

Springer Proceedings in Energy

Marek Wróbel
Marcin Jewiarz
Andrzej Szlęk *Editors*

Renewable Energy Sources: Engineering, Technology, Innovation

ICORES 2018

 Springer

Springer Proceedings in Energy

The series Springer Proceedings in Energy covers a broad range of multidisciplinary subjects in those research fields closely related to present and future forms of energy as a resource for human societies. Typically based on material presented at conferences, workshops and similar scientific meetings, volumes published in this series will constitute comprehensive state-of-the-art references on energy-related science and technology studies. The subjects of these conferences will fall typically within these broad categories:

- Energy Efficiency
- Fossil Fuels
- Nuclear Energy
- Policy, Economics, Management & Transport
- Renewable and Green Energy
- Systems, Storage and Harvesting

Materials for Energy eBooks Volumes in the Springer Proceedings in Energy will be available online in the world's most extensive eBook collection, as part of the Springer Energy eBook Collection. Please send your proposals/inquiry to Dr. Loyola DSilva, Senior Publishing Editor, Springer (loyola.dsilva@springer.com).

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13370>

Marek Wróbel · Marcin Jewiarz ·
Andrzej Szlęk
Editors

Renewable Energy Sources: Engineering, Technology, Innovation

ICORES 2018

 Springer

Editors

Marek Wróbel
Faculty of Production and Power
Engineering
University of Agriculture in Krakow
Kraków, Poland

Marcin Jewiarz
Faculty of Production and Power
Engineering
University of Agriculture in Krakow
Kraków, Poland

Andrzej Szlęk
Institute of Thermal Technology
Silesian University of Technology
Gliwice, Poland

ISSN 2352-2534

ISSN 2352-2542 (electronic)

Springer Proceedings in Energy

ISBN 978-3-030-13887-5

ISBN 978-3-030-13888-2 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13888-2>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2019933184

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Organization

Program Chairs

Marek Wróbel, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Marcin Jewiarz, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Andrzej Szłek, Silesian University of Technology, Poland

Program Committee

Krzysztof Dziedzic, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Jarosław Frączek, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Adrian Knapczyk, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Wojciech Luboń, AGH University of Science and Technology in Krakow, Poland
Krzysztof Mudryk, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland
Grzegorz Pełka, AGH University of Science and Technology in Krakow, Poland
Artur Wójcik, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland

Contents

Part I Biomass, Liquid Biofuels and Biochar

Analysis of the Possibilities of Using a Hybrid Heating System in the Process of Anaerobic Biomass Decomposition in Mesophilic Conditions	3
Mariusz Adamski, Marcin Herkowiak, Natalia Mioduszewska, Ewa Osuch, Andrzej Osuch, Gniewko Niedbała, Magdalena Piekutowska and Przemysław Przygodziński	
Possibilities of Using Biomass from Nutshells for Energy Purposes	17
Andrzej Bryś, Magdalena Sokalska, Szymon Głowacki, Weronika Tulej, Joanna Bryś and Mariusz Sojak	
Study of PAR Intensity Distribution in Cylindrical Photobioreactors	27
Beata Brzychczyk, Tomasz Hebda and Jan Giełżecki	
Drying Kinetics of Selected Waste Biomass from the Food Industry	37
Beata Brzychczyk, Bogusława Łapczyńska-Kordon, Tomasz Hebda and Jan Giełżecki	
Process of Gradual Dysfunction of a Diesel Engine Caused by Formation of PM Deposits of FAME Origin	49
Bogusław Cieślukowski	
Selective Catalytic Dehydration of Bioethanol	59
Vitaliy E. Diyuk, Vladyslav V. Lisnyak and Ruslan Mariychuk	
Technical Options of Pruned Biomass Harvesting in the Apple Orchards Applying Baling Technology and Its Conversion to Energy	67
Arkadiusz Dyjakon	

Analysis of Physical Properties of Pellet Produced from Different Types of Dendromass	79
Szymon Głowacki, Weronika Tulej, Mariusz Sojak, Andrzej Bryś, Ewa Golisz and Jakub Kaczmarczyk	
Ultrasonic Impact on the Drying Process of Wood Biomass	89
Szymon Głowacki, Weronika Tulej, Mariusz Sojak, Andrzej Bryś, Małgorzata Jaros and Daniel Szulc	
Analysis of Technical Solutions of Planting Machines, Which Can Be Used in Planting Energy Willow	99
Taras Hutsol, Serhii Yermakov, Jurii Firman, Vasyl Duganets and Alla Bodnar	
Efficiency of Industrial Drying of Apple Pomace	113
Małgorzata Jaros, Albert Gniado, Ewa Golisz, Szymon Głowacki and Weronika Tulej	
Characteristics of Commercially Available Charcoal and Charcoal Briquettes in the Light of Petrographic Studies	123
Zbigniew Jelonek	
Physical and Chemical Analysis of Biomass Pellets in Terms of the Existing Standards	139
Dorota Koruba and Jerzy Zbigniew Piotrowski	
Study of Physical Properties of Rice and Corn Used for Energy Purposes	149
Weronika Kruszelnicka	
Potential of Soybean Straw in Ukraine and Solid Biofuel Production	163
Veronika Khomina, Ivan Trach, Iryna Semenyshyna, Olena Koberniuk, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Marek Wróbel and Jakub Styks	
Energy Efficiency of Biomass Production from Selected Energy Plants	171
Dariusz Kwaśniewski, Urszula Malaga-Toboła and Maciej Kuboń	
The Influence of Compost Fertilization on Wood Yield of SRC Willow in Second Rotation	183
Teodor Kitzak, Marek Bury and Małgorzata Szuleta	
Modeling of the Dynamic Modes of the Bioreactor, as an Object of Automatic Control	193
Boris Kotov, Taras Hutsol, Yuriy Pantsyr, Ihor Garasymchuk, Ivan Gordiychuk and Michael Torchuk	

Innovative Methods of Obtaining Substrates and Pre-treatment in the Production of Biogas	199
Sławomir Kurpaska, Paweł Kielbasa and Zygmunt Sobol	
Marketing Concepts in the Formation of the Biomass Market in Ukraine	209
Mykola Misiuk, Oleg Kucher, Maryna Zakhodym and Yulia Ievstafieva	
Analysis of Methane Efficiency of Sugar Beets Used as Co-substrate in Biogas Production	219
Natalia Mioduszewska, Jacek Przybył, Anna Smurzyńska, Mariusz Adamski, Ewa Osuch, Hubert Latała, Anna Karbowniczak and Krzysztof Nęcka	
Numerical Analysis of the Combustion Process in the Wood Stove	229
Przemysław Motyl, Marcin Wikło, Krzysztof Olejarczyk, Krzysztof Kołodziejczyk, Rafał Kalbarczyk, Bartosz Piechnik and Julita Bukalska	
Analysis of the Flue Gas Produced During the Coal and Biomass Co-combustion in a Solid Fuel Boiler	239
Natalia Maciejonczyk, Grzegorz Pełka, Wojciech Luboń and Daniel Malik	
The Preliminary Studies on the Wood Pellets Combustion in Pellet-Fired Domestic Boilers	247
Adam Nocoń, Marta Jach-Nocoń and Iwona Jelonek	
The Estimation of Above- and Below-Ground Biomass Residues and Carbon Sequestration Potential in Soil on Commercial Willow Plantation	257
Dariusz Niksa, Michał Krzyżaniak and Mariusz J. Stolarski	
Evaluation of the Fertilizing Potential of Products Based on Torrefied Biomass and Valorized with Mineral Additives	267
Marcin Niemiec, Monika Komorowska, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Jakub Sikora, Anna Szelağ-Sikora and Anna Rozkosz	
The Possibility of Using <i>Potamogeton Crispus</i> for Energy Purposes	277
Ewa Osuch, Andrzej Osuch, Stanisław Podsiadłowski, Piotr Rybacki and Mariusz Adamski	
Prospects for the Production of Biofuels from Crop Residues Bean and Its Environmental and Technological Characteristics	285
Vasyl Ovcharuk, Oleg Boyko, Olesya Horodyska, Olena Vasulyeva, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Marek Wróbel and Jakub Styks	

Prospects of Use of Nutrient Remains of Corn Plants on Biofuels and Production Technology of Pellets	293
Oleh Ovcharuk, Taras Hutsol, Olena Ovcharuk, Vadym Rudskyi, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Marek Wróbel and Jakub Styks	
Emissivity of Biomass Mixtures and Temperature Distribution in the Combustion Chamber in the Process of Thermal Energy Production	301
Joanna Pasternak, Paweł Purgał and Jolanta Latosińska	
The Use of Fertilizer Produced from Coal Combustion By-Products as a Part of Sustainable Management of Waste Materials	311
Łukasz Paluch, Marcin Niemiec, Krzysztof Mudryk, Maciej Chowaniak and Monika Komorowska	
Straw of Buckwheat as an Alternative Source of Biofuels	323
Havrylianchyk Ruslan, Tetiana Bilyk, Taras Hutsol, Oleksiu Osadchuk, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Marek Wróbel and Krzysztof Dziedzic	
The Usefulness of Nano-Organic-Mineral Fertilizer Stymjod in Intensification of Growth, Physiological Activity and Yield of the Jerusalem Artichoke Biomass	331
Zdzisława Romanowska-Duda, Mieczysław Grzesik and Regina Janas	
Stimulating Effect of Ash from <i>Sorghum</i> on the Growth of <i>Lemnaceae</i>—A New Source of Energy Biomass	341
Zdzisława Romanowska-Duda, Krzysztof Piotrowski, Barbara Wolska, Marcin Debowski, Marcin Zielinski, Piotr Dziugan and Szymon Szufa	
The Physical-Mechanical Properties of Fuel Briquettes Made from RDF and Wheat Straw Blends	351
Karolina Słomka-Polonis, Bogusława Łapczyńska-Kordon, Jarosław Frączek, Jakub Styks, Jakub Fitas, Bożena Gładyszewska, Dariusz Chocyk and Grzegorz Gładyszewski	
Perspectives of Fennel (<i>Foeniculum Vulgare</i> Mill.) Use for Energy Purposes	363
Vasyl Stroyanovsky, Veronika Khomina, Taras Hutsol, Kolosiuk Iryna, Krzysztof Mudryk, Marcin Jewiarz, Marek Wróbel and Adrian Knapczyk	
Torrefaction Process of Millet and Cane Using Batch Reactor	371
Szymon Szufa, Łukasz Adrian, Piotr Piersa, Zdzisława Romanowska-Duda, Marta Marczak and Joanna Ratajczyk-Szufa	

Experimental Data Collection for Numerical Model Verification for Wood Stove	381
Marcin Wikło, Przemysław Motyl, Krzysztof Olejarczyk, Krzysztof Kołodziejczyk, Rafał Kalbarczyk, Bartosz Piechnik and Julita Bukalska	
Direct Electricity Production from Linseed Oil	391
Paweł P. Włodarczyk and Barbara Włodarczyk	
The Main Factors Determining the Porosity of Granular Materials of Biological Origin	399
Artur Wójcik, Sławomir Francik and Adrian Knapczyk	
Assessment of Agglomeration Properties of Biomass—Preliminary Study	411
Marek Wróbel	
Possibility of Using Automation Tools for Planting of the Energy Willow Cuttings	419
Serhii Yermakov, Taras Hutsol, Sergii Slobodian, Serhii Komarnitskyi and Myroslav Tysh	
New Indicators for Determination of Acid Number in Diesel Fuel Containing Biodiesel	431
Yuliya Zhukova, Yaroslav Studenyak and Ruslan Mariychuk	
Part II Solar, Wind and Geothermal Energy	
The Analysis of Geothermal Well Constructions Depending on Expected Pressure Conditions	447
Anna Chmielowska, Barbara Tomaszewska and Anna Sowizdzał	
Recycling Expired Photovoltaic Panels in Poland	459
Joanna Hałacz, Maciej Neugebauer, Piotr Sołowiej, Krzysztof Nalepa and Maciej Wesołowski	
Photovoltaic Panels in a Single-Family House	471
Arkadiusz Kępa	
Influence of the Size of the PV Power Plant on Operating Parameters and Its Efficiency	483
Jarosław Knaga, Krzysztof Nęcka, Tomasz Szul, Bogusława Łapczyńska-Kordon and Robert Bernacik	

Modeling and Calculating the Double Channel Helio-Collector for Drying Agricultural Plant Materials	493
Boris Kotov, Yuriy Pantsyr, Ihor Garasymchuk, Iryna Semenyschyna, Pavel Potapsky and Taras Hutsol	
Theoretical and Real Efficiency of the Solar Power Plant in a 2-Year Cycle	501
Hubert Latała, Krzysztof Nęcka, Sławomir Kurpaska, Anna Karbowniczak and Natalia Mioduszewska	
Cost Comparison of Heating a Detached House by Means of a Heat Pump and Solid-Fuel Boiler	511
Wojciech Luboń, Grzegorz Pełka and Natalia Fiut	
Potential and Prospects of Hydroelectric Objects of the River Smotrych and Ecological-Economic Situation Within Kamianets-Podilskyi District (Ukraine)	521
Lyudmyla Mykhailova, Oleh Ovcharuk, Viktor Dubik, Oleksandr Kozak and Dariya Vilchynska	
Data Acquisition System for a Ground Heat Exchanger Simulator	533
Krzysztof Nalepa, Piotr Sołowiej, Maciej Neugebauer and Wojciech Miąskowski	
Fuzzy Model of Wind Turbine Control	541
Maciej Neugebauer, Piotr Sołowiej, Maciej Wesołowski, Krzysztof Nalepa and Joanna Hałacz	
Use of Wind Energy in the Process of Lake Restoration	551
Ewa Osuch, Andrzej Osuch, Stanisław Podsiadłowski, Piotr Rybacki and Natalia Mioduszewska	
Analysis of Shallow Geothermal System Utilization in the AGH-UST Educational and Research Laboratory of Renewable Energy Sources and Energy Saving in Miękinia	561
Grzegorz Pełka, Wojciech Luboń and Anna Sowiżdżał	
Research on Development of the New Refractory Material Called OXITEC	571
Bartosz Piechnik, Rafał Kalbarczyk, Julita Bukalska, Przemysław Motyl, Krzysztof Olejarczyk and Marcin Wikło	
The Use of Heat Pumps for Heating Purposes in the Region of Warmia and Mazury in North-Eastern Poland	579
Janusz Piechocki, Piotr Sołowiej, Maciej Neugebauer, Krzysztof Nalepa and Maciej Wesołowski	

Analysis of a Vertical Ground Heat Exchanger Operation Cooperating with a Heat Pump	587
Joanna Piotrowska-Woroniak	
An Analysis of Electricity Gains from Various Photovoltaic Installations Under The Real-World Conditions of North-Eastern Poland	603
Aldona Skotnicka-Siepsiak, Maciej Wesołowski, Janusz Piechocki, Piotr Sołowiej, Maciej Neugebauer and Marcin Tejszerski	
Geological, Hydrogeological and Technological Conditions of the Use of Production-Injection Systems in the Lower Jurassic (Liassic) Reservoir Horizons of the Polish Lowland	611
Jan Adam Soboń	
Analysis of the Efficiency of a Photovoltaic Microsystem in North-Eastern Poland	625
Piotr Sołowiej, Maciej Neugebauer, Krzysztof Nalepa, Janusz Piechocki and Maciej Wesołowski	
The Use of the Solar Radiation to Lower Consumption of the Electric Power for Lighting in Buildings	633
Sławomir Sowa	
Application of Electromagnetic Methods in Recognizing of Hydrogeothermal Conditions Inside Crystalline Massifs	643
Michał Stefaniuk	
Development of Stable Perovskite Solar Cell	653
Dávid Strachala, Matouš Kratochvíl, Josef Hylský, Adam Gajdoš, Ladislav Chladil, Jiří Vaněk and Pavel Čudek	
Preliminary Assessment of the Local Solar Energy Conditions in the Health Resort of Rabka-Zdrój—As a Potential for Using Photovoltaic Micro-Installations	667
Aleksandra Szulc and Barbara Tomaszewska	
Assessment of Wind Energy Resources Using Data Mining Techniques	677
Jędrzej Trajer, Rafał Korupczyński and Marcin Wandel	
Assessment of the Impact of the Local Geological Structure on the Efficiency of Ground-Source Heat Pump	689
Magdalena Tyszer, Barbara Raczyńska and Barbara Tomaszewska	

Part III Waste Management

Possibilities of Applying the Gasification Process in Coffee Grounds Treatment	703
Stanisław Famielec and Wojciech Kęпка	
The Simulation of the Temperature Distribution in the Compost Using the Autodesk CFD Simulation Program	715
Jan Gielżecki and Tomasz Jakubowski	
Hazardous Waste Solidification from Chemical Technological Process	727
Maciej Gliniak, Anna Lis, Anna Łoś, Dariusz Mikołajek and Ziemowit Kapłański	
The Use of Compost Produced with the Addition of Wastes from a Poultry Farm in Potato-Growing	735
Tomasz Jakubowski and Jan Gielżecki	
The Possibility of Using Composted Biowaste with the Addition of Biochar for Energy Purposes	743
Mateusz Malinowski, Katarzyna Wolny-Koładka, Magdalena D. Vaverková, Dana Adamcová, Jan Zloch, Maria Łukasiewicz and Agnieszka Sikora	
A Comparative Assessment of Municipal Waste Accumulation in Selected Rural Communes	753
Grzegorz Przydatek and Katarzyna Gancarczyk	
Analysis of Properties and Possibilities of Environmental Use of Municipal Sewage Sludge—A Case Study	763
Grzegorz Przydatek and Agata Szymańska-Pulikowska	
Assessment of the Variability of the Landfill Gas Composition Captured on a Used Landfill	775
Grzegorz Przydatek and Klaudia Ciągło	
Benefits of the Utilization of Waste Packaging Materials in the Pyrolysis Process	787
Dariusz Urbaniak, Agnieszka Bala-Litwiniak, Tomasz Wyleciał and Paweł Wawrzyniak	

Part IV Energy Systems and Analysis

Thermographic Analysis and Experimental Work Using Laboratory Installation of Heat Transfer Processes in a Heat Pipe Heat Exchanger Utilizing as a Working Fluid R404A and R407C 799
 Łukasz Adrian, Szymon Szufa, Piotr Piersa, Artur Cebula, Sebastian Kowalczyk, Zdzisława Romanowska-Duda, Mieczysław Grzesik and Joanna Ratajczyk-Szufa

Modelling of Heat Storage Using Phase Change Material Tank 809
 Tomasz Bakoń, Paweł Obstawski and Anna Kozikowska

Assessment of the Potential and Use of Renewable Energy Sources in the Municipality of Września 817
 Weronika Bojarska, Jacek Leśny and Monika Panfil

Electric Cars as a Future Energy Accumulation System 827
 Józef Flizikowski, Andrzej Tomporowski, Weronika Kruszelnicka, Izabela Piasecka, Adam Mroziński and Robert Kasner

Optimisation Methods in Renewable Energy Sources Systems—Current Research Trends 841
 Sławomir Francik, Adrian Knapczyk, Artur Wójcik and Zbigniew Ślipek

An Attempt to Use Kohonen Networks to Find Similarities in the Process of Convective Drying of Wood Biomass 853
 Szymon Głowacki, Weronika Tulej, Mariusz Sojak, Andrzej Bryś and Bartłomiej Pokojński

Application of Methods for Scheduling Tasks in the Production of Biofuels 863
 Adrian Knapczyk, Sławomir Francik, Artur Wójcik and Zbigniew Ślipek

Laboratory-Teaching Building Energis as the Example of Intelligent Building 875
 Dorota Koruba and Robert Piekoszewski

Calculation of Thermal Energy Storage System Capacity Dependent on Climate and Building Structure 885
 Anna Kozikowska, Tomasz Bakoń and Paweł Obstawski

Substantiation of the Working Surface Parameters of the Screw Press Drawing Block of Plant Materials 895
 Dmytro Kuzenko, Oleh Krupych, Stepan Levko and Krzysztof Mudryk

Development of Renewable Energy Sources in Big Cities in Poland in the Context of Urban Policy 907
 Aleksandra Lewandowska, Justyna Chodkowska-Miszczuk and Krzysztof Rogatka

Economic Analysis of Domestic Hot Water Preparation Using Air-Source Heat Pump	919
Wojciech Luboń, Grzegorz Pełka and Beata Krężolek	
Effectiveness of Capital and Energy Expenditures in Organic Production	929
Urszula Malaga-Toboła, Maciej Kuboń, Dariusz Kwaśniewski and Pavol Findura	
Financial Condition of the Development of the Market of Renewable Energy Sources	939
Oleksandra Mandych, Arkadii Mykytas, Mariia Melnyk, Olga Girzheva and Sergiy Kalinichenko	
The Influence of Pre-processing of Input Data on the Quality of Energy Yield Forecasts from a Photovoltaic Plant	953
Krzysztof Nęcka, Anna Karbowniczak, Hubert Latała, Marek Wróbel and Natalia Mioduszewska	
An Adaptive Monitoring System of Heat Storage Using Phase Change Materials	961
Paweł Obstawski, Tomasz Bakoń and Anna Kozikowska	
Test and Implementation of Control Algorithm in Hybrid Energy System with Phase Change Material Storage Tank in State Flow Matlab Toolbox	971
Paweł Obstawski, Tomasz Bakoń and Anna Kozikowska	
Optimization of the Parameters for the Process of Grain Cooling	981
Igor Palamarchuk, Sergey Kiurchev, Valentyna Verkhohantseva, Nadiia Palianychka and Olena Hryhorenko	
Study of the Effect of Grain Pipe Variations on the Supply of Grain in Coulter Space	989
Anatolii Rud, Yurii Pavelchuk, Lyudmyla Mykhailova, Oleksandr Dumanskyi, Ruslana Semenyshyna and Taras Hutsol	
Furnace Waste in Relation to Existing Legal Regulations and Basic Physicochemical Tests	999
Wojciech Szulik	
Assessment Worksheets—Practical Tool in Visual Impact Assessment Procedures for Renewable Energy Investments	1013
Hanna Szumilas-Kowalczyk	
Multi-dimensional Comparative Analysis of Renewable Energy Sources Development	1025
Małgorzata Trojanowska and Krzysztof Nęcka	

An Influence of Cross-Linking Agent on Electrochemical Properties of Gel Polymer Electrolyte	1035
Iuliia Veselkova, Michal Jahn, Marie Sedlaříková and Jiří Vondrák	
Analysis of Energy Storage Capabilities in Hydrated Sodium Acetate Using the Phase Transitions of the First Kind	1043
Robert Szczepaniak, Grzegorz Woroniak and Radosław Rudzki	
Comparison of Cu-B Alloy and Stainless Steel as Electrode Material for Microbial Fuel Cell	1057
Barbara Włodarczyk and Paweł P. Włodarczyk	
Use of Exhaust Waste Energy as Essential Element of Heat Economy in Furnaces Heating Steel Charge	1065
Tomasz Wyleciał, Jarosław Boryca and Dariusz Urbaniak	
Dynamics of Changes in Total Consumption of Most Important Renewable Energy Sources in Poland	1077
Daniel Zbroński and Henryk Otwinowski	
Author Index	1091

Part I
Biomass, Liquid Biofuels and Biochar

Analysis of the Possibilities of Using a Hybrid Heating System in the Process of Anaerobic Biomass Decomposition in Mesophilic Conditions



Mariusz Adamski, Marcin Herkowiak, Natalia Mioduszevska, Ewa Osuch, Andrzej Osuch, Gniewko Niedbała, Magdalena Piekutowska and Przemysław Przygodziński

Abstract The subject of the work concerns the design of a hybrid solar system to maintain mesophilic conditions in the process of anaerobic biomass decomposition. The main purpose of the work was to design a hybrid heating installation for a biomass utilizer. It was assumed to simulate the use of three energy sources: photovoltaic panels, solar collector and heat from biogas combustion. It was assumed that the results of the analysis will be supported by evaluation of biogas yield for substrates containing food and feed ingredients. The quasi-continuous and periodic operation of the rendering chamber was tested in relation to the energy demand for maintaining the mesophilic conditions in the fermentation process. As a result of the objective of the work, biogas productivity tests of the selected substrate mixture were carried out. A general design of the utilization plant (microbiogas plant) was also carried out, including thermal insulation and the design of the heating system. In order to determine the heat losses of the digester, the methodology based on the heat transfer coefficient by individual partitions was used. The level of biogas production was determined using a test stand complying with the requirements of DIN 38 414 S.8. On the basis of the volume of biogas production, thermal deficiencies resulting from its combustion were determined. Biogas deficiencies constituted more than 30% in the worst computing conditions for the periodic system and about 6% for the quasi-continuous system. The designed heating installation, which uses additional solar energy, will allow, in the case of a periodic system, to cover 100% of the summer heat demand. In winter, the coverage of heat demand was around 90% for average

M. Adamski (✉) · M. Herkowiak · N. Mioduszevska · E. Osuch · A. Osuch · G. Niedbała · P. Przygodziński
Poznan University of Life Sciences, Wojska Polskiego 28, 60-637 Poznan, Poland
e-mail: mariusz.adamski1@wp.pl

N. Mioduszevska
e-mail: natalia.mioduszevska@up.poznan.pl

M. Piekutowska
Koszalin University of Technology, Śniadeckich 2, 75-453 Koszalin, Poland

monthly temperatures in December and January and 80% for the worst computing conditions. Identified energy shortages can be limited by optimizing the control of the biological process and optimizing the parameters of thermally insulating layers.

Keywords Methane fermentation · Waste processing · Container utilizer · Hybrid heating system

1 Introduction

Biomass can be characterized as waste generated as a result of agricultural and forestry activities, as well as substances originating from industrial activity, constituting a link in the processing of biodegradable waste from other sources [1]. The solution that affects the reduction of energy needs of the utilization process is the use of various renewable energy sources, including adequately cooperating technical devices that create the so-called hybrid systems.

The biomass consists mainly of carbon, oxygen and hydrogen, and arises due to the ability of plant organisms to accumulate solar energy as a result of their biochemical photosynthesis process. The photosynthesis process leads to the production of carbohydrates from carbon dioxide and water under the influence of solar radiation. Biomass is therefore an organic mass that is part of plants and animals [2].

Biomass can be utilized in the following processes: aerobic stabilization, anaerobic stabilization, thermal decomposition and storage.

Methane fermentation is the biochemical process under the influence of anaerobic microorganisms (fermentation bacteria), during which organic substances with a multimolecular structure (carbohydrates, proteins and fats) are reduced to simple chemical compounds: methane, alcohols, carbon dioxide and water [3–6].

Substrates with high moisture content and crude fiber, polysaccharides such as cellulose and hemicellulose, and monomers like lignin are difficult to digest for anaerobic bacteria. For processes that improve the enzymatic hydrolysis may include alkaline leaching or alkaline pretreatment [7, 8].

Competent methane fermentation process can be divided into four stages: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis and methanogenesis [9].

So far, the fermentation process is associated with the scale of the process, which defines the profitability of the investment. Micro biogas installations (micro biogas plants) characterize the barrier of non-mobile installations. This fact results from each preparation of the installation for a known amount of waste subjected to the process of development.

The aim of the work was to analyze the possibilities of using a hybrid heating system that uses biogas energy and solar energy to sustain the process of waste biomass decomposition in mesophilic conditions on a micro scale. For the realization of the work was performed the following range of activities:

- implementation of a functional waste biomass utilization project and determination of the system's efficiency (scale),
- selection of waste substrates,
- testing of biogas yield of organic waste mix,
- indication of thermal losses and energy efficiency of the container system,
- energy balance—indication of energy shortages of the hybrid heating system.

2 Materials and Methods

The quasi-continuous mode will most closely approximate the system of the chamber stabilizing organic waste to the function of a biogas plant. The periodic mode is similar to composting technologies. In the periodic mode, the waste is decomposed with the separation of biogas, however, the energy needs of the system should prevail over energy self-sufficiency [10, 11].

Fermentation modes (periodic and quasi-continuous) require mixing of fermenting substrates. Among the main available mixing methods (mechanical, hydraulic, pneumatic, hybrid), mechanical mixing was selected. Mechanical mixing, most often carried out by helical stirrers (propellers), is the most prevalent among biogas installations [12, 13].

Methane Fermentation. Biogas efficiency tests (biogas productivity) were carried out in accordance with DIN 38 414-S8 in a multi-chamber fermenter (Fig. 1). The capacity of a single fermentation chamber is 1000 ml. The produced biogas is stored in eudiometer tanks. The capacity of each biogas tank is 1200 ml according to KTBL-Heft-84 2009.



Fig. 1 Research stand for the study of biogas productivity of substrates according to DIN 38414 S.8 (left), inoculum station for quasi continuous fermentation work (right) *Source* own work

Measurement of methane, carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulphide, oxygen, ammonia, nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide concentrations was performed with the tester of concentration of constituent gases in biogas, Alter Bio MSMR 16.

For the preparation of inoculum was used methanogenic thermostated biostat with a capacity of 1650 ml.

The fermentation test stand has been equipped with a thermostated tank that maintains the assumed thermal parameters (35 °C) of the process in the fermentation chambers. Biogas storage tanks are equipped with valves and connectors. This enables the removal of stored biogas and the transfer to the analyzer, Alter Bio MSMR 16 according to norm DIN 38414 S.8. The measurements of the concentration of constituent gases and the volume of produced biogas were carried out at 24-h intervals. Mixtures with an identical substrate composition were in each case in three fermenters to confirm the validity of the results.

To measure the concentrations of constituent gases of biogas has been used measuring heads, MG-72 and MG-73, with a measuring range of 0–100% by volume and the measurement resolution of the order 0,1 ppm to 1% by volume.

Based on laboratory tests and analysis of the literature [14, 15] indicated the critical factors which characterize the methane fermentation process. Factors that can have a significant impact on the biogas production process are primarily: the dry substance content, organic matter content, sample mass, reaction speed, percentage of individual components in the fermenting mix, and time of the experiment. The following standards were used: PN-74/C-04540/00, PN-75/C-04616/01 - 04, PN-90 C-04540/01, PN-75/C-04616/04.

Visualization of the Digester and Hydraulic and Electric Scheme. In order to visualize the components of the digester used AutoCAD 2017 and AutoCAD Plant 3D 2017. The components of the container utilizer have been included in the determination of its thermal parameters. CAD programs have also been used for creating the hydraulic and electric diagrams.

Methodological Guidelines for Determining the Thermal Parameters of a Container Utilizer. To calculate the heat losses, the following factors were used: heat transfer coefficient through structural partitions, material constants and indicators concerning construction materials of the digester, indicators of insulating materials and external housing of the designed container system. The values of thermal parameters were obtained from the technical guide [16]. The work uses selected principles for calculating the design heat load in accordance with PN-EN 12831. The calculation methods used relate to the heat transfer coefficient of the partitions. The ambient temperature range typical for the temperate zone of Central-Eastern Europe was used in the calculations.

Methodology of Selecting Elements of a Hybrid Installation. The calculations related to the selection of individual components of the hydraulic system and the appropriate safeguards were used: information from the Office of Technical Inspection along with the methods developed by Immergas [17] and thematic publications [18–21].

In the case of a photovoltaic installation, in order to select individual system components and to optimize system operation, the calculations were carried out according to published guidelines for the design of photovoltaic installations [22, 23].

3 Results

To analyze the hybrid heating system of the digester, the following assumptions regarding the utilizer were specified:

- the size of the fermentation chamber 9.5 m^3 ,
- cylindrical shape of the fermentation chamber,
- use of thermal insulation materials,
- building the fermentation chamber with a container of typical dimensions.

In order to determine the biogas productivity, and thus the possibility of producing heat from biogas combustion, the following assumptions were made:

- fermentation substrate composed of organic agri-food waste,
- mesophilic conditions of the methane fermentation process,
- operation of the system in a periodic filling system (waste biomass utilization) and quasi-continuous (microbiogas plant),
- work in a one-stage system.

Table 1 The composition of the fermentation mixture

No.	Type of substrate	Mass share [%]
1	Roman salad	8.16
2	Tomato	7.71
3	Cucumber	6.93
4	Carrot	11.47
5	Parsley root	1.98
6	Parsley	2.63
7	Leek	4.23
8	Celery	4.17
9	Onion	4.12
10	Potato	4.35
11	Pear	8.00
12	Apple	8.50
13	Plum	1.37
14	Peach	5.88
15	Banana	5.93
16	Rancid butter	0.45
17	Cream cheese	4.45
18	Sausage	1.98
19	Cat food	4.45
20	Bread roll	2.53
21	Biscuits	0.71
Total %:		100.00

Table 2 Output parameters of the M4 substrate mix

	Mass (g)	Part (%)	DM (%)	DM (g)	ODM (%)	ODM (g)
Cattle slurry	350.800	62.553	1.487	6.705	98.513	6.606
Inoculation	100.000	17.832				
Substrates mix.	110.000	19.615	15.403	16.943	94.025	15.931
Mixture M4	560.800	100.000	4.217	23.649	95.297	22.536

Table 3 Output parameters of the M10 reference substrate mix

	Mass [g]	Part [%]	DM [%]	DM [g]	ODM [%]	ODM [g]
Cattle slurry	351.400	77.812	1.487	6.717	98.513	6.617
Inoculation	100.200	22.188				
Mixture M10	451.600	100.00	1.487	6.717	98.513	6.617




Fig. 2 The cumulative yield of biogas [m³Mg⁻¹ fresh matter]

Waste Substrates Biogas Yield. In order to determine the heat demand of container micro-installations, in the first stage, tests of the biogas yield of the substrate mixture were carried out. The composition of the mixture is presented in Table 1. The research allowed to determine the level of energy self-sufficiency of the installation.

The subject of the research was a mixture of solid and liquid substrates, subjected to anaerobic decomposition. A waste test mix (M4) (Table 2) and a reference sample (M10) (Table 3) were prepared.

The M4 mixture was compiled as a combination of microbial inoculation, bovine manure and tested waste substrates. The reference sample (M10) was compiled as a combination of bovine slurry and microbial inoculation. As a result of the tests carried out on samples M4 and M10, biogas productivity levels were determined: daily, cumulative (Fig. 2) and methane concentrations in the produced biogas were indicated.

The results of biogas productivity tests of samples M4 and M10 are presented in tabular form (Table 4).

Table 4 Results of biogas productivity tests of samples M4 and M10

Sample	The test parameter	Unit of measure	Result
M4	Average methane concentration in biogas	% v/v	53
M10	Average methane concentration in biogas	% v/v	47
M4	Average daily yield of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	0.48
M10	Average daily yield of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	0.24
M4	Maximum daily productivity of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	1.13
M10	Maximum daily productivity of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	0.99
M4	Cumulative yield of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	13.04
M10	Cumulative yield of biogas	m ³ Mg ⁻¹ fresh mass	6.58




Fig. 3 Average daily biogas productivity for the periodic and quasi-continuous system

Thermal losses and energy efficiency. The obtained data from laboratory tests were used to determine the energy possibilities of a container biogas plant. In the created energy balance, the biogas productivity was compared with the needs of the chamber heating system and energy losses through conduction.

The total heat loss was calculated on the basis of heat transfer coefficients by individual walls of the container installation and structural elements of the digester. The design external temperature was adopted according to the II climate zone. It is used in calculating heat load of buildings in accordance with PN-EN 12831.

Thermal properties of individual construction materials were adopted according to material tables. The internal temperature was 45 °C (water jacket temperature) or 38 °C for the bottoms of the fermentation chamber (no heating jacket).

Calculations of heat loss streams were made, depending on the heat transfer coefficient, barrier surface and temperature difference on both sides of the barrier. The total design heat loss (QT) was calculated by summing the heat losses through individual container walls (Q1 to Q6) in accordance with the formula 1.

$$Q_T = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5 + Q_6 \tag{1}$$

The total design heat loss (QT) after adding losses of all barriers amounted to 1075.65 W.

According to the calculations, the power of the heating device should be equivalent or higher than the sum of the heat loss through the barriers (barriers). Figure 3 presents a graphic description of biogas productivity, depending on the selected system of work.

The utilization system (periodic fermentation chamber filling system) and the microbiological system operating mode (quasi-continuous fermentation chamber filling system) were considered.

The average daily biogas productivity (ABP) for the periodic system (PS) was calculated based on the total biogas productivity related to the hydraulic retention time (HRT = 27 days) (ABP-PS = 0.48 m³·Mg⁻¹fresh matter).

The average daily biogas productivity (ABP) for a quasi-continuous system (QCS) was obtained on the basis of average productivity for the period of optimal biogas production (ABP-QCS = 0.68 m³·Mg⁻¹fresh matter).

Based on the results of biogas productivity calculated for the periodic and quasi-continuous system (Fig. 3), the heating power obtained from biogas combustion was determined. The calculations (for periodic fermentation) were based on: dependence of heat obtained from biogas combustion (QU), hourly biogas productivity (WHU) and the average calorific value of biogas (WB) and efficiency of a gas boiler (η) burning biogas (formula 2).

$$Q_U = W_{HU} \cdot W_B \cdot \left(\frac{\eta}{100} \right) \quad (2)$$

The calculations (for a quasi-continuous system) indicated the dependence of heat obtained from biogas combustion (QM), hourly biogas productivity (WHM) and the average calorific value of biogas WB and the efficiency of a gas boiler (η) burning biogas (formula 3).

$$Q_M = W_{HM} \cdot W_B \cdot \left(\frac{\eta}{100} \right) \quad (3)$$

Table 5 Production of biogas heat for periodic fermentation

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit of measure
The efficiency of a gas boiler and internal installation	η	96	%
Average calorific value of biogas	W_B	17	MJ·m ⁻³
Cumulative productivity of biogas	W_{CAL}	13.04	m ³ ·Mg ⁻¹ ·fresh matter
The duration of the process	t	27	days
Average daily productivity of biogas	W_0	0.48	m ³ ·Mg ⁻¹ fresh matter
The fermenting mass	m	7.85	t
Daily biogas yield of the utilizer	W_{DU}	3.79	m ³ ·d ⁻¹
Hourly biogas yield of the utilizer	W_{HU}	0.16	m ³ ·h ⁻¹
Heat from biogas combustion	Q_U	2.58	MJ
Heating power from the combustion of biogas	P_{HWM}	716.19	W

Table 6 Production of biogas heat for quasi-continuous fermentation

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit of measure
The efficiency of a gas boiler and internal installation	η	96	%
Average calorific value of biogas	W_B	17	$\text{MJ}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
Average daily productivity of biogas	W_{QC}	0.68	$\text{m}^3\cdot\text{Mg}^{-1}$ fresh matter
The fermenting mass	M	7.85	t
Daily biogas efficiency of micro biogas plants	W_{DM}	5.34	$\text{m}^3\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$
Hourly biogas efficiency of micro biogas plants	W_{HM}	0.22	$\text{m}^3\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$
Heat from biogas combustion	Q_M	3.63	MJ
Heating power from the combustion of biogas	P_{HWM}	1008.38	W

Calculations showed (Table 5) that the periodic fermentation system will not be able to cover the total heat requirement (716.19 W).

The quasi-continuous fermentation system is characterized by a higher heat production (Table 6), which means that such a system can be regarded as self-sufficient in terms of energy (1008.38 W). The calculations were made for the fermentation chamber fill factor of 82%.

The installation space on the side wall of the container (determined effective side surface of a 20-foot container 15.6 m^2) was used and the photovoltaic installation and solar collector were proposed. The hybrid heating system can support the operation of two fermentation systems: periodic and quasi-continuous. The power of solar devices was determined as the real power of PV panels (solar collectors) and expressed in watts [W] [24]. The number of photovoltaic panels (4 pcs) and solar collectors (1 item) for the side surface of the container has been determined. For the calculations were adopted: the unit surface area of the PV panel (1.474 m^2) and the active surface of the solar collector (2.130 m^2). The power of solar devices was calculated in accordance with the published methodology [24] on the basis of the following formula 4:

$$P_{SOL} = M_E \cdot S \cdot \frac{\eta}{100} \cdot n \quad (4)$$

where:

- P_{SOL} - real power of PV panels/solar collectors [W],
- M_E - solar radiation power [Wm^{-2}],
- S - active surface of the PV panel/solar collector [m^2],
- η - efficiency of PV panel/solar collector [%],
- n - number of PV panels/solar collectors.

It was assumed that the efficiency of the PV panel is 18% and the efficiency of the solar collector is 69%. Calculation results, comparing heat losses with potential heating power, were presented in two cases.

The first case involves the cooperation of a gas boiler with a solar collector. The second case involves the cooperation of a gas boiler with a photovoltaic installation.




Fig. 4 Comparison of heat losses and heating power obtained from the solar system and gas boiler

In the case of a hybrid system with a PV installation, a discount system was included in the calculation, which in 80% balances the use of electricity from the power grid (Fig. 4).

Heating power and balances between sources, used in the analyzed system (Fig. 4). Heat sources were used: solar collector Hewalex Thermomax HP 400-20, 4 solar panels WINAICO feeding the electric heater and gas boiler VITODENS 200-W.

Heat losses were calculated on the basis of heat transfer coefficients by individual walls and structural elements, using in this case average monthly temperatures in the day/night system for their comparison with the operation of the solar and biogas systems (Fig. 4).

Despite the use of an additional solar system, calculations showed that 3 months (January, February and December) the system operates on the edge of the energy needs of the fermenter.

4 Summary and Conclusions

The research showed that the cumulated biogas productivity reached the level of over 13 m^3 from a Mg of fresh mass. The obtained results of biogas efficiency levels can be improved by increasing the digestibility of the mixture and better balancing macronutrients and the C/N ratio. A mixture of wastes with possibly varied composition was tested to approximate the real problems of waste disposal.

The highly diversified composition of the mixture showed inhibitory qualities, thanks to which the level of biogas productivity was deliberately lowered.

It has been shown that the change of chamber operation mode (periodic system to quasi continuous system) intensively increases the energy capacity of the fermenter, with a constant level of heat losses through the partitions.

Obtained average daily biogas yields indicated that from the waste mix, productivity can be expected at 0.48 m³ of biogas from a tonne of fresh mass in the case of a periodic system and 0.68 m³ of biogas from a tonne of fresh mass in the case of a quasi-continuous system.

Both biogas productivity results were used to analyze the energy efficiency of the digester in a periodic and quasi-continuous system. The considered systems (periodic and quasi-continuous) refer to the use of the proposed fermenter as a utilization or microbiogas plant. Identified energy shortages can be limited by optimizing the control of the biological process and optimizing the parameters of thermally insulating layers. The element of support may also be multiplication of chambers or additionally their mutual phase shift of daily biogas efficiency (biogas yield in periodic system).

Identified energy shortages can be limited by optimizing the control of the biological process and optimizing the parameters of thermally insulating layers. The element of support may also be multiplication of chambers or additionally their mutual phase shift of daily efficiency.

References

1. J. Laskowski, M. Szpryngiel, J. Pabis, Inżynieria konwersji energii ze źródeł odnawialnych "OZE". WWSZiP, Wałbrzych-Lublin, pp. 203–204 (2015). ISBN 978-83-60904-11-4
2. G. Jastrzębska, Energia ze źródeł odnawialnych i jej wykorzystanie, (Warszawa, WKŁ, 2017), pp. 149–150, ISBN 978-83-206-1983-6
3. G. Buraczewski, Fermentacja metanowa. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 11 (1989). ISBN 83-01-09533-4
4. A. Curkowski, A. Oniszk-Popławska, G. Wiśniewski, M. Zowski, Mała biogazownia rolnicza, (Wydawca, Fundacja Instytut na rzecz Ekorozwoju, Warszawa, 2011), pp. 7–9, ISBN 978-83-89495-06-8
5. T. Kołodziejczyk, R. Myczko, Proces fermentacji beztlenowej. W: Budowa i eksploatacja biogazowni rolniczych: poradnik dla inwestorów zainteresowanych budową biogazowni rolniczych. Red. A. Myczko. (Wydawnictwo ITP, Warszawa-Poznań, 2011) pp. 10–15, ISBN 978-83-62416-23-3
6. K. Biskupska, W. Romaniuk, Biogazownia rolnicza krok po kroku. (Wydawca: Hortpress sp. z o.o., Warszawa 2014), p. 5, ISBN 978-83-61574-58-3
7. M. Adamski, P.T. Szaferski, P. Gulewicz, W. Majkowski, Silage of Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) as a Bioenergy Feedstock in Poland, In *Practical Aspects of Chemical Engineering. Selected Contributions from PAIC 2017*, eds. by M. Ochowiak, S. Woźniowicz, M. Doligalski, P.T. Mitkowski (2018), pp. 1–15, ISBN 978-3-319-73978-6
8. K. Durczak, M. Adamski, P.T. Mitkowski, W. Szaferski, P. Gulewicz, W. Majkowski, Chemical Processing of Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) and Grass Mixtures in Terms of Biogas Yield in Poland, In *Mitkowski Practical Aspects of Chemical Engineering. Selected Contributions from PAIC 2017*, eds. by M. Ochowiak, S. Woźniowicz, M. Doligalski, P.T. (2018), pp. 85–99, ISBN 978-3-319-73978-6

9. J. Tys, D. Wiącek, Biogaz-wytwarzanie i możliwości jego wykorzystania. W: Acta Agrophysica Monographiae, z. 1. IAPAN, Lublin, (2015), s. 10–14, 30–35, ISSN 2084-3429, ISBN 978-83-89969-12-5
10. B. Bartoszek, G. Buraczewski, Biogaz wytwarzanie i wykorzystanie. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, s. 45–53 (1990), ISBN 83-01-09534-2
11. H. Gattermann, F. Scholwin, P. Weiland, Merkmale und Unterscheidung verschiedener Verfahrensvarianten. W: Handreichung Biogasgewinnung und -nutzung. Wydawca: Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e.V., Gülzow, Niemcy, s. 36–44 (2006), ISBN 3-00-014333-5.27.07.2017: http://www.big-east.eu/downloads/FNR_HR_Biogas.pdf
12. P.T. Mitkowski, M. Adamski, W. Szaferki, Experimental set-up of motionless hydraulic mixer and analysis of hydraulic mixing. Chem. Eng. J. **288**, 618–637 (2016)
13. P.T. Mitkowski, W. Szaferki, M. Adamski, Hydraulic mixing. In *Practical Aspects of Chemical Engineering. Selected Contributions from PAIC 2017*, (eds.) by M. Ochowiak, S. Woźniowicz, M. Doligalski, P.T. Mitkowski (2018), pp. 291–306, ISBN 978-3-319-73978-6
14. A. Jędrzak, Biologiczne przetwarzanie odpadów. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa (2007), s. 226–244, 395–396, 399–400, 406–407, ISBN 978-83-01-15166-9
15. A. Myczko, R. Myczko, T. Kołodziejczyk, R. Golimowska, J. Lenarczyk, Z. Janas, A. Kliber, J. Karłowski, M. Dolska, Budowa i eksploatacja biogazowni rolniczych, (Wyd. ITP Warszawa-Poznań 2011)
16. A. Dylla, Fizyka ciepła budowli w praktyce. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa (2015), ISBN 978-83-01-18168-0
17. Immergas: Instalacje solarne – wytyczne projektowe PL (2014). www.immergas.com. Accessed 12 Dec 2017
18. F. Bazzocchi, L. Croci, Integration between a geothermal heat pump and thermo-photovoltaic solar panels. W: IEA Heat Pump Centre Newsletter, t. 33, nr 3, s. 34–37 (2015), ISSN 2002-018X
19. P. Kędzierski, Zabezpieczenia instalacji ogrzewań wodnych systemu zamkniętego (2009). http://www.is.pw.edu.pl/~pawel_kedzierski/Zabezpieczenie. Accessed 12 Dec 2017
20. M. Strzeszewski, Obliczenia hydrauliczne instalacji centralnego ogrzewania (2010). http://www.is.pw.edu.pl/~michal_strzeszewski/oiw/hydraulika
21. M. Kłos, Ł. Michalski, Ł. Molik, J. Paska, Układy hybrydowe – integracja różnych technologii wytwarzania energii elektrycznej. W: Elektroenergetyka – Współczesność i Rozwój, nr 4 (6), s. 46–57, (2010), ISSN 2080-8593
22. E. Klugmann-Radziemska, Fotowoltaika w teorii i praktyce. Wydawnictwo BTC, Legionowo, s. 71–87, 159 (2010), ISBN 978-83-60233-58-0
23. B. Szymański, Instalacje fotowoltaiczne. GLOBEnergia, Kraków (2017), ISBN 978-83-65874-00-9
24. R. Tytko, Urządzenia i systemy energetyki odnawialnej. Wydawnictwo i Drukarnia Towarzystwa Słowaków w Polsce, Kraków, s. 73–91, 168–185, 321–323, 348–350, 395,580–589, 603–608 (2016), ISBN 978-83-7490-649-4

Standard Used in the Research

1. DIN 38414 S 8. Niemiecka znormalizowana metoda badań wody, ścieków i osadów. Osady i sedymenty (grupa S). Określenie charakterystyki fermentacji (S. 8). DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e. V., Berlin (2012)
2. KTBL-Heft 84 2009: Schwachstellen an Biogasanlagen verstehen und vermeiden. Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der Landwirtschaft e.V. (KTBL), Darmstadt, Druckerei Lokay, Reinheim, 56 s
3. PN EN 12831 2004: Instalacje ogrzewcze w budynkach Metoda obliczania projektowego obciążenia cieplnego
4. PN-74/C-04540/00. Wydawnictwo Normalizacyjne. Warszawa. Oznaczenie zasadowości