In B. Kortmann & C. Upton (Eds.), *Varieties of English 1: The British Isles* (pp. 122–144). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Beal, J. (2010). *An introduction to regional Englishes*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

- Beal, J. C., & Corrigan, K. P. (2007). 'Time and Tyne': A corpus-based study of variation and change relativization strategies in Tyneside English. In S. Elspaß, N. Langer, J. Scharloth, & W. Vandebussche (Eds.), *Germanic language histories 'from Below' (1700–2000)* (pp. 99–114). Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Beal, J., & Hancil, S. (2017). *Perspectives of Northern English*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Braber, N. (2014). The concept of identity in the East Midlands of England. *English Today*, 30(2), 3–10.
- Britain, D. (1997). Dialect contact and phonological reallocation: 'Canadian Raising' in the English Fens. *Language in Society*, 26, 15–46.
- Britain, D. (2003). Welcome to East Anglia!: Two major dialect 'boundaries' in the Fens. In P. Trudgill & J. Fisiak (Eds.), *East Anglian English* (pp. 217–242). Woodbridge: Boydell and Brewer.
- Britain, D. (2013). Space, diffusion and mobility. In J. Chambers & N. Schilling (Eds.), *Handbook of language variation and change* (2nd ed., pp. 471–500). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Buchstaller, I. (2015). Exploring linguistic malleability across the life-span: Age-specific patterns in quotative use. *Language in Society*, 44(4), 457–496.
- Chambers, J. K., & Trudgill, P. (1980). *Dialectology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chambers, J. K., & Trudgill, P. (1998). *Dialectology* (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cheshire, J. (1982). *Variation in an English dialect: A sociolinguistic study*. Cambridge/New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Durham, M., & Morris, J. (Eds.). (2016). *Sociolinguistics in Wales*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Eckert, P. (2012). Three waves of variation study: The emergence of meaning in the study of variation. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 41, 87–100.
- Flynn, N. (2012). *Levelling and diffusion at the North/South border: A sociophonetic study of Nottingham speakers*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of York.
- Foulkes, P., & Docherty, G. (Eds.). (1999). *Urban voices: Accent studies in the British Isles*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Goodey, B., Gold, J., Duffett, A., & Spencer, D. (1971). *City scene. An exploration into the image of central Birmingham as seen by area residents*. Birmingham: Centre for Urban and Regional Studies, University of Birmingham.
- Hickey, R. (Ed.). (2015). *Researching Northern English*. Amsterdam/New York: John Benjamins.

- Hickey, R. (Ed.). (2016). *Sociolinguistics in Ireland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hughes, A., Trudgill, P., & Watt, D. (2012). *English accents and dialects*. London: Hodder Arnold.
- Jansen, S. (2012). *Variation and change in the Cumbrian city dialect of Carlisle*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Duisburg-Essen.
- Kerswill, P., & Williams, A. (2000). Creating a new town koine: Children and language change in Milton Keynes. *Language in Society*, 29(1), 65–115.
- Lawson, R. (Ed.). (2014). *Sociolinguistics in Scotland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Milroy, L., Milroy, J., Docherty, G. J., Foulkes, P., & Walshaw, D. (1999). Phonological variation and change in contemporary English: Evidence from Newcastle upon Tyne and Derby. *Cuadernos de Filología Inglesa*, 8, 35–46.
- Montgomery, C. (2007). *Northern English dialects: A perceptual approach*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Sheffield.
- Montgomery, C., & Beal, J. (2011). Perceptual dialectology. In W. Maguire & A. MacMahon (Eds.), *Analysing variation and change* (pp. 121–148). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Moore, E. (2010). The interaction between social category and social practice: Explaining was/were variation. *Language Variation and Change*, 22, 347–371.
- Orton, H. (1960). An English dialect survey: Linguistic atlas of England. *Orbis: Bulletin international de documentation linguistique*, IX(2), 331–348.
- Pearce, M. (2009). A perceptual dialect map of North East England. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 39(3), 162–192.
- Richards, H. (2008). *Mechanisms, motivations and outcomes of change in Morley (Leeds) English*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of York.
- Tagliamonte, S. (2012). *The roots of English*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Trudgill, P. (1986). *Dialects in contact*. New York: Basil Blackwell.
- Trudgill, P. (1999). *The dialects of England*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Upton, C. (2012). The importance of being Janus. In M. Markus, Y. Iyeiri, R. Henberger, & E. Chamson (Eds.), *Middle and modern English corpus linguistics* (pp. 257–268). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Wales, K. (2002). ‘North of the Watford Gap’. A cultural history of Northern English (from 1700). In R. Watts & P. Trudgill (Eds.), *Alternative histories of English* (pp. 45–66). London: Routledge.
- Wells, J. C. (1986). *Accents of English 2: The British Isles*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.